## Chapter One

## Introduction

### 1.1 Background to the study

Language is a means of communication, which carries with it the cultural values of its users. Researches so far conducted on the classification of Igbo dialects which include Ikekeọnwụ (1987) and Nwaozuzu (2008) have shown that the Igbo society is not linguistically homogenous, as the Igbo language varies in many ways among its speakers. That is why Emenanjo (1977:3) notes that "when two Igbo people - a highly individualistic people - from two contiguous towns (or òbòdò) or clans (mbà) speak, there are appreciable differences noticed by a keen observer."

Igbo is a major language in Nigeria with a multiplicity of dialects as noted by Ugorji (2003) and these dialects differ in the areas of phonetics, phonology, morphology, syntax and semantics. Ugorji notes that the Igbo dialect communities are restive, calling for serious attention. We infer that the attention Ugorji has in mind is the study of the different dialects to find out their peculiarities. Nwachukwu (1980) had earlier suggested that an extensive and in-depth study of Igbo dialects is never the job of one man, but requires a team to tackle it from different directions at one and the same time. Following from this, a lot of studies have been done on Igbo dialects and speech variaties since 1980, which include Ikekeọnwụ (1987), Nwaozuzu (2008), Eme (2008) and, most recently, Ohiri-Aniche (2013). Notwithstanding positive response to the call for different dialects of Igbo to be studied, the truth remains that not all dialects and speech communities of Igbo have been fully studied, including the speech communities of Omambala area. Oha (2004) is of the opinion that studying the dialects not yet fully studied would help to inject from their riches into the standard Igbo as well as set parameters of the whole language.

This study discusses the phonology of Ọmambā la varieties of the Igbo language. Ọmambāla is a general name for the four Local Government Areas of Anambra East, Anambra West, Ayamèlụm and Òyi. The name is used to address the four Local Government Areas based on the Ọmambā la River, which cuts across them.

Available literature to the researcher shows that not much has been discussed on Igbo speech communities of Ọmambala area. Some scholars like Ikekeọnwụ (1987) and Nwaozuzu (2008), who have discussed some aspects of the Igbo dialects found in this area, hold divergent views in their groupings of the dialects. Ikekeọnwụ (1987) groups them as satellites of the Inland West Dialect Cluster (IWDC), while Nwaozuzu (2008) groups them as primary dialects of the East Niger Group of Dialects (ENGD). Emenanjo (2015:5-7) cites Manfredi (1991:32) as capturing the name Omambāla in his classification, where he considers it to be a dialect of Northern cluster and
which sub-divides into three, namely: Ọka, Ọ̀nị̀chà and Àgụlerì . From that grouping, Manfredi may have, probably, used Àgụlerì to represent the varieties of Igbo in Anambra East, Anambra West, Ayamèlụm and Ò yi LGAs. Some of the past studies identify the phonemes and discuss the phonological patterning of words in Omambala varieties but not in detail, while none of them discusses their phonological processes and secondary articulatory features let alone identifying their differences and similarities. Following from this fact, theories of phonology have not equally been applied in discussing the phonological processes and secondary articulation features of the speech varieties. Also, the non-availability of enough materials for Omambala varieties has hindered a contrastive study of standard Igbo (subsequently SI) and Ọmambala speech varieties in order to help Igbo speakers of Omambala varieties overcome the problem they have in studying Igbo in school. This is because differences in SI and different dialects and speech varieties of the language are major contributory factors to the poor performance of students in Igbo as a school subject, since the variety for teaching is the SI. The required materials for a contrastive analysis are available for SI, but not much for Ọmambāla Igbo. All these, together with the fact that the researcher hails from the area, prompted this research.

The study focuses mainly on identifying the phonemes of Omambala Igbo, finding out their phonological patterning of words, examining their phonological processes and secondary articulation features and reclassifying/repositioning Omambala varieties within the landscape of Igbo dialectology. The study has applied theoretical framework that is eclectic to analyse the phonemes, some phonological processes and secondary articulation features identified in the study. In particular, the theories applied in this study are theory of distinctive opposition for analysing the sounds and identifying the phonemes, the generative phonology theory, autosegmental phonology model and government phonology theory for analysing the phonological processes and secondary articulation features. The phonological processes and secondary articulation features are discussed on the basis of the three parameters of intersegmental coordination in speech adapted from Eme (2008). This work will, therefore, complement the efforts of researchers who have studied other speech varieties and dialects of Igbo. The copious data from this study will be useful, especially, to applied linguists, lexicographers and linguists interested in theories of language and their applications.

### 1.2 Statement of the problem

Some phonological issues of the Igbo speech varieties of Omambala, such as the phonological patterning of words, phonological processes and secondary articulation features, have not been studied. Neither have theories of phonology been applied in discussing these phonological issues.

Added to this is the fact that phonological differences and similarities existing among these speech varieties were not properly considered in the classification of the Igbo dialects, thereby making the speech varieties to be wrongly placed. This study intends to rectify these problems.

Differences between the Igbo speech varieties of Ọmambala and SI is a major contributory factor to the poor performance in Igbo subject among the Ọmambā la secondary school students. The dearth of materials on Omambala Igbo has hindered a contrastive study of Igbo speech varieties of Omambala and SI. For a better understanding of SI, which is the variety taught in schools, the different dialects of the language need to be studied by linguists to enable contrastive studies of the different dialects and SI. For such a contrastive study involving Igbo speech varieties of Ọmambāla to be possible , there must be adequate materials for both SI and Ọmambā la Igbo. The required materials are available for SI, but not much for Ọmambāla Igbo. This study provides some of the needed materials for Ọmambāla speech varieties in the area of phonology.

### 1.3 Scope of the study

There are many aspects of phonological study as well as many dialects or speech varieties of Igbo to be studied, but this study sets out to investigate only the sound patternsO mambala varieties. It is limited to identifying the phonemes, explaining the phonological patterning of words, the phonological processes and secondary articulation features of Ọmambala varieties and reclassifying/repositioning of Ọmambala varieties within the landscape of Igbo dialectology. The study is further limited to investigating only three speech communities in each of the four Local Government Areas making up Ọmambala.

### 1.4 Purpose of the study

The general purpose of this study is to discuss the phonology of Igbo varieties of Omambala. To guide the researcher, the following specific objectives have been set out, to:
i. identify the phonemes of Ọmambala varieties.
ii. explain the phonological patterning in Ọmambala varieties.
iii. explain the phonological processes and secondary articulation features of Omambala varieties. iv. do a reclassification/repositioning of the Ọmambala varieties within the landscape of Igbo dialectology.

### 1.5 Research questions

To guide us in this study, the following questions were put forward:
(a) What are the phonemes of the Omambala varieties of Igbo?
(b) How are words phonologically patterned in Ọmambala varieties?
(c) How do phonological processes and secondary articulation features manifest in Omambala varieties?
(d) In what way can the Ọmambala varieties be reclassified/repositioned within the landscape of the Igbo dialectology?

### 1.6 Significance of the study

The study will be very beneficial to everyone who is interested in phonology and application of phonological theory to phonological processes and secondary articulation features of language.
a. This study examines the speech sounds of Omambala varieties of Igbo using the minimal pair/set tool. Through that, the phonemes of Igbo speech varieties were identified, showing the phonemes of each of the speech communities and how they are related. It has also looked at the phonological patterning of words in Omambala varieties and brought out instances of their relatedness and differences. Some findings of this study in some instances differ from earlier findings of the previous studies. This study, therefore, is an improvement on the previous studies on the phonology of Ọmambala Igbo.
b. This study explains the phonological processes and secondary articulation features of Ọmambala varieties and has through that exposed some phonological processes not captured in Igbo literature, like the syllabic nasal elision discovered in Ìfite Ogmārị̀.
c. The study has, through its reclassification/repositioning of the Igbo varieties of Omambala within the landscape of Igbo dialectology, made a major contribution to Igbo dialectology.
d. Owing to the extensive materials this study will make available to Applied linguists interested in a contrastive study of different dialects of the Igbo language, on one hand, and the SI and different dialects, on another hand, this study will make the job of conducting a contrastive study of the Igbo varieties of Omambala and SI and between the Igbo varieties of Omambala and other dialects easy. With this, the problems Igbo students of Omambala area usually have understanding the SI used as school subject in schools will be ameliorated. Teachers will, through this material, be equipped with enough information on the differences among Ọmambala varieties and, therefore, know
how they would guide their students on language studies, particularly the Igbo language.
e. The lexicographers working on Igbo dictionary will also find this work very useful because of copious data therein. This study will be a reference material for translation experts who might be commissioned to translate government policies and programmes for the benefit of the Ọmambāla people since the translators would be familiar with the phonological patterning of words in these speech communities.
f. Finally, this study will serve as the researcher's contribution to development of the Igbo language as a linguist and to exposure of the Ọmambāla varieties of the Igbo language.

### 1.7 Geographical area of study

This study centres onỌ mambala area. Ọmambāla is a general name for the Igbo people of Anambra East, Anambra West, Ayamèlụm and Òyi LGAs of Anambra State, Nigeria. The name OTmambāla is derived from the Ọmambā la River (young people call it Omambāla but elderly people call it $O m a b \bar{a} l a)$, which cuts across the four LGAs. These LGAs are offshoots of the old Anambra LGA, which had Otuọcha as its headquarters. However, the people of Ayamèlụm LGA were at a time placed under Uzo © Uwaànị̀ LGA of Enugu State . Following the protest by the people that were separated from their kith and kin, they were returned to Anambra State and placed under Òyi LGA and finally given a local government status in 1997.

There are eleven communities in Anambra East LGA. These are Àgụlerì , Enugwū Àgụlerì, Enugwū otū Àgụlerì, Ezi Àgụlụ̀ ū, M̀ Mkpunaǹdò, Ụmụ̂èrì, Ụmụ̣ọba Anam, Ň̀sugbè, Naǹdò, Ìkem and Ìgbǎ rịam. Anambra West LGA hasten communities made of Nzàm, Ìfite A- n m Ezi A- nam Ụmụ̂̀ze Ānam (also called Ụmụzịam), Ọrọ̀ma Ētītì, Ụmụènwelụm Ānam (also called Ụmụ̀èm), Ịnọmà, Owellé, Ụ̀kv àlà and Olumbànasà. Ayamèlụ̀m LGA has eight communities of Anàkụ̀ , Ọmọr, Ìfite Ọgwārị, Ụmụòlum̄, Ọ̀màsị, Igbǎkw प̣̂ Ụmụ̄ejē and Ụmụ̀mboō . Finally, in Òyi LGA are five communities: Ǹttèjè, Awkuzu, Ụmụ̣ry à, Ogbunikē and Nkwèlle Èzùnakā. The people of the four LGAs are predominantly fishermen and farmers. They are very hospitable.

Following the 2006 Census, the four LGAs have a population of six hundred and fourty-five thousand, eight hundred and five $(645,805)$ persons distributed as follows:
i. Anambra East - 152, 149 (77,539 male and 74, 610 female)
ii. Anambra West - 167, 303 ( 85,833 male and 81,470 female)
iii. Ayamèlụm - 158, 152 (81, 065 male and 77, 087 female)
iv. Òyi - 168,201 ( 85,694 male and 82,507 female)

Nzàm, Ịnọmà, Owellé, Ụ̀kv àlà and Olumbànasà in Anambra West LGA are coordinate bilingual in nature as they speak the Ìgbò and Ìgalà languages, but without equal mastery of both of them: They speak Ìgalà more fluently than Igbo because of their closeness to the Ìgalà people of Kogi State and that is their L1.

The study centres on thirteen communities, consisting of the Local Government Headquarters and two other communities in each LGA except Ayamèlùm LGA which has four speech communities because of the pilot study conducted on Ụmụòlum speech community that enabled us establish some differences between Ụmụòlum and some speech communities of Omambāla

A map of Anambra State showing the locations of Anambra East , Anambra West, Òyi and Ayamèlụm LGAs is given below:

Fig. 1.1 Map of Anambra State showing the Omambala area
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Source :http://omambala.com/sites/omambala.com/files/anambra_map.jpg

### 1.8 Limitations of the study

Ọmambala area covers a large expanse of land. The researcher had problem visiting some of the selected speech communities, especially in Anambra West and Ayameḷm LGAs because of their very difficult terrain. The roads linking some of the speech communities are very bad such that in most cases, the researcher had to wait till the rain subsides for a reasonable number of days to enable him ply them. This way, he was able to visit all the speech communities selected for the study, from where he collected the data used in the research.

The headquarters of Anambra West LGA , Nzam, and Ụ̀kv àlà, which are among the speech communities investigated, speak Igala as their first language and learn Igbo as a second language in school or from speakers of other dialects of Igbo who are in their communities for agricultural and other purposes. As a result, the data collected from these communities were based on the extent of exposure of our respodents. This reason made us drop the data collected from the two communities during our analysis since it could not serve as Igbo L1 data of the speech communities.

Some of the respondents were afraid of being interviewed with a video or tape recorder. It was very difficult to convince some of them that the speech patterns being requested of them would not be used for negative motive. The researcher relentlessly tried to make them feel relaxed by telling them that the speech communities of the Omambala area had for long been neglected and that the study intends to bring their speech communities to the limelight. In order to overcome this problem, the researcher made arrangements to be always accompanied by a guide from each of the selected speech communities. These guides played a very important role in making their people feel relaxed during the interactions. This way we were able to get the required and reliable information from our respondents.

Another limitation to this study is the problem of lack of electricity or inadequate electric power supply in most of the speech communities visited, such that the researcher had problem charging the battery of the video recorder which was used at the initial stage of the interview, as the battery required constant charging. Sometimes, after interviewing three or four respondents, the battery would go down and the interview would be called off till a rescheduled date. This slowed down the pace of the interview. The researcher later got a digital audio recorder from a senior colleague in the Department, Dr. Linda Nkamigbo. This digital audio recorder has advantage over the video recorder as it uses finger battery and not a rechargeable battery and, therefore, does not require constant charging of the battery. The researcher had to go to the linguistic field with packets of battery and replaced weak batteries at will. This helped to speed up the pace of the study.

## Chapter Two

## Literature review

### 2.0 Introduction

This chapter is presented in four sections. Section one is on conceptual studies. In section two, empirical works of different scholars related to the current study are presented. Section three discusses the theoretical framework. Finally, section four is a summary of the entire review.

### 2.1 Conceptual studies

Some important concepts which manifest in this study are discussed under this section. The importance of discussing the concepts is to enable the readers to have a better and proper understanding of them.

### 2.1.1 Phoneme

The phoneme is an important concept in phonology. It is discussed by scholars from three different points of view: mentalistic or psychological point of view, physical or phonetic point of view and phonological or functional point of view.

The psychological point of view of phoneme is a school of thought that considers the phoneme as representing a mental image or intention and which its variants or alternate realisations are different actualisations of a single underlying 'ideal’ or 'intended’ sound. Kushkina (2014) presents the picture more clearly. To this scholar, the psychological view of phoneme regards the phoneme as an ideal mental image that the speaker bears in mind when pronouncing allophonic variants. He further says that the speech realisation of a target phoneme deviates from the ideal because of the peculiarities of the speaker's articulating organs and the influence of neighbouring sounds. In line with the psychological view of the phoneme, Dirven and Verspoor (2004:113) state that "the term phoneme designates the more abstract unit, of which $\left[\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}\right.$ ] and [p] are examples." They further submit that $\left[\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}\right.$ ] and [p] are allophones of the same phoneme /p/ in English and that they can be represented with the figure below:

Figure 2.1 A phoneme and its allophones

(Adapted from Dirven and Verspoor, 2004:113)

Jones (1957) discloses that the term 'phoneme' as an abstraction was developed by de Courtenay and Kruszewski during 1875 -1895. Twaddell (1935) and Harris (1951) submit that some structuralists (excluding Sapir) rejected the idea of a cognitive or psycholinguistic view of the phoneme.

The school of thought which considers the phoneme based on phonetic reality regards the phoneme as what is conveniently used to represent a number of phonetic units. Linguists who belong to this school of thought have given different definitions of the phoneme. Jones (1967) cited by Oyebade and Mbah (2008) in Mbah and Mbah (2008:32) defines the phoneme as "...a family of sounds in a given language which are related in character and are used in such a way that no other member ever occurs in word in the same phonetic context as any other member".

The functional view of the phoneme is the thinking of the school which argues that the phoneme can satisfactorily be considered solely on the basis of its function in the system of the language. To them, the phoneme is a minimal unit that can function to distinguish meaning or an abstraction and a theoretical construct at the phonological level. Trubetzkoy (1939) belongs to this school of thought and he defines the phoneme as the sum of phonologically relevant properties of a sound. He argues that reference to psychology must be avoided in defining the phoneme since the phoneme is a linguistic concept and not a psychological concept residing in the minds of the speakers.

Mbah and Mbah (2010) summarise the views of the three schools of thought by saying that although they do not agree on any particular definition of the phoneme, they are not in conflict, but rather their views help in understanding the different aspects of the phoneme as phonetic, phonological and psychological realities.

From the foregoing, one can say that the phoneme simply refers to minimal meaningful speech sounds in language, imagined or in reality, with their different phonetic realisations; and which are in opposition with one another in language based on their semantic contents. It is the opposition among speech sounds that aroused the interest of linguists during the classical phonology period.

### 2.1.2 Minimal pair/set

Minimal pair/set (MP) test is a fundamental analytical tool in phonological study. Jones (1944) sees minimal pair (subsequently MP) in phonology as pair of words or phrases in a particular language that differ in only one element, such as phoneme, toneme or chroneme and have distinct meaning. This is to say that a MP can only differ in sound segment, tone, or chroneme. To

Swadesh (1934), the MP is an essential tool in the discovery process, arrived at by substitution or commutation tests. This definition does not give a clear view of what a MP is.

Ladefoged (1975) and Hyman (1976) share the same view on the concept of MP. The two scholars contend that the MP refers to a set of words in which each differs from all others by only one sound. They differ from Jones (1944) by Jones’ inclusion of phrases in the pairing.

In the opinion of Barlow and Gierut (2002:58), "A minimal pair is a set of words that differ by a single phoneme whereby that difference is enough to signal a change in meaning." Dirven and Verspoor (2004:114) submit that 'a simple way of deciding whether two sounds in a language belong to one phoneme or to two different phonemes is to look for minimal pairs.' They regard MP as a pair of words that are identical in all respects except for the sounds in question. They illustrate with the words pat, bat and conclude that the pair confirms that /p/ and /b/ constitute separate phonemes in English, while the impossibility of a contrast between [sp ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ai] and [spai], or between [ $p^{h}$ ai] and [pai] confirms that $\left[p^{h}\right.$ ] and $[p]$ do not belong to different phonemes in English (p.114).

The definitions of 'minimal pair' given by Ladefoged (1975), Hyman (1976), Barlow \& Gierut (2002), and Dirven \& Verspoor (2004) are very succinct. They give a clearer picture of what is a MP and one can easily deduce from these scholars' view that MP is the juxtaposition of related words of a language to find out the sounds of the language that occur in the same environment, thereby giving different meanings to the words.

Ladefoged (1975) goes further to illustrate how to determine if two words form a MP. In his opinion, the words, the unit of analysis, should rhyme apart from the segments of the MP. He argues that where two words do not rhyme at segmental tier, they cannot form MP. Based on this view, Ladefoged considers the examples presented below as not constituting MPs since they have more than one segment that set them apart:

1. (a) key
(b) my
bee
nigh

Mbah and Mbah (2010:154), however, have different view. They argue that 'the writing system of a language is used to determine MPs only when the written form coincides with the spoken form as in [pet, bet].' They further illustrate with /k/ sound in knee and $g h$ in nigh, pointing out that because no one produces $/ \mathrm{k} /$ in knee, it cannot be used to judge whether MP exists in a set of words or not. Mbah and Mbah also contend that since the glidess/arhat appears in the pronunciation of the word nigh and not $g h$, the glide hald equally be considered in its
forming of a MP or not rather than $g h$. This study agrees with Mbah and Mbah’s (2010) view because in MP test, what are considered very vital are sounds and not the letter symbols of the language.

Two levels of analysis have been identified for generating MP each of which Mbah and Mbah (2010) note must be considered before any meaningful contrast is arrived at. The two levels are the prosodic and the segmental levels (Pike, 1948; Hyman, 1976; Nartey and Arishi, 1989; Essien, 1990; Iwara, 1995; Roach, 2009; Mbah and Mbah, 2010). Mbah and Mbah note that the phenomenon of tonal MP is rampant in African languages. This means that there is equally MP for tone contrast in tonal languages and that tone distinguishes meaning in tonal languages. Some scholars such as Pike (1948) and Roach (2009) refer to this as a contrast involving the tonemes. Based on the two tiers identified for generating the MPs, Pike (1948) concludes that contrastive lexical units of sounds are phonemes, while contrastive sound units especially in tone languages are tonemes. He defines a tone language as a language that has a lexically significant contrastive but relative pitch on each syllable.

The MP is used to refer to a simple binary opposition, but opposition involving more than two items is referred to as the minimal set (MS). To Ladefoged (2006), the MS is where a number of words differ from each other in terms of one phone in a particular position in the words. Ladefoged (2001) had earlier shown that the vowels /a/, /e/, /i/, /o/ and /u/ of Swahili are distinct by the following set of words: pata 'hinge’
2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { peta 'bend' } \\
& \text { pita 'pass' } \\
& \text { pota 'twist' } \\
& \text { puta 'thrash' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Fromkin and Rodman (1993) consider it not always straightforward to establish such set as it may require very complex study of multiple oppositions. It is sometimes difficult to establish MP. Phonologists have provided a way of riggling out of such difficulty and that is the use of "near minimal pair" (Wells, 1982).

Some sounds have different realisations. These different realisations are called allophones. The choice of either of the allophones is sometimes conditioned by context. This means that the occurrence of one phoneme is predictable when compared to the other and the phonemes at such instance are said to be in complementary distribution (Birch, 2002). Dirven and Verspoor (2004) see complementary distribution as referring to where one allophone occurs exclusively in one environment (context), another allophone occurs exclusively in another environment. In the
opinion of Oyebade and Mbah (2008), a pair of sounds are said to be in complementary distribution when they occur in mutually exclusive environments. Continuing they say that "sounds occur in mutually exclusive environments when each sound occurs in a fixed set of contexts in which no other phonetically related sound can occur" (p.33). To Mbah and Mbah (2010:78), "if two phonetically different sounds are restricted to different environments in relation to other sounds, their differences are accounted for and ruled out by their distribution". They conclude that the different sounds are phonemically not distinctive but are in complementary distribution.

Dirven and Verspoor (2004), however, disclose that when any of the allophones is selected without affecting the meaning of an utterance, the sounds are said to be in free variation (subsequently FV). Mbah and Mbah (2010) and Bittner (2013) collaborate Dirven and Verspoor; Mbah and Mbah succinctly describe FV as that which involves the occurrence of two speech sounds that are phonetically different in the same environment without contrasting meaning; while Bittner (2013) presents FV as a phenomenon in linguistics that accounts for two or more sounds or forms that appear in the same environment with neither a change in meaning nor being regarded as incorrect by native speakers. Durand (1990:8) had earlier disclosed that FV occurs "if two phones can be substituted for each other in the same environment without destroying the identity of the lexical item under consideration." The word identity as used by this scholar refers to meaning.

From the foregoing, it is evident that two types of allophones are recognized, based on whether there is a contextual determinant of the place of occurrence of a specific allophone of a phoneme or the speaker can conveniently choose any of the allophones in whichever context; allophones in complementary distribution (CD) and the allophones in free variation (FV).

### 2.1.3 Phonological processes and secondary articulation features

Dirven and Verspoor (2004) discuss phonological processes and secondary articulation features in terms of sounds in context. They consider them as involving the assertion of influence on sounds such that they undergo some changes from their original state when words are combined with other words. As pointed out by Mbah and Mbah (2010), certain phonological processes tend to modify or change the nature of speech sounds involved during speech, while secondary articulation is the superimposition of some additional articulation on the primary articulation. In the opinion of Schane (1973), phonological processes refers to all changes that take place when morphemes are combined to form words and the segments of neighbouring morphemes are juxtaposd. Eme (2008) considers phonological processes and secondary articulation features to be the effects of intersegmental co-ordination in speech where sounds of a language are brought
together for the formation of meaningful utterances in normal flowing speech thereby causing the sounds to change to something different from what they would ordinarily have been if they were to be pronounced in isolation. According to Oyebade (2001:61), phonological processes are "sound modifications motivated by the need to maintain euphony in language or to rectify violation of well-formedness constraints in the production of utterances." What this definition suggests is that phonological processes are products of language-specific well-formedness constraints; they are also context-dependent. This study agrees with Oyebade’s view. Alozie (2015) and Emenanjo (2015) buttress this issue of context in their separate definitions. Alozie (2015), for example, defines phonological processes as changes which speech sounds undergo when they occur in company of other sounds as a result of the influence of adjacent segment or their environment of occurrence. Emenanjo (2015:71) sees phonological processes as "states of being that come into reality when certain sounds come together in certain environments, during speech."

One can say that the scholars agree in thier views on the phonological processes and secondary articulation features. However, two things delight the researcher in Emenanjo's definition and they are; that there are "states of being" (referring to the various sounds of a language and the way they are ordinarily produced) and these "states of being" become something in "reality" (referring to their actual real production different from their original state) when they occur together in certain context during speech. It could be inferred from Mbah \& Mbah (2010) that both phonological processes and secondary articulation features involve speech sounds coming together in speech. Their major difference is that in the former, the way speech sounds are produced is modified but in the latter, a different articulation is superimposed on the primary articulation of speech sounds.

Having generally discussed the phonological processes and secondary articulation features, let us now look at some of these processes and features. They include: aspiration, lengthening of sounds, vowel reduction, devoicing of sounds, lenition, labialisation, palatalisation and homorganicity of nasals, nasalisation and double articulation, liaison, neutralization of phonotactic constraints, assimilation and elision.

Crystal (2008:38) defines aspiration as the "audible breath which may accompany a sound articulation when certain types of plosive consonants are released." What this definition suggests is that there is a puff of air that follows the production of a sound that is aspirated. Eme (2008) adopts the definition by Ladefoged (1982:47) that it is 'a period of voicelessnes after the articulation of stop and before the start of voicing for the vowel or a voiced sound’.

Lengthening of sounds, to Eme (2008), is a process by which some sounds are made to be longer in duration than they ordinarily are. She observes that it is only vowels and syllabic nasals that could be lengthened and considers sound lengthening as a technique employed by speakers while searching for the correct word, hesitating or pausing in order to change line of thought in the discussion or just as a mere speech mannerism of a particular individual. These instances of lengthening are inexhaustive. Lengthening can also result from two identical vowels occupying a contiguous position. According to Eme, the lengthened sound, in some cases, fails to retain a level pitch throughout its duration thereby leading to a contour tone, which could be either a low rising contour tone [ ${ }^{`}$ ] or a high falling contour tonê I. She identifies the high falling tone as an evidence of a lengthened vowel or an evidence of two identical vowels with contrasting high and low pitches. In her opinion, the fact of the two vowels being together explains the longer duration of the vowels when compared with a single vowel. She, therefore, considers the use of contour tone in such instances as a convenient way of representing these two identical vowels.

Vowel reduction is described by Clark and Yallop (1995) as a direct outcome of target undershoots during the production of the vowel. In the opinion of Eme (2008), it a process by which a vowel loses the articulatory maneuvers involved in its production and so it is realised as an indistinct vowel represented by a schwa []. In relation to English, Dirven and Verspoor (2004) define vowel reduction as the process in which unstressed vowels typically lose their distinctive quality to take on the quality of the schwa vowel. From these definitions, one can conclude that vowel reduction is debasement of the quality of a vowel and that the debased vowel usually becomes schwa [ $\quad$ ].

The weakening (lenition) and strengthening (fortition) of consonants is an articulatory process that does not lead to a loss of segment, but instead, is used to bring about dialectal variation, whereby sometimes, where one dialect uses a stronger consonant, another one uses a weaker one (Eme, 2008). Eme observes that in Igbo, the closer the articulators during the production of a consonant, the stronger the consonant produced and the more open the articulators, the weaker the consonant (p. 37\&38) e.g.

3 a. S.I.
[a ${ }^{\dagger} b^{\prime}$ ]
[nsògbu]

| Akpo man [a'wó] | 'am' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [nsòwu] | 'problem' |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { b. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { S.I. } \\ {[d \mathrm{j} i]}\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Amaezụ } \\ {[\text { sì }]}\end{array} & \text { 'your' }\end{array}\right\}$ fortition

Schane (1973) sees labialisation as a secondary modification whereby the lip position of a rounded vowel induces a secondary articulation onto the consonant. Labialisation is the instance of lip rounding superimposed on an unrounded segment because of the influence of a rounded sound segment in its environment (Eme, 2008; Mbah and Mbah, 2010). Labialisation, to Emenanjo (2015:99), refers to "secondary articulation involving any noticeable lip rounding accompanying any primary sound." Eme and Mbah \& Mbah's view of labialisation seems more succinct. Emenanjo's view would require the knowledge of the sound segment that is the primary sound. What is not clear in all these definitions of labialisation is the direction of the inducement. Eme (2008) submits that labialisation is a natural phenomenon, while Mbah and Mbah (2010) disclose that phonetically, the symbol used to denote labialisation is a raised [ ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ ] on the labialised phoneme.

For palatalisation, Dirven and Verspoor (2004) describe it as a common process in which the palatal glide [j] causes a preceding obstruent to be articulated in the palatal region. What this suggests is that palatalisation is a secondary articulation feature involving only the obstruents. Dirven and Verspoor point out that palatalisation can occur across word boundaries or within a word. This is not far from Schane (1973:50) where he defines palatalisation as a secondary modification whereby the togue position of a front vowel is superimposed on an adjacent consonant. To Eme (2008:62), palatalisation is simply "the raising of the front part of the tongue towards the hard palate during the production of a sound that does not primarily involve such a raising." Crystal (2008) aligns with this definition. Crystal (2008:347) is, however, clearer by describing palatalisation as refering to "any articulation involving a movement of the tongue towards the hard palate." In their submission, Mbah and Mbah (2010) add that it is the raising that is responsible for the i-colouring perceived during palatalisation. Dirven and Verspoor's view of palatalisation clearly shows how palatalisation takes place as well as the sound segment that is usually affected. Following from this, Eme (2008) rightly observes that in Igbo, palatalisation usually occurs to a consonant when it precedes the Igbo high front vowel [ii/ in its anticipation e.g. [ $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{I}^{\downarrow}$ táà 'now'.

Emenanjo (1987) and Katamba (1993) had earlier presented palatalisation and labialisation as involving the vowels. They disclose that in speech, especially in fast speech, if a high vowel precedes another vowel, especially at juncture, the high vowel turns into a semi-vowel (palatalisation or labialisation). In the opinion of Emenanjo (1987), the semivowels 'y' or 'w' do not behave alike in Igbo. He says that while ' $y$ ' is an exponent of an underlyini.g 'iw' is an additive feature, a secondary articulation of labialisation to the preceding consonant (pp. 3-4). Emenanjo (2015) maintains this position. This is contrary to Katamba’s (1993) finding about Luganda, that when the first of two adjacent vowels is high, it becomes a glide [y] or [w] without
necessarily affecting the tone (p.155). Utulu (2006) agrees with Katamba (1993) and adds that it is a common feature in many languages to convert the front high vowels and their back counterparts to semivowels. If Emananjo (1987; 2015), Katamba (1993) and Utulu (2006) are correct in their opinion that labialisation and palatalisation involve the convertion of the high vowels to semivowel when they are followed by another vowel, then this kind of secondary articulatory feature cannot be described as being anticipatory. Sometimes, a high vowel does not have another vowel occupying a contiguous position with it but the preceding consonant is still produced with secondary articulation feature. This study agrees with the view that labialisation and palatalisation are anticipatory features.

Eme (2008) agrees with Clark’s (1990) view that the linking of the vowel i orị with the preceding consonant blocks vowel assimilation in line with Uniform Applicability Condition (UAC) of Schein and Steriade (1986). She discloses that under the Uniform Applicability Condition, a rule R may not alter the contents of a phonological unit $\alpha$ unless $\alpha$ satisfies the structural description of R in all its linkages. Clark (1990) maintains that under the term of the UAC, the vowel [i] remains present in the surface output as it cannot undergo vowel assimilation; its linkages (the link to the consonant [s]) fails to satisfy the structural description of the rule, which requires linkage to [CONS].

Fig. 2.2: Analysis of i or $̣$ linkage to the preceding consonant in Igbo using the UAC of Schein and Steriade (1986)

(c.f. Clark, 1990:22)

This study adopts Eme (2008) and Mbah and Mbah’s (2010) views on labialisation and Dirven and Verpoor's (2004) view on palatalisation. It differs from Eme on her argument that the phenomenon of linking the vowel i or $i ̣$ with the preceding consonant blocks vowel assimilation. It contends that in anticipation, the consonant preceding the high vowel becomes palatalized or labialized, as the case may be, while the high vowel assimilates the following vowel. The assimilation process is not automatic, as it is determined by tone as our data on palatalisation and labialisation in chapter four show.

Homorganic nasal assimilation, to Eme (2008), occurs as a result of the urge for economy during the articulation of the sounds. We agree with this description because of the spontaneous copying of some features of the next segment by the syllabic nas $\dot{\mathbf{N}} / /$ for easy articulation and flow of speech rather than being realised based on its original state. This is equally an example of partial assimilation.

Nasal assimilation occurs when one segment takes on the nasality of a neighbouring segment (Dirven \& Verspoor, 2004:120). Eme (2008) describes nasalisation as a situation where the velum is lowered to allow the airstream to flow out through the nasal cavity during the production of a nasal sound and still remains in this position for the production of a following non-nasal sound. The airstream now flows out through the nasal cavity as well as through the oral cavity, thereby causing the sound to be nasalised. In her opinion, this phenomenon is language universal and a common feature among the Igbo dialects. The difference between Dirven and Verspoor (2004) and Eme's (2008) views on nasalization is that while in the former, only one segment (whether in front of or after ) can be nasalised, such that it could be perseverative or anticipatory , as in nnà [nnä] 'father' in Igbo or can't [kãnt] in English; in the latter, it is only perseverative e .g. mmadụ [mmãd̀̀] 'person'. The former could be described as being general and the latter is specific. Essien (1979) earlier disclosed that nasalisation takes place within a syllable and does not occur across syllable boundaries. The reason for this could be because a syllable is usually made of a vowel or a consonant followed by a vowel and by the time the vowel of a syllable is produced, the articulators would be ready for articulation of the next syllable. Nasalisation is different from nasal consonant. Emenanjo (2015) distinguishes nasal consonant from nasalisation and says that in the nasal consonant, there is a complete closure in the mouth and thus the air in the mouth can only escape through the nose, but in nasalisation, the air in the mouth escapes through both the mouth and nose following the lowering of the velum. This study agrees with this distinction based on two premises: firstly, the feature [+nasal] is one of the parameters used for describing a consonant sound whose production involves a complete closure of the oral cavity and the airstream goes out through the nasal cavity only unlike consonants where the nasal cavity is completely closed and the airstream goes out through the oral cavity only; secondly, nasalisation is a partial assimilatory process where the sound segment involved does not completely copy all the features of the sound segment that influences it e.g. anụ [añ] 'meat'.

Eme (2008) defines double articulation as the production of a sound with two simultaneous strictures of equal importance, which perceptually tends to have the quality of a combination of two sounds merged together. She submits that these sounds are phonemic in Igbo. She also points out that it is a natural phenomenon that during the co-articulation of consonants produced with
double articulation, there is perseveration in voicing following the fact that every sound produced with double articulation must be realised as a single sound segment, such that it is either voiced or voiceless.

Eme (2008:84) succinctly defines liaison as a phonological process involving the introduction of a sound in between two words to serve as a connecting link between the two words. She opines that this segment should not be confused with the epenthetic vowel she culled from Clark (1989) and Oggwụeleka (1994) or the epenthetic tone she culled from Mbah (2005). Eme asserts that in Igbo, liaison is more prevalent in relaxed speech than during very rapid speech and that the segment introduced during liaison could be said to be an optional element. She points out that Emenanjo (1978) may have had liaison in mind in his discussion of vowel reduplication, which he gives examples as:
4.

| ọsụu ji | 'pounder of yams' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ọgbaā tùm tùm | 'motor cyclist' |

Eme (2008) has described neutralization of phonotactic constraint as an aspect of intersegmental coordination. Some of the phonotactic constraints which have been identified in Igbo by Ikekeọnwụ, Ezikeojiakụ, Ụbanị and Ugọjị (1999) are: that the high front vowels 'i' or ̣̣' do not occur after the labial and labialised velar sounds [kp gb kw gw] to form a CV word like *kpi *gbi *kwi *gwi, and they cannot follow k nw ny w to form *ki, *nwi, *nyi, *ẉì;cannot come after m, nw, ny to form words like *ṇ̣, *nwọ, *nyọ. This is considered an over generalization as Igbo has words like nyị̀ (nwa) 'push (baby)', wị (ara) 'mad', nyi 'climb/rise', kpị (mp ịkpị) 'stingy'.

Assimilation is a phonological process where 'a segment takes on features of a neighbouring segment’ (Schane, 1973:49). Napoli (1996) and Dirven and Verspoor (2004) agree with Schane (1973). Napoli (1996) points out that assimilation arises when a sound changes to become similar to the nearby sound. To Dirven and Verspoor (2004), assimilation is a phonological process involving a sound causing an adjacent sound to be "more similar" to itself. They identify two kinds of assimilation - progressive assimilation and retrogressive assimilation and note that retrogressive assimilations are frequent in English. These definitions are clear in their view that the sound influenced and the sound that influences it must be at contiguous position. What they have not made clear is the level of influence an adjacent segment asserts on the segment so influenced. Eme (2008) fills this gap. She succinctly describes assimilation as an instance where a sound becomes influenced by another sound segment such that the sound so influenced becomes, either fully or partially, like the segment that influences it. She says that complete assimilation
may involve vowel to vowel or consonant to consonant, but that vowel to vowel assimilation is more prevalent in Igbo. Eme (2008) attributes it to the fact that consonants do not end words in the Igbo language. To Betancourty (2009), assimilation is a common phonological process by which the phonetics of a speech segment becomes more like that of another segment in a word or at a word boundary. The flavour of this definition is in its disclosure that assimilation could take place within a word or at a word boundary.

Emenanjo (1987; 2015) differs from Eme (2008) on kinds of vowel assimilation in Igbo. Emenanjo (1987) identifies three kinds of vowel assimilation - complete assimilation, conditional assimilation and partial assimilation, while Emenanjo (2015) identifies complete assimilation, coalescent assimilation and conditional assimilation. Emenanjo sees vowel assimilation as a process whereby two dissimilar vowels on either sides of the juncture may become similar in quality in rapid speech not minding the tongue position, tongue root position or size of the pharynx. He is of the opinion that vowel assimilation occurs in Igbo without vowel elision and/ or tone assimilation (Emenanjo, 1987; 2015). This study disagrees with Emenanjo (1987) that vowel assimilation does not involve vowel elision. It also contends that there is tone assimilation, especially before syllable elision as we shall see later in our data on syllable elision. Emenanjo (1987, 2015) also states that complete assimilation may be regressive or progressive. He points out that in regressive assimilation, the first vowel, VI, shares the same quality as the following vowel, V2. He represented it formally thus: $\mathrm{V}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ if V 1 is /a, e, ©/ (Emenanjo, 2015:81). Emenanjo notes that each of the vowels retains its duration and expected tone. The scholar illustrates with the following examples:

| 5. | ùde + | isi | $\rightarrow$ | ùdiisí |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'cream' | 'head' |  | 'hair cream' |
|  | ahà + | ihe | $\rightarrow$ | ahìihē |
|  | 'name' | 'thing' |  | 'name of a thing' |
|  | egō + | ewu | $\rightarrow$ | egeewū |
|  | 'money' | 'goat' |  | 'money for goat' |
|  | ب̣ọ + | ubì | $\rightarrow$ | ụlùubì |
|  | 'house' | 'farm' |  | 'farm house' |

(adapted from Emenanjo, 1987; 2015)

According to Emenanjo (1987; 2015), progressive assimilation occurs when the final vowel of the first word is $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular subject pronoun and the V2 is in the verb -bụ 'be identified as'; 'be' such that $V_{1}+V_{2} \rightarrow V_{1} V_{2}$. He illustrates with the following examples:

6 a. ọ $\quad+\quad$ bụ $\rightarrow \quad$ ọ̣ $\quad$ 'it is'
b. mà ọḅ̣ $\quad \rightarrow \quad$ mọọọ

Emenanjo (2015) formally captures the general vowel assimilation with the P-rule thus:
Rule 1: Emenanjo's P-rule analysis of general vowel assimilation in Igbo

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syll } \\
+ \text { high }
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syll } \\
+ \text { high } \\
+ \text { low }
\end{array}\right] /-\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syll } \\
+ \text { high }
\end{array}\right]
$$

From Emenanjo's illustrations on complete assimilation, it is observed that regressive assimilation at word boundary sometimes involves weakening of tones of the second word e.g. ùde +isi $\rightarrow$ ùdiisī 'hair pomade', strengthening of tones of the first word or tone metathesis e.g. egō+ewu $\rightarrow$ egeewū, while progressive assimilation does not affect tones.

Emenanjo (1987; 2015) says that in conditional vowel assimilation, if the final vowel of the first word is $u$ or $u$ and the speed of the utterance is rapid, the $u$ or $u$ assimilates to the first vowel of the second word, otherwise, there is no assimilation

| 7 a. | okwu + | egō $\rightarrow$ | okweegō or okwuegō |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. | 'talk' | 'money' | 'talk about money' |
| c. | ọnप̣̄ + | anụ $\rightarrow$ | ọnaanụ̄ or ọnụanụ̆ |
| d. | 'mouth' | 'animal' | 'mouth of animal' |

Eme (2008) states that in partial assimilation, the assimilated segment drops part of its phonetic qualities to take up part of the phonetic qualities of the segment that influences it. She also says that partial assimilation that occurs across word boundaries during connected speech usually involves a syllabic nasal and a consonant. This study agrees with Eme and further notes that the consonant involved could either be oral or nasal.

For coalescent assimilation, Schane (1973:54-56) presents three different kinds of coalescent assimilation, namely: consonant coalescence, vowel coalescence and coalescence of vowel and consonant. The scholar says that consonant coalescence involves two contiguous consonants being replaced by a single one which shares features of the two original ones. He exemplifies with Korean, where he observes that whenever a noncontinuant and $h$ are contiguous they are replaced by an aspirated noncontinuant, and French, where Latin geminates were degeminated, making two identical consonants to often coalesce to a single one e.g.

9. Latin French
a. terra $\rightarrow$ terə 'land'
b. bella $\rightarrow$ belə 'beatiful (feminine)'
c. gutta $\rightarrow$ gutə 'drop’

For vowel coalescence, Schane sees it as an instance where vowel cluster is reduced to a single vowel in a language. He illustrates with Romance language where Latin $a i$ and $a u$ become $e$ and $o$ respectively with the resulting vowel having the same backness and rounding as the original high vowel e.g.
10.

## Latin

a. aidifikium
b. aik ${ }^{\mathrm{w} a ́ l e m ~}$
edifisio
‘building’
eguál 'even’
c. páupere
póbre
'poor'

Schane uses French as an example of language with vowel and consonant coalescence. He discloses that in this language, a vowel and a nasal consonant coalesce to a nasalised vowel whenever the nasal consonant is followed by a consonant or a pause e.g.

| 11 a. boncer | 'happiness' | bote | 'goodness' |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. tonalite | 'tonality' | $\tilde{\text { to }}$ | 'tone' |
| c. plenə | 'full (feminine)' | pl $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ | 'full (masculine)' |

Oyebade (1998) succinctly points out that when two contiguous segments at the underlying representation disappear at the surface phonetic level to be replaced by a third segment which shares features from both segments that disappeared, it is said that the two contiguous segments have coalesced into one. Eme (2008) agrees with schane (1973) and Oyebade (1998) where she considered coalescent assimilation as a case of two adjacent segments influencing each other such that they emerge to be one segment that shares certain phonetic qualities with the parent sounds. Below are examples from Eme (2008:115):

| 12. Akpo: a. | kwete ${ }^{\downarrow}$ yá | $\rightarrow$ | /kweti ${ }^{\text {لé/ }}$ | 'consent' |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. | ọgọ̀ ya | $\rightarrow$ | /ogì a/ | 'his/her in-law' |


| Adazi Nnukwu: | a. | be ja | $\rightarrow$ | /bi e/ | 'his/ her home' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b. | gwa ${ }^{\downarrow}{ }^{\text {já }}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $/ \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | / him' |
| Ezza: | a. | kpata ${ }^{\downarrow}$ já | $\rightarrow$ | /kpatı ${ }^{\text {ª́/ }}$ | 'the right/ correct one' |
|  | b. | ufè ja | $\rightarrow$ | /utii e/ | 'everything to his satis |

To Emenanjo (1987:24), coalescent vowel assimilation can occur in two forms in Igbo. He states that; $V_{1}+V_{2} \rightarrow y V_{2}$ if $V_{1}$ is either $i$ or $\dot{i}$ and $V_{2}$ is any vowel of the harmony set as $i$ or $i ̣$ and is on the same tone level (p.24).

13 a. isi + ewu $\rightarrow$ isyewū
b. 'head' 'goat' 'goat head'
c. ụḍ rị $+\quad$ ụo $̣ \quad \rightarrow \quad$ ụd ryụ̣ $̣$ ọ
d. 'type' 'house' 'type of house'

Emenanjo also states that $\mathrm{V}_{1}+\mathrm{Ya} \rightarrow$ (i) iye if $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ is a wide vowel, or (ii) ya if $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ is a narrow vowel.

| 14 (a) | i. | imi + | ya | $\rightarrow$ | imijē |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ii. | 'nose' | 'his' |  | 'his nose' |
|  |  | $\text { ntị } \quad+$ | ya | $\rightarrow$ | ntìja |
|  |  | 'ear' | 'his’ |  | 'his ear' |
| (b) | i. | ike + | ya | $\rightarrow$ | ikije ${ }^{-}$ |
|  |  | 'strength' | ‘his’ |  | 'his strength' |
|  | ii. | egō + | ya | $\rightarrow$ | egijē |
|  |  | 'money' | 'his' |  | 'his money' |
|  | iii. | aka + | ya | $\rightarrow$ | akijā |
|  |  | 'hand' | 'his’ |  | 'his hand' |
|  | iv. | afọ + | ya | $\rightarrow$ | afijā |
|  |  | 'belly' | 'his' |  | 'his belly’ |

Emenanjo (2015) maintains his earlier position on coalescent assimilation in Igbo. This study holds a different view from Emenanjo (2015) on what he presents as the first form of coalescent assimilation in (13) as well as the first part of his second form of coalescent assimilation in (14a). It considers Emenanjo's first form of coalescent assimilation in Igbo as rather a case of high vowel turning into a semi-vowel when contiguous with another vowel at juncture, while the first part of his second form is a case of firstly, elision of the consonant of the pronoun ye where the final
vowel of the first word has the features [+high], [-back], [+ or -ATR] or [+high], [+back], [+ATR]; where the final vowel of the first word is any other vowel, it will 'agree’ with the consonant of the pronoun ye to coalesce to $\mathrm{i} / \underset{\mathrm{i}}{ }$ depending on the vowel harmony group of the vowel affected by the coalescent assimilation. The coalesced sound segment will in turn 'force' the remaining vowel of the pronoun to agree in harmony with it. This instance can also be treated as partial progressive assimilation.

From the foregoing discussions on assimilation, one can conveniently suggest a reclassification of assimilation in Igbo: Assimilation based on degree, and assimilation based on direction. Assimilation based on degree includes complete assimilation, partial assimilation and conditional assimilation; while assimilation based on direction includes regressive assimilation, progressive assimilation and coalescent assimilation. A tree diagram representation of this reclassification is also given below:

Fig. 2.3: A reclassification of assimilation in Igbo


Complete Partial Conditional Regressive Progressive Coalescent

Yule (1996; 2010) sees elision as the omission of a segment which might be present in the deliberate pronunciation of a word in isolation, while to Eme (2008), it is the dropping of a sound or some sounds in a word during connected speech. To Dirven and Verspoor (2004), elision involves the omission of sounds in the stream of speech, especially in informal speaking styles. Mbah and Mbah (2010) present elision as a phonological process which involves the omission or deletion of some sound segments in rapid speech. From the foregoing, the scholars are saying the same thing and that is that in elision, sound segment(s) is/are omitted or deleted.

Vowel harmony is another phonological process which refers to all the vowels within a specified unit that agree in some phonetic features (Hyman, 1975). Katamba (1989) sees vowel harmony as a process whereby within certain designated domain, usually the word, all vowels are required to share one or more phonological properties. To Ifediora (2011), vowel harmony is a phonological process whereby vowels with identical phonological characteristics co-occur. Ifediora's definition is succinct. In Igbo, for example, there are two groups: the plus advanced tongue root [+ATR]
vowels and minus advanced tongue root [-ATR] vowels. Vowels of the same group co-occur, but this is mostly for the formation of simple words.

On tone, scholars never agreed on the number of tonemes in Igbo. Igwe (1975) and Emenanjo (2015) identify two basic tones in Igbo - the high tone and the low tone, while Ogbonnaya (1975) and Mbah and Mbah (2010) identify three. Ogbonnaya calls the third tone mid tone and says it is marked as / $/$ /, while to Mbah and Mbah it is down-step tone. Mbah and Mbah further note that each of the tones can form a MP in the language (p.119). Igwe (1975) and Emenanjo (2015) argue against the down-step or mid tone being a basic tone in Igbo because it does not begin any word in Igbo. Emenanjo, however, admits that Igbo has a downstep tone rather than a mid tone but points out the difficulty in analyzing it because of its restricted distribution unlike the low and high tones, and the fact that it does not follow a low tone.

Mbah and Mbah (2010) present the tonotactic characteristics of Igbo thus:
i. High tone: It has an acoustically high pitch. It is usually represented by the acute diacriticmark (') and can:
(a) begin a word or sentence e.g. áká
(b) come in the middle or at the end of a word e.g. ákwụkw ọ̣‘book’
(c) be final in a word or sentence e.g. ákwá 'cry’
(d) be reduced a little but not as low as to the level of a low tone, e.g. áká + chí = áká chī 'hand of God'
ii. Low tone: It has a low pitch level. It is marked by the grave accent ( ) and can:
a. begin a word or sentence e.g. àlà 'land'
b. come in the middle of a word e.g. ázìzá 'story'
c. come last in a word or sentence e.g. ákụḷ̣ ' way’
d. get raised to a stop when it falls in between two high tones across word junctures e.g. ụlọ + òke $=$ ụlọ oké
e. can be transform to high when it occurs in a series and at syntactic junctures e.g. àlà Ìgbò = àlá Ìgbò
iii. Step tone: It is a reduced high tone. It is usually marked with either an acute accent following a high tone or raised macron over the letter ( ${ }^{-}$) and:
a. cannot start word or sentence
b. can occur in the middle of a word e.g. náāní 'only', nîilé 'all'
c. can occur at word final positions e.g. égō 'money', ḿmirirī ‘water'
d. when it comes in between a series of high tones it can get raised or promoted to a high tone e.g. égō + élé = égó élē
e. cannot follow a low tone.

Ohiri-Aniche (2013) discloses the segments that bear tones and the convention of marking tones in Igbo. To her, tones are marked above the vowel or syllabic nasal and generally, low tone [ `] and downsteps [ ${ }^{-}$] are marked, while high tone is left unmarked in Igbo. This is in line with Green and Igwe's (1963) tone-marking convention. Other tone-marking conventions which Mbah and Mbah (2010:122-123) present are R. C. Abraham's tone-marking convention of writing syllables on high tone in capital letters, syllables with a step tone is written with an initial capital letter and
the following vowel in small letters or if syllabic nasals or monosyllables in small capital letter, low tone has its syllable written in small letters; Welmers and Nwachukwu's tone-marking convention of marking high tones and step tones with acute accent and low tones with grave accent; Emenanjo and Williamson's tone-marking convention of leaving all high tones unmarked, marking all low tones with a grave accent, and marking all step tones with a macron. Mbah and Mbah, however, note that R. C. Abraham's convention is no longer in vogue due to the influence of science and technology.

Despite Igbo is a register tone language, tones sometimes glide (Igwe, 1975; Ogbonnaya, 1975; Emenanjo, 2015). Igwe (1975) identifies the phonetically motivated glide and grammatically motivated glide, but Ogbonnaya (1975) and Emenanjo (2015) recognize only the grammatically motivated glide.

For Emenanjo (2015:111);

> Igbo has two significant and contrasting tones (better tonemes), High and Low. Outside the features of downstep and downdrift, there are a number of non significant tonal features. One of these is the high falling-to-low-tone, a gliding tone. This high falling-to-low-tone is a grammatically motivated tone which always occurs in the last syllable preceding the verb in the Indicative verb form.

Emenanjo illustrates with the following example:
15. Ùlû gàwàrà ahịa 'Ulu wentto the market.'

The scholar points out that our system of writing would prefer an extra low tone vowel of the same quality to precede the verb thereby giving:
16. Ùluù gàwàrà ahịa 'Ulu went to the market.'

He concludes that the high falling tone can reasonably be regarded as an allotone of the high tone which have a syntactically conditioned distribution because of it being in CD with a high tone in the syntactic environment it exists.

Ohiri-Aniche (2013) discloses that Ụkwụanị and Ikwere have falling and rising tones as shown below:
17. Ụkwụanị: ụkwụụ [ $\mathrm{vk}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{v}^{\prime}$ ] 'waist'; ụkwụ [vkwv] 'leg'; ọnyaà [эnaà] 'trap’;

ọnya [элa] 'wound'.
Ikwere: ọ̣mụ̂ [’̀mô] 'to bear a child'; ọ̀mụ̆ [כ̀mǒ] 'to study'.
Ogbonnaya (1975) had earlier observed the scantiness of the high falling to low tone and the low rising to high tone and said that they are seldomly found on words in isolation. To him, they could
be found in words, phrases and clauses in which a syllable sort of 'glides' into another during speech.
$\begin{array}{rll}18 & \text { a. } & \text { Ònyê chọ̣rọ̀ ìtè? }\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { 'who wants a pot' } \\ & \text { b. }\end{aligned}$ Hâ nwèrè akwụkwọ. $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { 'they have books' } \\ & \text { c. } \\ & \text { Ofê dị n'ìtè }\end{aligned} \quad$ 'there's soup in the pot' $\quad$ High falling tones
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}19 & \text { a. Ta ă bụ̆ Eke bụ̀ ahịia } & \text { 'today, which is Eke, is a market day' } \\ \text { b. Gịnī kà ogě kwùrù ùgbuà? } & \text { 'what is the time now?' }\end{array}\right\} \quad$ low rising tones
Igwe (1975) discloses three more facts about glides in Igbo:
i. A glide occurs in the process of passing away from one syllable to another.
ii. There must not be an intervening consonant between the vowels or syllabic nasals which occur in juxtaposition.
iii. For glide to result, two vowels or syllabic nasals must be contiguous.

Igwe (1975) is also of the opinion that phonetically motivated glide occurs when two vowels occur contiguously either in the same word or in juxtaposed words and the second vowel, which constitutes a syllable, is either lower or higher than the one preceding it.
20. (a.) mmeē 'blood', ag'ụọ̣ 'hunger', nī̄le 'all', ùgbuà 'now'
(b.) lèe ‘look', làa 'go away', O zụtàrà azụ ‘he bought fish', O lọ̣tàrà ngwā 'he/she came back early’

The scholar says that this kind of glide is restricted to a single syllable and may be down-glides or up-glides and occur under any of these conditions:
a. If a word or phrase or clause which functions as the subject in a sentence type of the Simple Sentence Form I has a high final syllable.

21 (i.) Eghû tàrà ji 'The goat ate the yam'
(ii.) akwụkwọ yā` fùrù 'His book got lost'
(iii.) ndị byāra ngwā' hụrụ̀ ya 'those who came in time saw it'
b. If the final syllable of a noun or a noun phrase is low and the noun or noun phrase is the head of the relative clause.
22. (i.) mgbě gāra āga 'in past time' / 'time which is past'
(ii.) àlă jụ̄rụ oyī 'ground which is cold'
c. If a verb is the first in a sequenceof verbal forms and the one immediately following it has a prefix.
23. (i.) Ha nâ àbya ème yā
'let them keep coming and doing it'
(ii.) Unù gâ àga, unù màkwaa àwọ
'If you really wanted to go, you had better start early'

Emenanjo (2015) contends that the grammatically motivated glide is marked with a circumflex and that it is not phonemic in Igbo because Igbo is a discrete level tone language. To this scholar, it always occurs on the last syllable preceding the verb in the indicative verb form. He opines that whenever gliding tones occur in words in isolation, they should be treated as two different pitch levels on two identical vowels. He suggests a reanalysis of gliding tone in Igbo as an extra vowel of the same quality following the preceding vowel. He illustrates with the following examples:
24.
(i.) Hâ bịàrà
$>\quad$ Há à bịàrà
'They came'
(i.) $\mathrm{E} \quad>\quad$ Èé $\quad$ 'Indeed! (Are you sure?)'

Emenanjo's suggestion is in agreement with Mbah and Mbah's (2010) earlier opinion that where register tone languages have a glide, it is an orthographic convention involving graphological elision.

This study aligns with the view that Igbo has three basic tones - the high tone, low tone and downstep tone and that each of them differentiates meaning. If downstep is not accepted as a toneme in Igbo, why does it differentiate meaning in the language? The toneme is a minimal distinctive sound pitch unit just as phoneme is a minimal distinctive sound unit. We also agree with Igwe (1975) that tone glides are phonetically motivated when two vowels appear contiguously either in the same word or in juxtaposed words with the second vowel, which constitutes a syllable, being either lower or higher than the one preceding it.

### 2.2 Empirical studies

Having reviewed the relevant concepts in this work, it is imperative that empirical works of scholars who have discussed phonological issues of languages and other dialects of Igbo related to this study are also reviewed. Specifically, previous works that have discussed the phonemes, phonological patterning of words and the phonological processes and secondary articulation features of languages and dialects of Igbo shall be examined in this section.

The Ọnwụ 1961 official standard Igbo orthography establishes 36 letters of the alphabet. They are:
a b ch d e f g gb gh gw h i ị j k kp kw l
$m$ n ń nw ny o o p r s sh t u ụ v w y z
(Adapted from SPILC)

These 36 letters translate to 36 phonemes because the language is written as pronounced; thus each phoneme has only one letter represention and few digraphs in the alphabet system of the language. The SI thirty-six phonemes are twenty-eight consonants and eight vowels (Eme and Uwaezuoke, 2015). The consonants are made up of 10 plosives, 5 nasals, 7 fricatives, 2 affricates, 1 trill, 1 lateral and 2 approximants. All sonorants are voiced. They are presented and described below:

## Consonants

/p/ voiceless bilabial plosive
/b/ voiced bilabial plosive
/t/ voiceless alveolar plosive
/d/ voiced alveolar plosive
/k / voiceless velar plosive
/g / voiced velar plosive
/kp/ voiceless labialvelar plosive
/gb/ voiced labialvelar plosive
/k ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ / voiceless labializedvelar plosive
$/ \mathrm{g}$ // voiced labializedvelar plosive
/m/ bilabial nasal
/n/ alveolar nasal
/ n / palatal nasal
/ y / velar nasal
$/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}}$ / labializedvelar nasal
/f/ voiceless post alveolar affricate
/d3/ voiced post alveolar affricate
/f / voiceless labio-dental fricative
/v / voiced labio-dental fricative
/s/ voiceless alveolar fricative
/ z / voiced alveolar fricative
$/ \int$ / voiceless post alveolar fricative
/ / / voiced velar fricative
/h / voiced glottal fricative
/ l/ alveolar lateral
/ r / alveolar trill
/ j / palatal approximant
/ w / labial velar approximant

Table 2.1 Consonant chart of SI

| Place $\rightarrow$ <br> Manner | Bilabial | Labiodental | Alveolar | Post <br> Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Labial <br> Velar | Gabialized <br> Velar | Glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | p b |  | t d |  |  | k g | kp gb | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ |  |
| Nasal | m |  | n |  | n | 1 |  | $\mathrm{y}^{\text {w }}$ |  |
| Fricative |  | f v | S z | ऽ |  | 8 |  |  | f |
| Affricate |  |  |  | tf d3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trill |  |  | r |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lateral |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approximant |  |  |  |  | j |  | w |  |  |

(Adapted from Eme and Uwaezuoke, 2015)

## Vowels

/ i / close front unrounded vowel
/ i / close-mid front retracted vowel
/e/ open-mid front unrounded vowel
/a/ open front unrounded vowel
/u / close back rounded vowel
/v/ close back retracted rounded vowel
/o / open-mid rounded vowel
/ o/ open-mid back retracted rounded vowel

Fig. 2.4 Vowel chart of SI

(Adapted from Nkamigbo and Eme, 2015)

The phoneme inventory of SI is considered relevant to this study as it will enable easy identification of the SI phonemes lacking in Omambala varieties. This study relies on the above consonant and vowel charts in placing the phonemes of Ọmambala varieties in their appropriate consonant and vowel charts.

Mba (2009) is a descriptive work on A phonological study of Effium: A dialect of Korin. She discovers that length contrasts word meaning. This phenomenon is perceived from her data in Effium as presented below:

25 (a) Vowel Length
i. /je/ 'interview(v)'
ii. /jèz/ 'help(v)’
iii. /lo/ 'repair'
iv. /low/ 'deliver'
v. /iwi/ 'bambara nut'
vi. /iwiī/ ‘oil bean’

## (b) Consonant Length

i. /kenっ/ 'desolation’
ii. / kenno/ 'finger, paralysis'
iii. /kona/ 'accept (inf)'
iv. /konna/ 'earn (inf)'
v. $/ \mathrm{l} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{\varepsilon}$ / 'inside’
vi. /lemmè/ 'belly’

She considers instances of vowel reduction as weakening of the vowel. She observes that in Effium, unstressed vowels are weakened to schwa in fast speech.
26. Normal Speech
a. /djј $\phi$ be bereфa/ ten and seven
b. /bila bila/ bad bad
c. /matià menàdi/ /matiàmənàd ${ }^{j} \mathrm{i}$ / 'how much' how much

Fast Speech
/djo be bereфa/ 'seventeen’
/obəlàbəlà 'it is bad/ ugly'

Mba（2009）observes that Effium operates nine vowels／i e u o i $\varepsilon$ v + ATR vowels are four $/ \mathrm{i} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{o/} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{-ATR} \mathrm{vowels} \mathrm{are} \mathrm{five} \AA \varepsilon \circlearrowright \supset \mathrm{a} /$ ．She says that the vowel ／a／is a neutral vowel and constitutes the third vowel set in Effium，which co－occurs with both ＋ATR and－ATR vowel sets as shown below：

27．＋ATR
a．isò＇darkness＇
b．kuko＇griding stone＇
c．mèwo＇soup＇
d．ukike＇guest＇
－ATR
IkJ＇giant snail＇
làkっф＇navel’ làtfitc＇egg＇
’romıle＇friendship＇

NEUTRAL
it ${ }^{\text {j}}{ }^{\text {èma }}$＇star＇
irupa＇blue’
عbapo＇agama lizard＇
ilòlàpi＇bedbug＇

Onuh（2010）studies Phonology of the Erè̀ language．She discusses the distributional patterns of the phoneme，some of the suprasegmental features，syllable structure of the language and some identified phonological processes in the language．She uses MP to identify the phonemes of the language．The MPs are：
28 a．／k／èk̀＇war＇，
／t／etゝ＇head＇

c．／t／odっt＇soup＇
／m／Јdっm＇work＇
d．$/ \beta /$ ì $\beta$ um＇market day 1 ＇
$/ \mathrm{m} /$ ìmum＇dumbness＇
e．／p／गdop chewing stick＇
／t／sdot＇soup＇

She regards the sounds $/ \mathrm{ktn} \mathrm{m} \beta \mathrm{p} /$ as phonemes in Erè̀ since they bring about a change in meaning of the word and occupy the same phonetic environment．She also uses the MP test to identify the vowels in the language as presented below：

29

| a． | ／i／ <br> $/ \varepsilon /$ | din <br> d $\varepsilon$ n | ＇bravery＇ <br> ＇eye＇ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b． | ／u／ | usì̀ <br> ／e／ | ＇bag＇ <br> esì |
| ＇pig＇ |  |  |  |

With the analysis, $/ \mathrm{i} \varepsilon \mathrm{u} \mathrm{e} \supset \mathrm{a}$ / are distinctive vowel phonemes in the Erèi language since they bring about meaning difference.

She identifies a unique tonal feature in the language called 'tonal homophone' where some lexical items with identical segmental and tonal element have different senses in terms of meaning e.g
 meanings of the lexical items are contextual.

Onuh uses descriptive method to identify some phonological processes and secondary articulation features in the language, like nasalization, labialisation, assimilation and vowel deletion. She did not use any theory of phonology to analyse them. On labialisation, she discovers that the sounds /b 6 t d k g n l/ are produced without lip rounding, but in certain environments, they are produced with certain amount of lip rounding when they are immediately followed by a rounded vowel. She illustrates with the following examples:
30 a. うb ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ ulà 'lawlessness’
b. $\varepsilon t^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{uk} \quad$ 'small'
c. $\varepsilon t^{\mathrm{w}}$ Us ${ }^{\text {j }}$ 'village'
d. id ${ }^{W} \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{ul}^{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{u}$ 'speech'
e. $\varepsilon \beta^{\mathrm{w}}$ om 'cow'
f. $\mathrm{un}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{uk}$ 'salt'
g. ul'wom 'husband'
h. $\varepsilon g^{\mathrm{w}}$ on 'bird'

Onuh (2010) observes that nasalization in the Erèi language is phonemic. She also describes the direction of nasalisation in this language as being right-ward and therefore, perseverative, since the vowels are preceded by nasals.

31 a. mэm 'hunger'
b. عnэm 'famine'
c. $\varepsilon$ dìnã 'sheep'
d. ußumõ 'wind'
e. ỉßemẽnẽ 'wing'

Onuh also observes in Erè̀, just as in Igbo, that though assimilation may involve consonants or vowels, vowel assimilation is common. The reason, according to Onuh is because most nouns usually start and end in vowels thereby providing an ideal site for vowel assimilation to take place.

Mba (2009) and Onuh (2010) above resemble the present study as they identify the phonemes and the phonological processes in the languages. However, this study differs from them in the sense that the languages they studied are two different languages from Igbo. Moreover, they are purely a descriptive study, while the current study uses theories of phonology for analysis in addition to using the descriptive method.

Utulu (2006) is a paper on OCP effect and glide formation in Ewulu. He presents some data to illustrate that in Ewulu dialect of Igbo, vowels i oị and u or ụ could change major class membership by converting to glide -j - and -w - respectively if they are immediately followed by a vowel depending on the rule of vowel harmony.
32.

|  | Input |  | Output | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a (i) | ufie | $\rightarrow$ | ufe | 'red' |
| (ii) | dibie | $\rightarrow$ | dibje | 'doctor' (native) |
| b (i) | isi + ewu | $\rightarrow$ | is ${ }^{\text {j }}$ ewu | 'goat head' |
| (ii) | ozi + ọma | $\rightarrow$ | oz ${ }^{\text {joma }}$ | 'good tiding' |
| c (i) | elue | $\rightarrow$ | el ${ }^{\text {w }}$ e | 'mushroom' |
| (ii) | nue | $\rightarrow$ | $n^{w} \mathrm{e}$ | 'swallow' |
| d (i) | oshu + cto | $\rightarrow$ | osh ${ }^{\text {w }}$ ¢to | 'thirty' |
| (ii) | ku + okwu | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {w }}{ }^{\text {k }} \mathrm{u}$ | 'speak' |

From the examples in (32), Utulu may have had labialization and palatalisation in mind while discussing glide. Ewulu, which he studied, is not in Ọmambala area. He has used OCP principle, which is part of the autosegmental phonology, for analysis, but this current study uses generative phonology and government phonology in addition to autosegmental phonology.

Ifediora (2011) is a study on Phonology of Umūñdu dialect of Igbo. She examines the phoneme, phonological processes and tonal processes in the dialect. She uses MP test to identify the phonemes in the dialect, as presented below:

33 a. ezè /ezè/ 'king’
izè /izè/ 'to dodge'
b. ̀̀pu /̀mpu/ 'compound entrance'
èpu /èpu/ 'germinating'
c. ǹta /ǹta/ 'today'
àta /àta/ 'chewing'
d. nka /yka/ 'old age’

ẹka /\&ka/ 'hand'
e. òkw à ìskwà/ 'partridge' àkwà /àkª̀/ ‘bed’

With the analysis, she establishes that the sounds /e $\mathrm{i} \operatorname{m} \mathrm{nyp} \varepsilon$ / distinguish meaning in Ụmụñdụ dialect and are, therefore, phonemes in the dialect. Ifediora observes that the voiced velar fricative $/ \gamma /$, which exists in many other dialects of Igbo, including the SI, does not exist in Ụmụñdụ dialect, consequent upon which speakers of the dialect replace it with voiced palatal approximant $/ \mathrm{j} /$. This is shown in the following examples:

## 34. Words SI/Other dialects Ụmụ̄ndụ

a. agha
b. ịghā
/aya/
/I ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ソá/
/aja/ 'war'
/ I já/ 'to broadcast (seeds)’

She identifies some phonemes in Ụmụ̀ ndụ dialect which are in free variation. This involves the substitution of two separate phonemes of the language without affecting the meaning. The following examples are used to illustrate this:


Ifediora uses descriptive method to discuss the phonological processes in Ụmụndụ. She discovers assimilation in the dialect, but points out that it involves only vowel to vowel assimilation. She attributes it to the fact that the dialect does not permit consonant clusters and consonants do not end words in the dialect.

36

| a. | /iké ena/ | $\rightarrow$ | [ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ kézna] | 'cunny' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. | /one sbjà/ | $\rightarrow$ | [ono $\mathrm{bb}^{\text {jà }}$ ] | 'visitor' |
| c. | $/ \mathrm{n}^{\text {wa }}$ o oha/ | $\rightarrow$ | [ $\mathrm{y}^{\text {woohe }}$ ] | 'slave' |

She observes in Ụmụ̂ ndụ dialect data that the demonstrative 'a' assimilates to the neighbouring vowel, while in some cases, the consonants at the initial positions of the second words are deleted first before the vowels that follow them become assimilated by the preseding vowel.

| a. | /c̀ku à/ | $\rightarrow$ | /èkưo/ | 'this wealth' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. | /Eho à/ | $\rightarrow$ | /とhวo/ | 'this stomach' |
| c. | /Èfwu à/ | $\rightarrow$ | /èjwơo/ | 'this body' |
| d. | /one à/ | $\rightarrow$ | /oneè/ | 'this person' |

Ifediora identifies vowel, consonant and syllable elisions in the dialect and notes that consonant elision in Ụmụ ñdụ involves the deletion of syllabic nasal [ n ] at the initial position of word and (the deletion of) palatal approximant [j] in certain words as can be seen below:

| a. | /nne/ | $\rightarrow$ | [ne] | 'mother' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. | /ònwo ${ }^{\text {jé/ }}$ | $\rightarrow$ | [ònwi ${ }^{\text {l }}$ ¢́] | 'himself' |
| c. | /sı ${ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{j}$ á/ | $\rightarrow$ | [sı ${ }^{\text {ª́] }}$ | 'tell him' |

From the examples in (38), there is the existence of syllabic nasal elision in Ụmụ̄ndụ dialect. Ifediora also notes that consonant elision does not affect the tone of the word and the number of the syllable, but vowel and syllable elisions do. She also discovers that labialisation and palatalisation in the dialect are distinctive. Ifediora demonstrates the distinctiveness of labialisation and palatalisation in Ụụūndụ using the minimal pair (MP) test as presented below:
39. labialisation:

| a. | $/ \varepsilon\}^{w} \mathrm{a} /$ | 'market' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $/ \varepsilon \int a /$ | 'a kind of fish |
| b. | /f ${ }^{\text {w }}$ a/ | 'washing of bit |
|  | /fa/ | 'them' |

40. palatalisation: a. /p ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ / 'flog' $/ \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}$ ' 'sneak' /pa/ 'carry’ /ma/ 'scoop’

| b. | b ${ }^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a} /$ | 'come' | /ria/ | 'grind' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /ba/ | 'scold' | /ra/ | 'allow' |  |

From the above minimal pairs, Ifediora concludes that $/ \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{w}} /$, /f/, $\left\{\oint^{\mathrm{w}} /\right.$ and $/ \wp^{\prime} /$ are phonemes in the dialect and that the palatalised segments as $\left[p^{j}\right],\left[b^{j}\right],\left[m^{j}\right]$ and $\left[r^{j}\right]$. The scholar observes that besides the general labialised segments in the Igbo language, Ụmụndụ dialect has additional two,
the voiceless labialised labio-dental fricative $/ \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{W}} /$ and the voiceless labialised palate-alveolar fricative $/ \int^{\mathrm{w}} /$.

```
4 1 .
    a. /\varepsilon{v\mp@subsup{|}{}{WN}\textrm{a}/ 'grass'
    b. iof N
    c. /f}\mp@subsup{\textrm{f}}{}{\textrm{w}}\textrm{a}\mathrm{ ('washing of bitterleaf'
```

She describes coalescent assimilation in the dialect as 'the fusing together of two segments.' She exemplifies with the following data:

| a. | /gomaraka ${ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{j}$ á/ | $\rightarrow$ | [gomarakı ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ] | 'count it' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. | /ofòlo ${ }^{\downarrow}$ gó ${ }^{\text {ljé/ }}$ | $\rightarrow$ | [ofòlo ${ }^{\text {ºgó }{ }^{\prime} \text { é] }}$ | 'his/ her cassava' |
| c. | /ne ${ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{j}$ é/ | $\rightarrow$ | [ ni لé] | 'his/ her mother' |
| d. | /sùle ${ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{j}$ á/ | $\rightarrow$ | [sùli ${ }^{\text {áa }}$ | 'speak it' |
| e | /nòma ${ }^{\text {d }}$ á/ | $\rightarrow$ | [nòmi ${ }^{\text {dá] }}$ | 'check it' |

Ifediora does not analyse the phonological processes with any theory of phonology, but she applies minimal pair test to identify the phonemes of the dialect. Ifediora points out that differences in the tonal patterns of Ụmụ̄ndụ dialect and SI lies in the fact that in forming question in SI, the pronouns bear low tone while in the the affirmative, they bear high tones, but in Ụmụñdụ question formation, pronouns bear high tone and in the affirmative, they bear low tones. Below are her examples:
43.
a. Ụmụ̄ndụ:
Ò sò chi
b. SI:
O sò chi
'He/she is with God'

Interrogative
O sò chi?
Ò sò chi?
‘Is he/she with God?’

Ifediora (2011) is considered vital for this study since she discusses the phonemes and phonological processes and secondary articulation features of Ụụ̂ndụ. She also uses the minimal pair test, which this study uses, to identify the phonemes. However, their difference lies in the fact that Ụmụ̂ndụ, which Ifediora (2011) has investigated, is not an Igbo variety spoken in Opmambala area. Also, Ifediora (2011) is purely a descriptive study, while the current study also uses theories of phonology for analysis in addition to using descriptive method.

In a study, Parameters of intersegmental co-ordination in speech: Insight from Igbo, Eme (2008) uses the perceptual method and articulatory approach to discuss the phonological processes and secondary articulation features in Ezza and Amaezu in Northern Igbo dialect cluster and Akpo and Adazi Nnukwu in Inland West Igbo dialect cluster. She presents three different parameters
for explaining the phonological processes and secondary articulatory features, which are articulatory parameter, coarticulatory parameter and connected speech features parameter. She describes the articulatory parameter as what is used to discuss the articulatory processes involved in the production of a particular sound segment in a word as a result of the influence of another segment adjacent to it; they include features of aspiration, lengthening of sounds, vowel reduction, devoicing of sounds, 'breathy-voiced' segments, lenition and fortition. The coarticulatory parameter, which includes labialisation, palatalisation, homorganicity of nasals, nasalisation and voicing in double articulation, deals with articulation features involving the overlapping of adjacent articulations during intersegmental coordination. The connected speech features parameter covers all issues that are traditionally referred to as features of connected speech (Eme, 2008:81) which manifest across word boundaries and include neutralisation of phonotactic constraints, liaison, assimilation and elision.

The scholar observes that aspiration, labialisation, palatalisation and nasalisation are not phonemic in Akpo, Adazi-Nnukwu, Amaezu and Ezza dialects. The aspirated segments she discovers are the voiceless bilabial, alveolar and velar plosives [ t k ] respectively. She identifies labialisation, palatalisation and homorganicity of nasals as instances of anticipatory coarticulation, while nasalisation and voicing in double articulation are perseverative coarticulation. She points out that anticipatory labialisation is prominent in Akpo and Amaezu dialects, but it is hardly noticed in Adazi-Nnukwu and Ezza dialects, while anticipatory palatalisation cuts across the four dialects. The consonants she perceived as being palatalised at specific environment are [bld k g $\phi \beta$ s z lafi, e.g.

44. Akpo: [ $\left.\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{I}\right]$ 'you', [mmã̀ lite] 'first/ beginning/ initial', [ $\left.\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{ttaà}\right]$ 'now'<br> <br>

For liaison, Eme asserts that the vowel introduced must have the same phonetic quality as the preceding vowel thus suggesting that liaison in the Igbo involves vowel lengthening whereby the vowel assumes double length. She identifies five kinds of assimilation, which are complete assimilation, partial assimilation, coalescent assimilation, progressive assimilation and regressive assimilation. She notes that progressive assimilation is not a frequent occurrence in Igbo and that regressive assimilation is more prevalent in Akpo, Adazi-Nnukwu, Amaezụ and Ezza data. She gives some examples of progressive assimilation from Adazi-Nnukwu, Amaex̣l and Ezza,
which, according to her, most often involves complete assimilation of the demonstrative $a$ 'this' by the last vowel of the preceding word e.g.

| 45. Adazi Nnukwu: | (i) | ndị à | $\rightarrow$ | ndị̣ | 'these' |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | (ii) | ebe à | $\rightarrow$ | ebe è | 'here' |
| Amaezụ: | (i) | èbido yā | $\rightarrow$ | èbido $\bar{o}$ | 'starting it' |
|  | (ii) | iphe à | $\rightarrow$ | iphe è | 'this thing' |
| Ezza: | (i) | ijē ya | $\rightarrow$ | ijē e | 'to go (it)' |
|  | (ii) | bidòje yā | $\rightarrow$ | bidòje $\bar{e}$ | 'to start it' |

Eme notes that assimilation seems to be idiosyncratic in some environment since in an environment where one person may ordinarily assimilate a segment regressively, another person may not. She also notes that when two identical vowels and a word are involved in regressive assimilation, the two vowels are assimilated as exemplified below:
46

| a. | /gbaa ${ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ ú/ | $\rightarrow$ | [gbee ee ${ }^{\downarrow}{ }^{\text {w }}$ ú] | 'to dance' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. | /bàluk ${ }^{\text {wa }}$ ebe/ | $\rightarrow$ | [bàluk ${ }^{\text {wee ebe] }}$ | 'enter there' |

Eme discovers that assimilation never causes the assimilated segment to lose its tone. She submits that none of the issues like labialisation, palatalisation, homorganicity of nasals, double articulation discussed under the co-articulatory parameter of intersegmental coordination has any perceivable effect on tones in Igbo. This is because tone is on a separate tier as Goldsmith (1976) submits. She also observes that neutralization of phonotactic constraints and assimilation do not affect tones in Igbo. She says that it is only liaison and vowel elision that have perceivable effects on tones in Igbo speech patterns. She says that the vowel or syllabic nasal added during the process of liaison affects the tone of a word by altering the syllabic structure of that word through increase in the number of elements that bear the tone in that word. She points out that everything about the tones of the speech pattern remains stable in consonant elision, but when a vowel or a syllabic nasal is elided, the segment and its tone drop off in most cases. She considers it as an indication that tone often delinks with its segment. If tone often delinks with its segment as observed by Eme, it then disproves the claim of autosegmental phonology that features are independent of one another and are represented on separate tiers.

Eme (2008) is considered important to this study since it also treats phonological processes and secondary articulation features in Igbo. Her grouping of these phonological processes and secondary articulation features into three different parameters is very interesting and the parameters serve as a guide to this study, especially in the presentation and analysis of data on
phonological processes and secondary articulation features. It is clear that Eme (2008) does not discuss Ọmambala varieties of Igbo. She uses the perceptual method of analysis, while this current study uses generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology to analyse the phonological processes and secondary articulation feature. Eme (2008) neither discusses the phonemes of the dialects she has investigated nor their phonological patterning of words, but this current study also discusses the phonemes and phonological patterning of word in Ọmambala varieties.

Umeh (1987) studies Consonant variations of Orlu sub-dialects (Igbo) and discovers that the nasalised vowels occur in the environment of both nasalised consonants and oral consonants. She also discovers that it is clearly evident that it is only vowels that are nasalised in the dialect. She discloses that nasal vowels contrast with their oral counterparts as exemplified below:

47 a. /sv/ 'pound’ (e.g fufu)
/sõ̃/ ‘clear’ (e.g grass)
b. /sa/ 'turn over'
/sã/ 'wash'
c. $/ \mathrm{zu} /$ 'fill up’
/zū/ 'steal'
d. $/ \beta \mathrm{e} /$ 'cry'
/Be/ 'slice'

Igboeme (2012) is a study on Phonology of Oghe. She considers the phonemes, suprasegmentals and phonological processes. She uses MP analysis to identify the tonemes of Oghe dialect. With this analysis, she establishes that high tone, low tone and down step tone are distinctive in the dialect. Examples are presented below:
48. Tonal contrast
a. ụkà /vkà/ ‘church’

ụka /vk ${ }^{\text {ª́/ 'sour' }}$
b. ịmụ̀ /mò/ 'to learn'

ịmụ̆ $/ \mathrm{Im}^{\wedge}{ }^{\text {ºU }} /$ 'to born a child'
c. ịlạ̀ /Ik U' / 'to fish'
ilḳ̣̄ /ik'v/ 'to sow'
d. ntà /ntà/ 'small'
nta /nta/ 'hunting’

Igboeme submits that Oghè also operates nine vowels /ieua u o a a/ a s well as vowel harmony (VH). Unlike what happens in Effium, she discovers that in Oghè, the ninth vowel b/ harmonizes with the -ATR vowels only. This is exemplified below:
49. +ATR Harmony
a. ishi 'head'
b. ile 'tongue'
c. ogbo 'cup’
d. ezē 'teeth'
e. edè 'cocoyam'

## -ATR Harmony

| ọgụ | 'hoe' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ọda | 'basket' |
| ụta | 'arrow' |
| aka | 'hand' |
| ada | 'a fall' |

She uses the descriptive method to discuss the phonological processes in the dialect. The phonological processes she discusses are assimilation, elision, vowel harmony and liaison. She presents homorganic nasal assimilation in the Oghè dialect of Igbo where the syllabic nasal agrees in harmony with the consonant following it.
50 a. nkə /ykə/ 'firewood'
b. nka /nka/ 'chewing stick’
c. ntə /ntə/ ‘ashe’
d. nta /nta/ 'hunting'
e. ǹkù /ŋlù / 'wing'
f mvọ ìmp/ 'comb’

For liaison in Oghè, Igboeme says that the segment that is introduced links two words, but points out that liaison is optional since without the introduction of the element, the meaning of the word remains the same. She discloses that it is only the vowel that is involved in liaison and considers liaison as a case of vowel reduplication.

The dialects of Igbo, which Umeh (1987) and Igboeme (2012) have investigated, are not part of Ọmambala varieties of Igbo; Orlu sub-dialects of Igbo are in Inland East dialects cluster and Oghè is in Northern Igbo dialects cluster, whileỌmambala varieties are in Inland West dialects cluster. Therefore, the two studies are different from the current sudy. However, they are relevant to this study because they studied the phonemes and the phonological processes and both used the minimal pair test to identify the phonemes of the dialects. They also used the descriptive method, but this current study, in addition to using the descriptive method, also uses theories of phonology to analyse the phonological processes and secondary articulation feature.

Alozie (2015) does a contrastive analysis of the phonology of Ìkedurù dialect of Ikeduru Igbo and English. She uses a contrastive analysis approach. She identifies a total of fifty-three phonemic consonants in Ikeduru dialect and eight vowels as the SI against twenty-four consonants and twenty vowels in English. She observes that aspiration, breathy-voicing, and secondary articulation features such as palatalisation, labialisation and nasalisation are phonemic in Ì kedurù dialect of Igbo, but in English, they are not phonemic. She also observes that the eight diphthongs in English do not exist in Ì kedurù dialect and that Ì kedurù has bilabial implosives $\wp /$ and $/ 6 /$ which are not in English. She asserts that English speakers use /k/ and /b/ respectively to substitute the two phonemes (p. 112). Alozie identifies three tonal systems in Ikeduru - high tone (' ), step tone ( ${ }^{-}$) and low tone ( ${ }^{`}$ ).

Alozie (2015) differs from this present study in the sense that it is a contrastive study of two different languages, while this study is on phonology of varieties of one language. Moreover, Ikeduru, which is the Igbo dialect Alozie (2015) contrasts with English, is not part of Ọmambala Igbo. Alozie (2015) does not use any theory of phonology for analysis, but this current study, in addition to using the descriptive method, also uses theories of phonology to analyse the phonological processes and secondary articulation features. Despite these differences between the two studies, Alozie (2015) is considered relevant to this study because it discusses the phonemes and phonological processes and secondary articulation features.

Within a language, the number of distinctive phonemes also varies among the dialects and variation could also exist in the patterning of words. Many scholars have through past studies confirmed this.

Emenanjo (1977) investigates Igbo dialects and discovers that it is in the area of phonology that Igbo dialects differ most considerably. He submits that Igbo phonemes vary from 66 distinct consonants in Ụlụ to 60 in Owere, 55 for Ọhụhụ, 28 in Enu Ọnịcha and 26 in Izii. He also points out that the situation where nasalisation and aspiration are phonemic in some dialects like Ọwere, Ụlụ and Ọhụhụ increases the total number as well as complexity of consonant phonemes recognised. None of the dialects Emenanjo (1977) studies is from Ọmambala area.

In A lexico-statistic study of northern Igbo dialects, Ikekeọnwụ (1986) observes the presence of voiced and voiceless bilabial fricatives $/ \beta /$ and $\Phi /$ as characteristic of the Awka group of dialects and considers the two sounds as allophones of /f/ and /v/ respectively existing in other dialects of Igbo. She has illustrated with the examples below:
b. $/ \mathrm{m} \beta \rho^{\prime}$ 'nail'
c. /aфele 'plate'
d. /aфa/ ‘divination’

Ikekeọnwụ (1986) studies northern Igbo dialects, but this study investigates Igbo varieties in Inland West dialects cluster. They are, therefore, different. Awka group of dialects, which Ikekeọnwụ (1986) mentions in her study, is also not part of Ọmambala Igbo.

Lado (1957) compares the sound system of English and Portuguese and discovers that Portuguese does not have the phonemes tf ds $\theta$ h r j w/ found in English as in tyu:/, /dj^mp/, /i:ðә/, /heठz/, /reoz/, /fiə/ and /wз:/. He also discovers that Spanish does not have some of the phonemes found in English such as $/ \mathrm{v}$ ð $\quad \mathrm{z} \int \mathrm{d} /$ /. This is evidence that languages differ in their number of distinctive phonemes as well as in their patterning of words.

Lado (1957) differs from this present study in the sense that it compares the sound systems of two different languages, which do not include Igbo. This study is on the Igbo language only. The relevance of Lado (1957) to this study is because it discusses the sound systems of languages and has shown that there could be variations in the sound systems of different languages.

The comparison of English and Ika intonation pattern by Uguru (2006) reveals that Ika dialect operates twenty-nine consonant system which are /p b t d k g kw gw kp gb f v s z 3 f
 number of consonants in Ika Igbo and standard Igbo varies since there are twenty-eight consonants in standard Igbo. Ika Igbo is different from Ọmambala Igbo which this study investigates. Uguru (2006) is, however, relevant to this study since it discusses the phonemes.

Ikekeọnwụ (1987) and Nwaozuzu (2008) are different classifications of the Igbo dialects. They have made similar observations on variations in the number of phonemes and patterning of words among the dialects, on the basis of which they made their classifications.

Ikekeọnwụ (1987), for instance, classifies the Igbo dialects into five clusters using some linguistic criteria for this classification such as grammar and phonology readily available. The linguistic criteria she has used are: the negative suffix ghi [yi] / [ rr ], the perfective suffix -le / 1a, the progressive marker, the vowel system, the vowel harmony patterns and some tonal patterns. The five clusters are:

1. Niger Igbo
2. Inland West Igbo
3. Inland East Igbo
4. Northern Igbo
5. Riverain Igbo

In this classification, Ikekeọnwụ regards the dialects which exhibit same characteristics with minor differences as one. The one with all the characteristics she regards as the main dialect (MD), while others around it are regarded as satellite dialects. Ikekeọnwụ does not consider their minor differences as making them separate dialects. The satellites differ from the main dialects in some minor phonological and syntactic details. Ikekeọnwụ does not mention the speech communities found in Omambala area, but from her explanations, we deduce that Igbo speech communities of Ọmambala area are grouped under the Onitsha Main dialect of the Inland West Igbo.

According to her, the Inland West Igbo dialects cluster are the varieties of Igbo spoken directly to the east of the Niger River. She states that these dialects lie to the west of the group spoken further inland in Owere, Umụahia etc., and on this basis, she has chosen to classify them as Inland West. She says that the cluster has three main dialects namely; Onitsha, Ọka and Agụata, each having its own satellites.

Ikekeọnwụ (1987) asserts that the Inland West cluster is more homogenous than the Niger Igbo cluster except for the Agụata dialects which, to her, seem to have been affected by the dialects of their Inland East Igbo neighbours. She says that the Enu-Onitsha satellite is to some extent distinct from the Onitsha group of satellites. She discovers that the difference exists in the individual phonemes and in the assimilatory possibilities in that dialect. She discloses that the Enu-Onitsha dialect does not have the velar fricative [ $\mathrm{\gamma}$ ] and the velar nasal $[\mathrm{n}]$.

Ikekeọnwụ uses the General Onitsha dialect to represent the varieties spoken in the Onitsha immediate environs like Ụmụji and Obosi etc. She submits that the velar fricative [ $\gamma$ ] and velar nasal $\mathrm{y} /$ are found in this dialect. Ikekeọnwụ notes that in both the General and Enu-Onitsha dialects, there is the /f/ $\sim / \mathrm{h} /$ and $/ 1 / \sim / \mathrm{r} /$ alternations with Owere, Ụmuahia and other Inland East dialects. She provides a schematic representation of Inland West Igbo, thus:

Fig. 2.5 Ikekeọnwụ’s (1987) schematic representation of Inland West Igbo


Ikekeọnwụ (1987) has not mentioned any speech community in Ọmambala in her classification although her groupings of Igbo dialects suggest that Ọmambala Igbo is among the Onitsha Main dialect of the Inland West dialects cluster. Her claim that both the Enu-Onitsha and General Onitsha dialects exhibit /f//f/ and /1//r/ alternations with Owerri, Umuahia and other Inland East Dialects is not very true of Ọmambala varieties as most of them do not possess /f/ find / phonemes and wherever they occur in Igbo words, they are replaced with /v/. Omambala varieties possess the /l/ and /r/ phonemes. Also, Ikekeonwu's (1987) claim thgt and $/ \mathfrak{y} /$ are found in the General Onitsha Dialect is not true of all the speech communities of Ọmambala since $/ \gamma /$ is absent in Ayamelum and $/ \mathrm{y} /$ is lacking in Ifite Ogwari speech community.

Nwaozuzu (2008), in her own classification using linguistic criteria also, identifies eight groups of dialects, namely:

1. West Niger Group of Dialects (WNGD)
2. East Niger Group of Dialects (ENGD)
3. East Central Group of Dialects (ECGD)
4. Cross River Group of Dialects (CRGD)
5. South Western Group of Dialects (SWGD)
6. North Eastern Group of Dialects (NEGD)
7. South Eastern Group of Dialects (SEGD)
8. Northern Group of Dialects (NGD)

She groups the Ọmambala varieties into the East Niger Group of dialects. She submits that this dialect group has consonants ranging from 25 to 38 and vowels ranging from 8 to 10 (p.26). She says that the speech communities of Opmambala area have between 26 and 27 consonants while phonologically, they use the voiced post alveolar lateral approximant /l/ in place of the voiced alveolar roll /r/. This is exemplified below:

| 52 | . | SI | Igbariam | Ogbunike | Nteje |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | a. | ọru | ọlụ | ọlụ | ọlụ | 'work' |
| b. | ri | li | li | li | 'eat' |  |
| c. | ero | elo | elo | elo | 'mushroom' |  |
| d. | arụ | alụ | alụ | alụ | 'abomination' |  |
| e. | ahụhụ | alụlụ | alụlụ | alụlụ | 'insect' |  |

Nwaozuzu observes that in Ọmambala speech communities, the voiceless labio dental fricative $/ \mathrm{f} /$ and the voiced glottal fricative $\mathfrak{h} /$, are replaced with voiced labio dental fricative $/ \mathrm{v} /$. She illustrates with the data presented below:
53.

SI
a. efere
b. afọ
c. haụhụ
d. ahịa
e. ihe ive
f. ehi

Naǹdò
avele
avọ
avụvụ
avịa
evi

Ànà
avele
avọ
avụvụ
avịa
ive
evi

Àgụlerì

| avele | 'bowl' |
| :--- | :--- |
| avọ | hcamots' |
| avụvụ | 'suffering' |
| avịa | 'market' |
| ive | 'thing' |
| evi | 'cow' |

She says that the voiced velar fricative $/ \gamma /$ is replaced with the voiced labio velar approximant /w/ in some situations as observed in the following examples:
54.

SI
a. aghụgḥ̣̀
b. ịghọ̄ mkpụrụ̄

Ànà Ụmụlerì awụwọ̀ awụ̂wọ̀ 'tieced ịwộ mkpụlụ ị̣ọ̣ mkpụlụ̂ 'to pluck fruit'

Nwaozuzu (2008) discloses that in lexical items , Ìfite Ọgwarị, Ụmụ̣eje and Ụmụamàsị differ to some extent from Anàkụ, Ọmọr, Umùèlum̄, Igbàkwū and Ụmụ̀mboo.
55. SI
a. àhụ àz
b. azụ azị
c. ahịa ahịa
d. nri ndi
e. ayịya azịahịā
f. efere afele

Anàkụ Group

| àrị | 'body' |
| :--- | ---: |
| arị | 'fish' |
| avịa | 'market' |
| nli | 'food' |
| azụ̀ avịā | 'crayfish' |
| avele | 'plate' |

Nwaozuzu submits that among the five communities in Ò yi, Nkwèlle È zùnakā and Ogbunikē speak the same dialect and their speech patterns resemble that of Onitsha dialect speakers. She says that at lexical level, while Nkwè lle Èzùnakā and Ogbunikē replace the Standard Igbo /h/ with /f/ or retain some where necessary , Ǹtèjè, Awkuzu and Ụmụnyà replace the same sound with /v/. Below are examples from Nwaozuzu (2008:30):
56.

|  | SI | Ǹ̀èjè Group | OgbunikēGroup |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| a. | ahịa | afịa | avịa |
| b. | ehi | efi | evi |
| c. | efere | afele | avele |

Nwaozuzu may have misplaced the examples in (56) as the ones under $\dot{\mathrm{N}}$ tèjè group should actually be for Ogbunike group and vice versa. She identifies the following speech communities as having a 26 consonant and 8 vowel sound system each : Awkuzu, Ǹtejè̀, Ụmụnyà, Ogbuniké, Nkwèlle Èzùnaka, Naǹdò, Ìgbàrìam, Ǹ Nsugbè, Àgụlerì, Ụmụlerì, Ụmụ̣ọba Ā̄a m, Àn m̀, Nzàm, Orumbà Nasaâ, Anàkụ, Ọmọr, Umùèrum̌, Igbàkwụ̄, Ọ̀màsị, Ụmụ̂eje and Ìvìte Ọgwarị. She later on page 36, mentions the following speech communities earlier said to have 26 consonants as belonging to same speech community phonologically and having 25 system of consonants : Ǹtè̀jè, Awkuzu, Ụmụnyà, Ìgbàrìam̄, Ụmụlerì, Àgụlerì, Anàkụ, Ǹsugbè, Àn ìm, Nzàm, Ọmọr, Umùèlum and Igbàkwụ̆. This brings in confusion on the number of consonants existing in these speech communities. She submits that phonologically, these speech communities do no have voiceless labio dental fricative /f/ and that wherever it occurs, it is replaced with its voiced counterpart /v/.
57 a. afịa - avịa
b. afere - avele
c. ehi - evi

She considers this as a case of sound shift, thus $/ \mathrm{h} / \rightarrow \quad / \mathrm{f} / \rightarrow / \mathrm{v} /$
58.
a. ahà
b. ahịa
c. ehi
d. ahụhụ
e. ha
f. afere
g. otù

Ogbunike/ Nkwèllè
afà
afịa
efi
afụụu
fa
afele
òfu

Awkuzu/ Ǹtèjè etc

| avà | 'name' |
| :--- | :--- |
| avịa | 'market' |
| evi | 'cow' |
| avụvụ | 'suffering' |
| va | 'they' |
| avele | 'plate' |
| òvu | 'one' |

She observes that where Ogbunikē and others use /r/, Ǹ̀̀̀jè and others in the group use /l/.
59.

## Ogbunikēetc Ǹtèjè etc

a. arụrụ $\quad \rightarrow \quad$ alụlụ $\quad$ 'insect'
b. orù $\rightarrow$ olù 'servant'
c. àrụ $\quad \rightarrow \quad$ àlụ $\quad$ 'body'

Nwaozuzu points out that the sound shift here involves moving from the glottal fricative//of the SI to post-alveolar approximant roll /r/ to post-alveolar lateral approximant /l/.

## 60.

SI Ogbunike ${ }^{-}$
a. ahụhụ
b. ohù orù
c. àhụ àrụ
d. ihu iru

## Ǹtèjè

| alụlụ | 'insect' |
| :--- | :--- |
| olù | 'servant' |
| àlụ | 'body' |
| ilu | 'face' |

Nwaozuzu (2008) discloses the absence of $\mathfrak{6} /$ / / $/ \mathbf{r} /$ and /f/ sounds in Ụmụnyà, Ǹ̀̀̀jè, Ǹsugbè, Awkuzu, Ìgbàrìam, Ụmụlerì, Àgụlerì, Anàkụ, Àra m̀, Nzàm, Ọmọr, Umùòlumº and Igbà kwụ̂, while Nkwèlle Èzùnaka and Ogbunike have them. She says that all the consonants in the SI exist in Ì îte Ọgwarị̀, Ụmụ̂eje and Ọ̀màsị̀ except the /f/ sound. She also says that all these speech communities mentioned above have the eight vowels found in the SI. She observes that past time is formed in Ì fite Ọgwarị, Ụmụ̂eje and Ọ̀màsị with the use of the form $1+h i g h$ vowel as pasttime indicator (p.39).

It is noticed that Nwaozuzu (2008) discusses Ọmambala varieties of Igbo in detail. It, however, differs from this study in the sense that it does not treat the phonological processes and secondary articulation features ofmambala varieties, which this study also investigates.
Neither has it discussed phonological issues of Omambala varieties using theories of phonology. This study agrees with her, especially in her grouping of Ọmambala varieties into the East Niger

Group of Dialects, but with modification. The scholar discusses the speech communities of Omambala on the basis of their Local Government Areas without pointing out their unifying feature(s) which would enable them to be a separate dialect group within the East Niger Group of Dialects. For example, Omambala varieties elide the consonants of the second syllable at VCVCV structure and in some cases the entire syllable. Also, in most speech communities, the SI phonemes /f/ and 反/ are replaced with /v/ in Igbo words. Nwaozuzu uses Group of Dialects for communities in some Local Government Areas and Speech Communities for others. This study will identify all the differences and similarities and use them as basis to reclassify/reposition Ọmambala varieties within the landscape of Igbo dialectology.

In a comparative phonology of consonants in Igboid, Edoid and Yoruboid languages, OhiriAniche (1991) discovers the existence of ts/ and /dz/, which are among the consonants $t$ hat have been used by some scholars to designate some varieties of Igbo as separate languages, in Ogidi dialect. She notes that Izii's /pf/, /bv/ and the /kf/, /gv/ of Ezza result from the affrication of the labialised velar $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ and $/ \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ in the environment of back vowels $/ \mathrm{uv} /$ and, therefore, concludes that affrication cannot qualify Izhii as a separate language from Igbo. She illustrates with the examples below:

| 61. |  | Izhii | Owere | Ọṇ̣cha | Ogidi | Ebbịị̣a | English gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | a. | tse | to | so | tso | two | 'grow' |
| b. | idzù | izù | izù | idzù | izù | 'week' |  |
| c. | kwe | kwe | kwe | kwe | kwuo | 'agree'' |  |
| d. | mpfụ | ṅkwụ | nkwụ | nnkwụ | nkfụ̣ | 'oil palm' |  |
| e | obvu | ogwũ | ogwū | ogwū | ogvũ | 'thorn' |  |

Ohiri-Aniche (2003:49-70) also compares the initial consonants of Lower Cross and Igboid languages of Benue-Congo and observes that the alveolar sound in Nenwe (Lengwe) in Enugu State corresponds with the voiced palatal plosive f/ in Ọhaọzara, to / j/ in Ẹkpẹyẹ and to /ds/ elsewhere in Igbo. She illustrates with the following examples:


Ohiri-Aniche (1991 \& 2003) discuss phonological patterning of words just as this study, but differ from this study in the sense that her studies are not on Omambala Igbo and they have not discussed phonological issues in the dialects using theories of phonology.

Eme and Mbagwu (2007) is a paper on Phonological patterning on words in Akpo and standard Igbo. They observe a consistent difference in the phonological patterning of words in the two variants of Igbo, which involve the substitution or alternation of the SI labialised velar nasal $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ or labial velar approximant / $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{with}$ bilabial nasal /m/ in Akpo Igbo. They discover, among other things, that $/ \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w} /}$ / is substituted with $/ \mathrm{m} /$ mostly when the verbroot 'nwe' is involved but only in some specified contexts..

63 a. S.I O nwèrè ihe o mere.
Akpo: O mèrè ihe o mere.
Gloss: 'It matters’
b. S.I.: O nweghī ihe o mere.

Akpo: O mehe ihe o mere.
Gloss: 'It does not matter’

The scholars also observe that when the verb rooty ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{e}$ / applies to mean 'have', the $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{w} \mathrm{e}$ / is not substituted with $/ \mathrm{m} /$.

64
a. S.I.: O nwèrè ọba jī.

Akpo: O nwèrè ọba jī.
Gloss: ‘He has a yam barn’
b. S.I.: Ànyị nwèrè otù ụ ọ

Akpo: Ànyị nwèrè otù ụ ${ }^{\text {wop}}$
Gloss: 'We have a house'

Eme and Mbagwu (2007) differs from this study in the sense that Akpo, which the scholars have compared its phonological patterning of words with that of the standard Igbo, is not part of Omambala Igbo. They did not discuss the phonemes, the phonological processes and secondary articulation features of the dialects. However, Eme and Mbagwu (2007) is considered relevant to this study because it discusses phonological patterning of words which this study is also interested in.

Adjubee and Kammelu (2007) compare vowel harmony system of Igbo and Yoruba and discover that Igbo has mutually harmonic sets marked as [+ATR] /e iou/ and [-ATR]/a $\rho \mathrm{o} /$, while

Yoruba has four vowels in its harmonic sets as [+ATR] /e o/ and [-ATR] /ẹ ọ/. They submit that the vowel /a/ co-occurs freely with both sets. They also observe two significant differences: that the harmony set of Igbo is complete while that of Yoruba is incomplete, and that Yoruba has a neutral vowel /a/ which co-occurs with vowels in the two sets while Igbo does not have. This current study is not the same as Adjubee and Kammelu (2007) since Adjubee and Kammelu (2007) is a comparison of two different languages and the Igbo they have campared its vowel harmony system with that of the Yoruba language is the standard Igbo and not Ọmambala Igbo. This notwithstanding, it is relevant to this study because vowel harmony system it has studied is a phonological process and this study also focuses on phonological processes of Ọmambala Igbo.

Wachukwu (2008) has discovered that the velar nasal soundy/, which occurs in both Igbo and English, functions differently in both languages. She asserts thgt may occur in only word initial and medial positions in Igbo, but in English it occurs in word medial and final positions only. She has illustrated with the examples below:

## Word medial

/a ${ }^{\downarrow}$ y'v/ ‘bee’
/oyo/ 'joy’
/ayàrà/ 'garden egg'

## Word final

/rin/ 'ring'
i. /æŋgə/ 'anger'
/hıy/ 'hung'
ii. /stren $\theta$ / 'strength'
/son/ 'song'

This current study is not the same as Wachukwu (2008) because Wachukwu (2008) compares two different languages and the Igbo the scholar has discussed is the standard Igbo and not Ọmambala Igbo. It is considered relevant to this study because is discusses phoneme distribution, which is part of phonological patterning of words.

Anarado (2011) investigates the phonotactics of Adàzị-Nnukwù dialect of Igbo using descriptive method. She discovers that the dialect allows vowel sequence or clusters but with some restrictions: the close back rounde vowel / $\mathrm{u} /$ ands// can only be the second vowel in sequence where they are also the first vowel, and /f/ can only precede /u/ and $t /$ in a CV syllable structure while the opaque vowel /a/ co-occurs with [+ATR] vowel in word-initial position only. She discloses that the voiceless palato-alveolar fricative $/ \mathrm{S} /$ and alveolar roll $/ \mathrm{r} /$, which are in the SI, are not in use in Adà zị Nnukwù dialect of the language, while $/ \varnothing \beta \mathrm{hw} /$ are in Adazi Nnukwu
but not in S.I. She also identifies the absence of these sounds in Adàzị Nnukwù dialect, especially the voiced alveolar roll $/ \mathrm{r} /$ as the basic cause of the mispronunciation of words by the speakers of the dialect when they meet these sounds in other Igbo dialects or languages where they occur, especially in English words.

Adazi-Nnukwu dialect is not part of Ọmambala Igbo. This is evidence that Anarado (2011) differs from the present study. It is, however, important to this study because it has discussed the phonological patterning of words in the dialect.

Ohiri-Aniche (2011) investigates some phonological and morphological differences she points out some scholars use to back their claims of some dialects being separate languages from Igbo. She notes that the additional ninth vowel 'ẹ’/ع/ which Ẹkpẹyẹ, Ikwere, Izhii and Ụkwụanị have in addition to the eight vowels /i ị e a o ọ u ụ/ in Ọwere, Ọnịcha and other undisputed Igbo dialects in the Central Igbo area does not qualify them to be designated as separate languages because many other Igbo dialects, especially in the periphery such asẸbịịiba also have the ninth vowel as shown below:

| 66. | Ẹpẹyẹ | Ikwere | Ẹbịịba | Owere | Ọṇcha | English gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\varepsilon k a ̂$ | à ${ }^{\downarrow} k a ̂$ | $\varepsilon k a$ | aka | aka | 'arm, hand' |

She similarly notes that the occurrence of the voiced implosives $/ 6 \mathrm{~d} /$ in E.kpeye cannot qualify it as a separate language since / 6 / occurs in many other Igbo speech varieties, and / $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{in}$, at least, one other variety as exemplified below:
67. (a.) Ẹkpẹyẹ: ba 'increase', ba 'write', gba 'run'

| (b.) | Ekpeye | Ikwere | Opwere | Ọnịcha | Ukwụan | Englishglo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i. | ịda | عra | ara |  | clâ | 'madness' |
| ii. | vف் | oro | ш1̀/ vỳ | U10 | um | 'house' |

In her dialectological survey of Oru West Igbo dialects, Chukwu (2014) observes that Oru West Igbo dialects have nine vowels a e ị i o ọ u ụ ẹ as against eight in SI and thirty-one consonants as against twenty-eight in SI. She identifies the unique sounds that bring about the differences as voiced nasalized glottal fricative $\tilde{h} \quad \tilde{h} /$, voiced palatal plosive jy $\tilde{j} /$, voiced nasalised alveolar roll $\tilde{\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{r} /$, voiced alveolar tap ry $/ \mathrm{C} /$ and the open mid vowel ẹ $/ \varepsilon /$.

Chukwu (2014) reveals that the previous classifications do not represent the Oru West Igbo dialects. She challenges Nwaozuzu's (2008) claim that the East Central Group of Dialects have sixty-six consonants when her findings reveal that Oru West Igbo dialect which is a member of this group has only thirty one consonants. Continuing, she points out that Nwaozuzu provides
two forms as '-là/-lè’, 'nà-/nè-' in progressive construction excluding the form '-go' which her findings reveal as also a perfective future of Oru West Igbo dialect. She also notes that Ikekeọnwụ (1987) says nothing concrete about Oru West Igbo dialect, consequent upon which she has declined comment on her work.

Ohiri-Aniche (2011) and Chukwu (2014) differ from the present study in the sense that they have discussed Igbo varieties that are different fromỌmambala Igbo. They are relevant to this study since they studied the phonemes of the dialects and this study also investigates the phonemes of Ọmambala Igbo.

In a study of government phonology of $\mathrm{m} /$ in Igbo, Mbah (2007) identifies two forms of the velar nasal $/ \mathrm{y} /$ : the underlying or the psychological reality and the allophones which manifest as [m], [ n ] or [ n$]$ in the surface form of the Igbo words like mma, nne and nha respectively. He considers these instances as a consequence of anticipatory articulation, which is conveniently accounted for by government phonology.

Oyebade and Mbah (2008) is a study of Empty nuclei in the Igbo and Ga. They examined how the government phonology can account for emergence of consonant clusters in the two languages. Their findings reveal that empty nuclei exists in the Igbo and Ga languages, thus, emphasizing that most African languages lack consonant clusters.

Edeoga (2013) is a work on Government phonology of vowel harmony in Nsukka variety of Igbo. She applied government phonology to analyse vowel harmony system of Nsukka dialect and concludes that vowel harmony system can be explained with the theory.

In a study Government phonology of theUbọma dialect of Igbo , Nwokeiwu (2014) tests the applicability of the theory of government phonologytuma dialect. He discovers that government phonology conveniently applies in handling vowel harmony and homorganic words in Ụbọma dialect. Specifically, he identifies government in forming infinitive, participle, gerund, noun agent, past tense, imperative and homorganic words in the dialect. He also finds out that the determinant of government for vowel harmony are the vowels of the verb root, which must be obeyed by other constituents; while for homorganic words, government is determined by the consonants that form the root of a word which the prefixed must take to.

Mbah (2007), Oyebade and Mbah (2008), Edeoga (2013) and Nwokeiwu (2014) anchor their studies on government phonology theory, which is one of the theories chosen for this study. However, their studies do not discuss Ọmambala Igbo being investigated by this study. Apart from the homorganicity of nasals, empty nuclei and vowel harmony, which they have used
government phonology to analyse, this study analyses some other phonological processes and secondary articulation features like palatalisation, labialisation, nasalisation and assimilation using government phonology, in addition to generative phonology and autosegmental phonology. Their relevance to this study is that they discuss phonological processes and secondary articulation features.

From the empirical review, it is evident that all the works reviewed are relevant to this study as they individually discuss some or all the phonological issues this study has set out to discuss, which are the phoneme, the phonological patterning of words, the phonological processes and secondary articulation features. However, while this study focuses onỌmambala Igbo, some of them investigated other dialects of Igbo, some studied different languages and some studied standard Igbo and other languages. The method of data collection is the same in each case since they are all corpus-based involving a discussion of the phonemes, the phonological patterning of words, the phonological processes and secondary articulation features are discussed, but the dialect and, in some cases, language of the corpora are different. Another major difference is that these past studies mostly used the descriptive method: Eme (2008) used perceptual method; only Mbah (2007), Oyebade and Mbah (2008), Edeoga (2013) and Nwokeiwu (2014) used government phonology. This present study, in addition to using descriptive method and government phonology for analysis, also uses generative phonology and autosegmental phonology.

### 2.3 Theoretical framework

The theoretical framework adopted for this study is eclectic in nature invoving Trubetzkoy's (1939) theory of distinctive opposition, Chomsky's (1964) generative phonology, Goldsmith's (1976) autosegmental phonology, and Kaye, Lowenstamm and Vergnaud’s (1985) government phonology.

### 2.3.1 Theory of distinctive opposition

Trubetzkoy's theory of distinctive opposition is an aspect of Classical Phonology which discusses the issue of the phoneme and how to identify phonemes in a language. Trubetzkoy (1939) views the phoneme as a linguistic concept or a phonological reality which should be considered purely in terms of its function in the system of a language. Through the theory of distinctive opposition, Trubetzkoy recommends three different kinds of opposition which sounds in human language can enter into. They are:
(1.) Privative Opposition: This occurs when two phones are identical except that one has a phonetic mark which the other lacks as can be seen in the pairs /f/ ~/v/, /p/~/b/, $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} / \sim / \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{W}} /$, where each pair differs by voicing;
(2.) Equipollent opposition: This is where phonemes differ from others as a result of certain feature each has which others lacks. Examples are $/ \mathrm{p} / \sim / \mathrm{t} / \sim / \mathrm{k} /, / \mathrm{b} / \sim / \mathrm{d} / \sim / \mathrm{g} /$, /m/ $/ \mathrm{n} / \sim / \mathrm{y} /$; and
(3.) Gradual Opposition: This deals with opposition brought about by the steep nature of the height of the tongue in producing some sounds, usually vowels such as /i/, /e/ and /æ/ where there is movemet from high, mid to low.

The theory of distinctive opposition introduces some concepts used for explaining relationships among the phonemes such as the concepts of complementary distribution, free variation and minimal pair test. Complementary distribution is used to differentiate sounds which occur in mutually exclusive environments. Two sounds are in complementary distribution if they never occur in the same context (Bittner, 2013). Sounds of this nature are regarded as allophones of the same phoneme. For example, in English, the sounds [p] and [ $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ] are allophones of the phoneme $/ \mathrm{p} /$ and they are in complementary distribution - where one occurs, the other does not occur. Bittner (2013) illustrates this concept with the phoneme /l/. He says that the phoneme is realised as a voiceless allophone [ l ] when it follows /p/, /t/ or /k/ at syllable initial position; when it precedes a vowel, it becomes 'clear l'; and when it changes to 'dark l' it occurs elsewhere before a consonant or a pause. He notes that the three allophones stand for the various realisations of the /l/ phoneme and concludes that since they are members of the same phoneme, they are in complementary distribution.

Free variation (FV) is used for sounds which can be substituted for each other in the same environment without a change in meaning of the lexical item. This is illustrated with the following Igbo examples:
68. a. /l/ and /r/ in mmili or mmiri 'water'; nli or nri 'food'
b. $\quad \mathrm{z} /$ and $/ \mathrm{r} /$ in ézì or érì 'pig'.

Bittner (2013) illustrates the phenomenon of FV with consonantal and vocalic phonemes. He points out that the phoneme $/ \mathrm{z} /$ in English word zeal can be realised as a voiceless, or devoiced allophone when whispered, or as a voiced allophone when pronounced 'normally'. Similarly, he submits that speakers of some non-standard British accents can realise the phoneme /t/ as a glottal stop P] in English word butter, but when they target at producing a more standard pronounciation, they migth realise it as [ t ]. The scholar also notes that the phonemes /i:/ and /e/
at initial positions of the English words economics and evolution, and /i:/ and that initial position of the English word either is an evidence that vocalic phonemes could be in free variation. Barlow and Gierut (2002) demonstrate sounds in free variation with data from English:
69. [mæp]
[mæp]

The scholars point out that though the examples in (69) differ by released [p] and unreleased [p], their phonetic difference does not bring about a change in meaning because the two words mean exactly the same thing 'map’ . With this, they conclude that they do not constitute MP and the sounds [p] and [p] are neither contrastive nor function as phonemes in English; but are rather allophones (phonetic variants) of the phoneme $/ \mathrm{p} /$.

With MP test, distinctive phones are identified. It is the contrasting phones that constitute phonemes of a language. This is exemplified with data from Igbo:
70.
a. oche 'chair'
b. tà̀a 'chew' ofe ‘soup’
bàa ‘enter, scold’
c. isè 'to draw (an object, water, etc)'
isò 'to follow'

The differences in the meanings of the above pairs of words are a result of the differences in their medial, initial and final phonemes respectively, which function to differentiate the meanings of the words.

Barlow and Gierut (2002) illustrate the MP test with data from English:

| 71 a | i. | 'map' [mæp] | ii. | 'map' [mæp] | iii. | 'fashion' [fæfən] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 'mat' [mæt] |  | 'cap' [kæp] |  | 'fasten' [fæsən] |
| b | i. | 'spy' [spar] | ii. | 'cats' [kæts] |  |  |
|  |  | 'sky' [skai] |  | 'caps' [kæps] |  |  |
| c | i. | 'map' [mæp] | ii. | cap [kæp] |  |  |
|  |  | 'mop' [map] |  | keep [kip] |  |  |

The scholars use the examples in 71(a) to show that contrast occurs at different contexts - initial, medial and final positions; for 71 (b), they demonstrate that contrast also takes place on cluster contexts; and finally, the examples in 71(c) are an indication that vowels also contrast. They equally discuss near MPs using cluster-singleton comparisons and vowel versus consonant final comparisons as exemplified below:
a. 'play' [plei]
b. 'boat' [bout]
‘bow’ [bov]

Mbah and Mbah (2010) uphold earlier views on the concept of MP, but further expanded it to cover tone languages. They argue that every word of a language has two tiers: the prosodic and the segmental, and that in determining MP, a perceptible rhythm generated both at the segmental and prosodic tiers whose form is contrasted by a single sound feature apiece must be considered. In their opinion, when pairs are identical at the autosegmental or prosodic tier, then the contrasting sound will be at the segmental tier and vice versa; and that while the latter is common with tone languages, the former is common with intonational languages (p.161). Mbah and Mbah (2010) differ from Ladefoged (1975) in his contention that in addition to segments of the MP, the words, the unit of analysis, has to rhyme. They argue that it is the actual sounds produced in speech that are used to determine minimal pairs, e.g.

73
(a) key bee
(b) my
nigh
(c) me
knee
(d) lie
why

These examples in (73), to Ladefoged (1975), do not constitute MP because more than one segment sets them apart, but Mbah and Mbah (2010) argue that since the sounds rhyme, they constitute MPs. Mbah and Mbah (2010) also submit that a minimum of pitch variation which results in meaning contrast as well as intonational patterns and tonemes which stretch over syntactic junctures or lexical items respectively but results in meaning contrast form MP, e.g.

## 74 <br> a. John came. <br> b. John came?

They also provide evidences from Igbo to buttress their point that words similar at the segmental tier without rhyming at the prosodic tier form MP, e.g.

```
a. akwa 'cry’
akwà ‘cloth'
àkwa 'egg'
àkwà ‘bed’
```

b. oke 'male’
okè ‘boundary
òke 'rat'
òkè 'share'

In the examples in (75), Mbah and Mbah (2010) point out that cry and bed, cloth and egg are tonally equipollent because each segment has a tone which the other lacks. Emenanjo (2015) presents some Igbo data which validate Mbah and Mbah’s (2010) position on MP in tone languages. Some of the data are as follow:
76

| a. | akwa 'cry' |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | akwà 'cloth' |
|  | àkwa 'egg' |
|  | àkwà 'bed/bridge' |

b. isi 'head'
isì 'smell'
c. igwè 'iron'
ìgwè 'crowd'
ìsì ‘blindness’
igwe 'sky’
àkwà 'bed/bridge’

| d. ọcha 'white' | e. | oke 'male' | f. | mma 'beauty' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ọcha 'whiteness' |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| okè 'boundary' |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | òke 'rat' |
|  | òkè 'share' |

g. idè 'flood/earthworm'
ìde 'pillar/basket' ìdè 'raffia palm wine'
(Adapted from Emenanjo, 2015:112)

For example 76(d), Emenanjo demonstrates that two apparently identical and even related words can differ in their parts of speech as stated below:

77 a. ọcha 'whiteness' (nominal) noun
b. ọcha 'white' (nominal modifier) adjective

This study adopts the minimal pair (MP) aspect of Trubetzkoy's theory of distinctive opposition as further developed by Mbah and Mbah (2010) for this study since Igbo is a tone language and relying on it will enable the phonemes of the Ọmambala Igbo to be established.

### 2.3.2 Generative phonology

Generative phonology is a theory of phonology which emphasizes rules generation. It posits that grammar contains finite set of rules used to generate infinite correct possibilities in human language. That is why Chomsky and Halle (1968:3) contend that 'a grammar is a system of rules that relate sound and meaning'. Among the tenets of generative phonology is its belief in fully explicit and algorithmic phonologies that are capable of generating the surface forms of language from underlying forms, employment of derivational means, developing of phonological representations through linear sequences only using matrices of features, and its strong adherence to the principle of deep rule ordering (Goldsmith and Laks, 2014).

Chomsky and Halle (1968) hold the view that there are several components of the grammar, which include a phonological component. This component comprises of three different modules: the underlying systematic phonemic representation, the surface systematic phonetic representation, and the phonological rule (Oyebade and Mbah, 2008), which serves as intermediary between the first two components. The representational structure is captured in the following diagram:

Fig. 2.6: Modules to the component of grammar called phonology

UNDERLYING SYSTEMATIC PHONEMIC REPRESENTATION


Fromkin, Rodman and Hyams (2011) write that the role of phonological rules in a grammar is to provide phonetic information required for the pronunciation of utterances. That is why Schane (1973) submits that phonological rule is used to state the exact condition in which a phonological process takes place. Schane (1973:62) categorises four types of rules in generative phonology, which are: the feature changing rules, deletion and insertion rules, permutation and coalescence rules, and rules with variables. Sommerstein (1977:115) summarises the effect of phonological rules, thus:

Phonological rules then apply, changing the values of features and possibly inserting or deleting segments, to convert this representation into a systematic phonetic representation of a degree of 'narrowness' such that, at the very least, any two sounds that are distinguished in any human language are differently represented.

Each of the rules performs one function as we now present below:
A. Feature changing rule: Feature changing rule is a phonological rule that captures instances whereby features of a particular segment changes to the features of another segment either partially or completely. Three things puzzle the mind when such a change occurs, which are concerned with identifying the segments that change, how the change had occurred and the conditions under which the change had occurred (Schane, 1973:62). Sommerstein (1977:117) presents the process involved in ascertaining the three information where he says that the input and environment between them form the structural description (SD) of a rule, while the part of
the rule that shows what the input changes to represents the output or structural change (SC). He generalises this thus:

Fig. 2.7: Structural description (SD) and structural change (SC)

$$
\mathrm{A} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{X} \_\mathrm{Y}
$$

Schane (1973:62-64) presents some of the feature changing rules and their interpretations:

Rule 2. Feature changing rules
a. $\quad[$ - sonorant $] \longrightarrow[$ - voiced $]$
b. $\quad \mathrm{V} \longrightarrow$ [+nasal]

These rules, according to Schane, imply that all obstrents become voiceless in all positions and that all vowels become nasalised wherever they occur. These rules are context free rules - rules that do not require any environment to take place. There are some rules that must take place in certain environments which are referred to as context-dependent rules. Two types of rules are, therefore, distinguished: the context free rules and context dependent rules. Schane presents some context dependent rules:

Rule 3. Context dependent rule

$$
\mathrm{V} \longrightarrow[+ \text { nasal }] / \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{C} \\
+ \text { nasal }
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{C}
$$

The above rule is a P-rule of nasalization. This rule is interpreted to mean that a vowel becomes nasalised in an environment before a nasal consonant followed by another consonant e.g.
78.

French

| a. | /sẽt/ | 'saint' | /k̃̈nt/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. | /gars̃o/ | 'boy' | /pzan't' |
|  |  | 'pant' |  |

(Adapted from Mbah and Mbah, 2010:107)

Rule 4. Voicing rule
$[$ - sonorant $] \longrightarrow[+$ voiced $] / \mathrm{V}_{\ldots} \mathrm{V}$

This rule says that obstrents are voiced at intervocalic positions.
Rule 5. Umlauting rule
$\mathrm{V} \longrightarrow[-$ back $] /-\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{V} \\ + \text { high } \\ \text {-back }\end{array}\right]$

This rule is interpreted to mean that vowels become fronted before zero or more consonants followed by a high front vowel. This is umlauting rule. $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ is also interpreted as "irrespective of any number of consonants" (Mbah and Mbah, 2010:107).

Rule 6. Nasalisation rule

$$
\mathrm{V} \longrightarrow[\text { nasal }] /-\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{C} \\
\text { +nasal }
\end{array}\right] \#
$$

This rule is interpreted to mean that vowels become nasalised in an environment before a nasal consonant at word boundadry e.g.
79.

French

| [garš] garcon | 'boy' |
| :--- | :--- |
| [pwas̃] poisson | 'fish' |
| [pwaz̃] poison | 'poison' |

(Adapted from Mbah and Mbah, 2010:108)

Rule 7. Nasalisation rule using brace

$$
\mathrm{V} \longrightarrow[- \text { back }] / \_\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{C} \\
\text { +nasal }
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{C} \\
\#
\end{array}\right.
$$

This rule is interpreted to mean that vowels become nasalised before a nasal consonant followed by another consonant or word boundary.

Emenanjo (2015) discusses glide formation in Igbo using P-rule. He points out that in Igbo, there is a disyllabification of i orị to become the semi -vowel (or approximant) /j/, leading to a glide formation. Some data he presented are:
80.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. } & \text { isi }{ }^{+} & \text {ewu } \rightarrow & \text { isjewū } \\ & \text { 'head' } & \text { 'goaat' } & \text { 'goat head' }\end{array}$
b. ụ̣̣ rị $+\quad$ ụọ $\quad \rightarrow \quad$ ụdịrjụlọ̀
'type’ 'house’ 'type of house'

He provides a formal P-rule account of the above data as follows:

Rule 8. Emenanjo’s (2015) P-rule representation of disyllabification of the i or ị in Igbo

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syllable } \\
+ \text { high }
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
- \text { syll } \\
- \text { cons }
\end{array}\right] / ـ\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syll } \\
- \text { high }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Interpretation: A high vowel becomes desyllabified in an environment before a low vowel.
B. Deletion and insertion rules: This rule is used to represent deletion or elision of a segment in speech. According Schane (1973:65), it "is indicated by Ø, the null symbol." He says that the segment that is deleted is on the left of the arrow, while the Ø appears on the right. He states some deletion rules, thus:

Rule 9. Deletion rule

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{C} \\
+ \text { nasal }
\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow \varnothing /\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{V} \\
+ \text { nasal }
\end{array}\right]
$$

This rule is interpreted to mean that nasal consonants are deleted after nasalised vowels.
Rule 10. Deletion rule with brace


This rule says that word final consonants are deleted before a following consonant or in phrase final position.

Schane (1973) discloses that for insertion rule, the null symbol appears to the left of the arrow, while the segment to be inserted is on the right. He states insertion rule, thus:

Rule 11. Insertion rule

$$
\varnothing \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{V} \\
+ \text { high } \\
\text { +round }
\end{array}\right] / \# \mathrm{C} \_\ldots \mathrm{C}
$$

This rule is interpreted that when two consonants begin a word, the vowel $u$ is inserted to break up the consonant cluster.
C. Permutation and coalescence rules: Permutation rule is used to ensure that identical information does not have to be repeated on both sides. To ensure that only the one that changes is given information on, the element which appears to the left are specified and numbered and the same numbers are used on the right to refer to their relative positions. If one of the segments on the left undergoes a change, that change is indicated on the right along with that segment's number. For elements which do not change, only their numbers appear on the right. According to Schane, this rule is also called transformational format similar to what is used for writing transformational rules of syntax. Below are some permutation rules:

Rule 12. Permutation rule showing nasalisation

$$
\underset{1}{\mathrm{~V}} \underset{\substack{\mathrm{C} \\
+\text { nasal } \\
2}}{\mathrm{C}}]_{3} \underset{ }{\#} \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
+ \text { nasal }
\end{array}\right] 23
$$

For deletion, a Ø appears in the right half of the rule in place of the segment which is to undergo deletion.

Rule 13. Deletion with permutation rule


Schane says that for insertion the null symbol $\varnothing$ is not needed, rather a specification of the segment to be inserted is indicated in the right half of the rule at the correct place in the sequence

Rule 14. Insertion with permutation rule

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cccccc}
\# & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{C} & \longrightarrow & 1 & 2\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{V} \\
1
\end{array}\right. \\
2 & 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { high } \\
+ \text { round }
\end{array}\right] 3
$$

This notation, according to schane (1973), is also applied in analysing metathesis and coalescent assimilation as presented below:

Rule 15. Metathesis and coalescence with permutation rule
a. Metathesis:
$\underset{1}{\mathrm{~V}} \underset{2}{\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { - consonantal } \\ \text { continuant }\end{array}\right]} \underset{34}{\mathrm{CV}} \longrightarrow 1324$
b. Coalescence:

$$
\mathrm{C}\left[\begin{array}{l}
- \text { syllabic } \\
- \text { consonantal } \\
+ \text { round } \\
2
\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
+ \text { round }
\end{array}\right] \varnothing
$$

For metathesis, the cluster glottal stop and consonant in Hanunoo becomes consonant and glottal stop when it is internal to the word i.e. between vowels. In coalescence, the primary segment is modified while the secondary one is deleted and the modifications are shown in the right half of the rule. So, in the coalescent rule above, a consonant followed by $w$ coalesces to a labialised consonant.
D. Rules with variables: These are single rules used to replace two rules which are identical except the values of the same feature (Schane, 1973). Schane says that variable is another way of saying "has the same value as" or "agrees in value with". What is inferred from this is that rules with
variables are used to collapse more that one rule applied in analysing a phonological phenomenon. For example:

Rule 16. Assimilation rule

$$
[\text { - sonorant }] \rightarrow[+ \text { voiced }] / \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
- \text { sonorant } \\
+ \text { voiced }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Interpretation: Obstruent becomes voiced in an environment before a voiced obstruent.

Rule 17. Assimilation rule

$$
[- \text { sonorant }] \rightarrow[- \text { voiced }] / ـ\left[\begin{array}{l}
- \text { sonorant } \\
- \text { voiced }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Interpretation: Obstruent becomes voiceless in an environment before a voiceless obstruent.

These two rules can be collapsed to a single rule with variables as follow:
Rule 18. Rule with variables

$$
[- \text { sonorant }] \rightarrow[\alpha \text { voiced }] / \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
- \text { sonorant } \\
\alpha \text { voiced }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Interpretation: The first obstruent takes the same value for the feature [+ voiced] as is found in the second obstruent.

Mbah and Mbah (2010) provide the following examples to demonstrate the application of rules with variables in English:
81 a. gs as in bæg-s $\rightarrow \quad$ [bægz] 'bags'
b. dgs as in bædzis $\rightarrow$ [bædziz] 'badges'
c. kd as in ask-ed $\rightarrow$ [askt] 'asked'

Emenanjo (2015) uses rule with variables to account for homorganic nasal assimilation in Igbo. He points out that any consonant that follows the syllabic nasal / N / is assimilated to it by homorganicity. He describes it as a kind of regressive assimilation and uses P-rule to account for it as presented below:

Rule 19. Emenanjo's (2015) P-rule account of homorganic nasal assimilation

$$
\text { [+ nasal] } \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{x} \text { anterior } \\
\beta \text { coronal } \\
\gamma \text { high } \\
\varepsilon \text { back }
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(-\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{x} \text { anterior } \\
\beta \text { coronal } \\
\gamma \text { high } \\
\varepsilon \text { back }
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

Emenanjo (2015) interpretes this rule to mean that any time a syllabic nasal is followed by a consonant within a word, the nasal consonant takes on the place of articulation of the following consonant. He illustrates with the examples below:
82.

| a. | /nnà/ | $>$ | [nnà] | 'father' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. | /nmà/ | $>$ | $[\mathrm{mmà}]$ | 'knife' |
| c. | /nkụ/ | $>$ | $[\mathrm{nkv}]$ | 'wood' |
| d. | /nkpa/ | $>$ | $[\mathrm{mkpà}]$ | 'importance' |
| e. | /nvọ/ | $>$ | $[\mathrm{mvo}]$ | 'finger nail' |
| f. | /nzzè/ | $>$ | [ǹzè $]$ | 'title' |

Generative phonology is relevant in this study. Its relevance stems from its provision of statement of principles responsible for the processes involved in the use of language; the phonological rules specify minimal modifications of the underlying forms in order to bring them in line with the surface constraints. The phonological rules, organized in a linear manner, are used to analyse lengthening, labialisation, palatalisation, homorganic nasal assimilation, nasalisation, neutralisation, assimilation, elision, insertion, vowel harmony and metathesis.

### 2.3.3 Autosegmental phonology

The Autosegmental Phonology (AP) model was developed as a result of reactions to generative phonology in the 70s as because of some proposals of the theory or some of its basic assumptions. There was controversy over the issue of abstractness as SPE refused to adopt a totally abstract formalist logic (Goldsmith and Laks, 2014:12). The generative phonology was attacked for specifying underlying segments and proposing distinctive feature as the minimal as well as its assumption that utterances are bundles of unordered features arranged in an ordered sequence (Oyebade and Mbah, 2008). Another major problem generative phonology had is the issue of linearity which makes it difficult for it to handle supra-segmental issues.

The AP model was enunciated by Goldsmith (1976). It is a deviation from the use of linear segmental rules to analyse the phonology of a language. It lays emphasis on the analysis of suprasegmental features which, according to Goldsmith (1976), could not be handled by segmental phonology. The model is highly acknowledged for its development of a multi-linear phonological analysis where features are represented on separate tiers rather than being viewed as a string of segments. Each of the tiers is regarded as independent or autonomous. Goldsmith (1979) distinguishes the AP from the generative phonology by saying that it:
mainly differs from generative phonology by its development of a multi linear phonological analysis where features may be placed on separate tiers with various tiers organized by 'association lines' and Well-Formedness Condition; and analysis of phonological phenomena less in terms of feature changing rules as such, and more in terms of rules that delete and organize the various autosegments, through the readjustment of the association lines.

The foregoing suggests that phonological rules can apply to one tier without affecting other tiers. Goldsmith (1976) applies this model to analyse Igbo tone system. Goldsmith (1974) in a paper Autosegmental Tonology throws light on what eventually metamorphosed as autosegmental phonology in 1976. He presents a phonological derivation as a sequence of representations with each representation being a sequence of feature bundles (segments) and each pair of adjacent representations related by a phonological rule. He considers the first in the sequence as the underlying representation while the last is the final derived representation. Goldsmith discloses that Leben (1973) and Williams (1976) initiated the moves to break away from a strictly linear representation with the generative grammar by suggesting that the representation underlying some particular sequence of 'phonetic' segments could consist of two separate and quite independent sequences of phoneme-type segments; one sequence providing the tonal information and the other sequence containing anything else. Goldsmith, however, faults their proposals for providing for 'feature' (mapping rule) which merges the tonemic and phonemic sequences as well as their system of representing a contour tone as a strictly linear system would. He considers the merger too strong and therefore suggests that the two tiers (the phonemic and the tonemic tiers) remain separate throughout the derivation (Goldsmith, 1973:4). In place of 'mapping rule', he suggests 'correspondence rule'.

Goldsmith (1976) suggests that there is the 'stability' of tone due to the resistance of the tonal features of a vowel to deletion, even when the vowel bearing the tonal feature is deleted or desyllabified. In the opinion of the scholar, the association line is introduced at some points in the derivation by a rule after the underlying representation. He suggests a convention known as the 'Well Formedness Condition' (WFC), which has the effect of adding or deleting association lines at any point throughout the derivation (Goldsmith, 1976; 1990). The convention states:

1. Each vowel must be associated with 'at least' one toneme.
2. Each toneme must be associated with 'at least' one vowel.
3. No association line may cross.

With the WFC, where a tone associated with a vowel is adjacent to another tone that is unassociated with a vowel, a universal convention automatically spreads the tone to the toneless vowels (Katamba, 1993:158). This is shown below:

Fig.2.8 Tone spreading
a.

b.


The notations used by autosegmental phonology are explained by Katamba (1993:175) thus:
a. An unbroken association line indicates pre-linking i.e. prior association in the lexicon of elements on separate tiers.
b. A broken association line indicates linking i.e. the creation of an association line.
c. $\neq$ A crossed through association line shows delinking, i.e. the severance by rule of an association line linking elements on different tiers.
d. [ ] A left bracket shows the left boundary and a right bracket shows a right boundary.
e. V A circle around an item indicates that the item has been deleted.

Following AP, in analyzing tone in Igbo or any other tone language, phonological representation should not be less than two tiers, and the different tiers are linked by association lines while the WFC guides the association lines to ensure the derivation of correct forms in the surface representation based on the language's phonotactic constraints. Below is an illustration of application of AP model:

Goldsmith (1974) uses AP principle to analyse cliticisation in Igbo where he discloses that the preposition na, which is toneless, cliticises to its object as shown below:
83 a. Ọ nọ na ụlọ̀ 'he is in the house'
b. $\quad \mathrm{O}$ nọ nà àlà 'it is on the ground'

Autosegmental derivation:
Fig 2.9 Goldsmith’s (1974) autosegmental phonology analysis of cliticisation in Igbo

ii.

iii. \#\# na ụlọ \# \#

after unambiguous correction
\# \# H L \# \#
(adapted from Goldsmith, 1974: 10)

Mbah and Mbah (2010) disclose that the AP model has been expanded to cover or take care of other features than tone, such as nasalization and vowel harmony.

Clark (1990) discusses the tonal system of Igbo. She says that spreading is assigned to Phrase Level II on grounds of ordering. She illustrates with the derivation of the question $\tilde{H} a ̀ ~ a ̀ z a ̀ a ́ l a ́ ~ a ́ m a-~$ ‘Have they swept the path?’ (h̆á 'they’ + á-zà-á-lá ‘have swept' (aff. non-Factative) + áma'‘path’ (assoc.)') which is demonstrated below:

Fig. 2.10 Clark's (1990) analysis of tone spreading in Igbo

ha azaala ama


H H LH H H

L
hà àzàala a!má


PHRASE LEVEL I:
Input from syntax
Vowel assimilation

H Deletion
PHRASE LEVEL II:

Question Lowering
Spreading

Clark points out that spreading is assigned to Phrase level II so that when the form enters the Level II phonology, it meets the structural description of both Question Lowering and Spreading, and the two rules apply simultaneously, to yield the correct output.

Clark also discusses falling contour simplification where she observes that the concatenation of words usually creates a vowel sequence because Igbo words normally begin and end with vowels. She points out that in normal conversation, such sequences are blended into a single syllable, by a rule of coalescence and when the coalesced syllable carries a HL contour, the low tone is deleted by rule of Falling Contour Simplification, where; $\mathrm{L} \rightarrow \varnothing$ [ H $\qquad$ .

This rule is demonstrated below as follows:
Fig. 2.11: Clark's (1990) analysis of falling contour simplification in Igbo


PHRASE LEVEL I:
Input to phrasal phonology


Coalescence
Vowel assimilation

Falling Contour Simplification $H$ Deletion

PHRASE LEVEL II:
Spreading

Clark demonstrates that when the structural description of the rule is met across a word boundary, it applies at Phrase Level I, and feeds into the rule of H deletion. What Clark has not disclosed is the representation of the contour tone before simplification. However, Katamba (1993) clearly states that contour tones are instances of rising tones or falling tones and that they manifest when elements at any one tier may be linked one-to-many with elements at another tier.

Leben (2006) describes the model as providing an explicit way of framing analysis. He presents what he considers an elegant capturing of Hausa contraction rule by the model.

Fig. 2.12 Leben's (2006) autosegmental phonology account of contraction in Hausa


Leben points out that the rule neither affects tone nor is conditioned by tone, but pronouns like ni lose their vowel in some cases. He notes that at the deletion of the vowel, its tone remains and because of a general principle in Hausa, rather than remaining unassociated or a floating tone, it now links up to some Tone Bearing Units and the general constraints of the AP provides banas the only available TBU candidate.

Leben (2006) also illustrates the application of the AP model to spreading in the Mande language in Sierra Leone as presented below:

Fig 2.13 Leben’s (2006) autosemental phonology analysis of spreading in Mande
a.

b.


He points out that the model is also reputed by its ability to capture a connection between down step and low tone by delinking the low tone yet retaining it in the tonal tier so that it would be present to cause a following high tone to down drift.

Fig. 2.14 Leben's (2006) autosegmental phonology account of down drift in Mande

b

$$
\prod_{\text {H L H = hén 'ádán 'their house' }}^{\text {hen adan }}
$$

In figure 2.14(b), the low tone is not associated but its effect leads to having a downstep on the following word. Oostendorp (2005) applies the model in the analysis of assimilation in Dutch. He discloses that the Dutch plural past tense suffix has two allomorphs: -də and -tə and that while the former is chosen after a stem ending in a voiced segment, the latter is chosen after a stem ending in a voiceless obstruent.
84. i. lee[vd]en 'lived'
ii. ma[ft]en 'sleeped'

Oostendorp states that the AP model would analyze the above structures as represented below:

Fig. 2.15 Oostendorp's (2005) autosemental analysis of assimilation in Dutch


The AP model is considered relevant for this study because of its primary objective of accounting for suprasegmental issues in language. The interesting aspect of the theory is its proposal that features are represented on separate tiers and independent rather than being viewed as a string of segments or functioning as a unit as the generative phonology would present. With this, the theory provides a clear explanation of suprasegments. It is used in this study to analyse lengthening, labialisation, palatalisation, homorganic nasal assimilation, nasalisation, neutralisation, assimilation, elision, insertion, vowel harmony and tone gliding.

### 2.3.4 Government phonology

Government phonology is a phonological theory that is based on universal principles commom to human language against the parameters held by individual language. It was inspired by Chomsky's (1981) principles and parameters theory of universal grammar (UG) and a shift from generative phonology theory which is concerned with generating rules that have the ability to explain every linguistic form across languages. With government phonology, phonological processes and secondary articulation features of human language are no longer explained by generating rules, rather, the relationship between phonological elements of language and the natural relationship existing among languages are considered vital. Polgardi (1998) notes that in government phonology, the principles are inviolable while parameters which express language specific facts are inevitable. This shows that the relationship of the phonological elements of language represents language specific parameter while natural relationship among languages has to do with the universal principles.

The theory made its debut in the 1980s through Kaye and subsequently Lawenstamm and Vergnaud joined. This resulted in the joint efforts of the three scholars and subsequent emergence of Kaye, Lowenstamm and Vergnaud (1985) where they projected a phonology that demonstrates
a relation of government; there are the governor and the governee and for government to occur, certain conditions and principles must be adhered to.

Crystal (2008:215) succinctly summarises the operations of government phonology in the following way:


#### Abstract

A model of non-linear phonology in which the notion of government is central, also called government-based phonology or government and charm phonology. Government is here defined in terms of headedness - a binary asymmetric relation holding between two skeletal positions. Certain segments within syllable structure are seen to have governing properties, and associated to governing skeletal positions. Other segments are governable, and are associated to skeletal positions that are governees. Headedness is seen as local (i.e., between adjacent segments) and directional (head-initial).


With government phonology, Kaye, Lowenstamm and Vergnaud argue that the initial and external structures need to be described fully. They also claim that the relationship between phonological elements of language arise from natural relationships among languages which makes them form natural groups, where each element has the same governing relationships with other; and the governor and the governee observe certain conditions of co-occurrence (Mbah and Mbah, 2010:183).

There are ceratin conditions that are required for a governing relation. These conditions are:
a. Formal condition: This is the kind of condition that involves the notions of locality (adjacency) and directionality. Here, there are the strict locality condition and the strict directionality condition. Under the strict locality condition, the governor is required to be obligatorily adjacent to the governee at thepribjection without any position intervening. $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ refers to the projection containing eve ry skeletal point. The strict conditionality condition, on the other hand, emphases that the directionality of government at the skeletal level is universal and devoid of any parametric variation. This is maintained by observing binarity theorem whereby no constituent may dominate more than two positions. The foregoing explanation shows that the theory recognizes that phonological processes as well as secondary articulatory features of language take place at certain context and unarbitrarily too. Context is seen to be emphasized here. For phonological processes or secondary articulatory features to occur, there must be context. The context determines the governor and governee which are the basis for government phonology. The two skeletal points must be in a binary asymmetric relation, thus, supporting Cyran's (1995) claim that there is a direct relation between a phonological process and context of its occurrence.
b. Substantive condition: This condition deals with the governing properties of segments which motivate/initiate governing relations. Citing Kaye, Lowenstamm and Vergnaud (1985), Cyran (1995) points out that these properties were originally viewed in terms of charm which have three values: positive ( ${ }^{+}$), negative ( ${ }^{-}$) and neutral ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ); and which governors became positively (vowels) or negatively (obstruents and fricative) charmed and governees were charmless (sonorants). Also, citing Harris (1990), Cyran (1995) says that charm theory was later replaced with the notion of segmental complexity under which governing relation must be in such a way that the governor is more complex than the governee. With this, the sounds in phonological string may either form a head-initial governing or head-final governing domain as represented below:

Fig. 2.16 Head-initial and head final governing domain


Polgardi (1998) mentions three kinds of government in phonology, which are: (1.) Constituent government, (2.) Interconstituent government, and (3.) Projection government. Cyran (1995), however, notes that constituent and interconstituent governments are the two governments that basically exist.

Constituent government is the kind of government involving only constituent which represents a syllable. It occurs between two binary asymmetric skeletal slots in a single constituent (Mbah, 2007; Charette, 1990; Cyran, 1995). Constituent government adheres to strict locality as well as strict directionality conditions. Charette (1990) succinctly discloses that constituent government involves a maximally binary branching constituent which has an internal governing domain wher the head governs the complement from left to right. This left to right governor-governee relation is attested to by Cyran (1995) and Brockhaus and Ingleby (1998). As noted by Nwokeiwu (2014:24), "constituent government can be said to be head-initial and defines syllabic constituents, which are the onset ( O ), the nucleus ( N ) and the rhyme (R)." (See also Cyran,

1995:8). Cyran (1995) further discloses that government phonology forbids coda from being a possible constituent, thus, suggesting that constituent government involves members of the same syllable. Constituent government can better be explained with the figures below:

Fig. 2.17 Constituent governing domains


Onset


Nucleus


Rhyme
(Adapted from Cyran, (1995:8)

The figure $2.17(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c})$ clearly shows the direction of government. The underlined element is the head. According to Cyran (1995), depending on parameteric variation with languages, the syllabic constituents may or may not branch and all branching constituents are head-initial. Figure 2.17(c) shows that the left branching of every rhyme is the nucleus constituent.

Interconstituent government, from its name, refers to government involving two constituents. This is attested to by Crystal (2008:216) where the scholar describes interconstituent government as government across constituent boundaries. In this kind of constituent, the two skeletal slots at adjacency position involve syllables. One important aspect of this kind of government is that it is head-final and the governor-governee relation is from the right to left. Just as the strict locality and strict conditionality conditions apply to constituent government, they also apply to interconstituent government such that the two skeletal slots must be adjacent and no constituent may dominate more than two positions. Interconstituent government is illustrated below:

Fig. 2.18 Interconstituent government

(Adapted from Cyran, 1995:8)

Cyran ((1995) cited Kaye, Lowenstamm and Verdnaud (1990), Kaye (1990) and Charette (1991) as noting that apart from the rhyme-onset interconstituent relation, government phonology recognizes government relation between nuclei or onsets at their projection.

Projection government is the kind of government that occurs at the level of nulear projection between two heads of nuclear constituents. Unlike constituent and interconstituent governments, projection government is local but not strictly local. This is because at the level of projection, the two nuclear constitiuents are adjacent but at lower levels, there may be other intervening elements. Strict conditionality condition does not apply to projection government because it is language specific. What this suggests is that governor-governee relation here could either be left to right or right to left. It also implies that the head can be at the initial or final position. Nwokeiwu (2014:26), citing Kaye (1990), notes that projection government handles the phenomena of stress, tone and harmony and that its domain can either be binary or unbounded as illustrated below:

Fig. 2.19 Government at the nuclear projection (binary projection on government)


Fig. 2.20 Government at the nuclear projection (Unbounded projection government)

(Adapted from Nwokeiwu, 2014:26-27)

The above representations show that the governor which is N 1 governs all its governees in a local manner but each of the governees is governed on the next level of projection. In this respect, the unlicensed nuclei are projected to the next higher level which in this case is P1 in order to be in government relation. For the unbounded government, N1 can only govern N2 as that is the only position that is adjacent to it and that will make it licensed and not to be projected to the next higher level. It is the N3 which is still unlicensed and N4 that can be projected to the next higher level where it (N3) can be governed by N1. The N3 with N2 will not further be projected to the next higher level P3 as it has been licensed. It is only the N4 that will be projected to the next higher level of P3 to be governed by N1 and licensed.

One important aspect of projection government is proper government (Cyran, 1995:10; Scheer, 1998:43; Nwokeiwu, 2014:27). Proper government takes place where a segment is said to properly govern another segment if both of them are adjacent on the relevant projection and the governor is neither itself licensed nor there being a governing domain that separates the governor and the governee. It is inferred from this that a properly governed position cannot govern any other position. As stated by Cyran (1995:10), "proper government is strictly related to the phonological Empty Category Principle (ECP) in that a properly governed nuclear position remains phonetically null, while in the absence of such a relation, the position has to be licensed." There are rules that guide proper government, which Nwokeiwu (2014) enumerates as:
a. A governs B from right to left where no governing domain intervenes between the governor and the governee.
b. Proper government cannot enter a governing domain, ie. Long vowels are no possible targets.
c. Proper government applies to empty nuclei thus, when the empty nuclei are properly governed, they remain inaudible, and if they escape proper government, they are subject to a language specific epenthesis.
d. A proper government position cannot govern any other position.

One important feature of government phonology is principle of licensing. Licensing, in the opinion of Brockhaus (1995), serves as the motor that drives phonology. Principle of licensing requires that apart from the head of a domain, all phonological position must be licensed within a domain. Licensing takes place both within and across constituents and requires that licensing relation should be local and directional (Harris, 1994:156). Two basic licensing exists in government phonology: prosodic (p-licensing) and autosegmental (a-licensing).

Under prosodic licensing are constituent and interconstituent relations where licensing may be subject to locality and directionality conditions and in the former, licensing is head-initial, while in the latter, it is head-final. Under interconstituent relation are coda licensing principle and government licensing principle. In coda licensing principle,, post-nuclear rhymal positions must be licensed by the following onset. The government licencing principle was proposed by Charette (1990) to license non nuclear heads by their nuclei and it states that for a governing relation to hold between a nuclear head $\alpha$ and its complement $\beta$, $\alpha$ must be government-licensed by its nuclei (Charette, 1990:242). This is illustrated below:

Fig. 2.21 Government licencing
a.



X
b.


Government phonology theory has been considered relevant for this study. This is because of its proposal that phonological processes and secondary articulation features are a result of natural relationship existing amond sounds at adjacency position. It is a non-linear phonology unlike the generative phonology. It is specifically used to analyse labialisation, palatalisation, homorganic nasal assimilation, nasalisation, neutralisation, assimilation, elision and vowel harmony.

### 2.4 Summary of literature review

Some available literatures related to this study have been reviewed in three parts: The first part dealt with the conceptual studies; in part two was the empirical studies; and finally, in part three, the theoretical framework was discussed.

In the conceptual studies, the concepts of phoneme, minimal pair/set, phonological processes and secondary articulation features were reviewed, looking at views of past scholars concerning them. We note three perspectives to the study of the phoneme, such as the psychological reality, phonetic reality and phonological reality, and each of these views has what it holds firm concerning the phoneme. We were able to find out that minimal pair/set is a vital tool for identifying sounds in opposition in language. Texts on minimal pair/set were discussed from where Mbah and Mbah's (2010) view was finally adopted because of their effective handling of

MP in tone languages, since the varieties being investigated are Igbo, which is equally a tone language. Scholars' views concerning the phonological processes and secondary articulation features were reviewed and we saw that the processes and features both involve speech sounds coming together in speech. We adopted Emenanjo’s (2015) definition of phonological processes because of his subtle way of identifying the original states of the speech sounds and what they are in actual usage with other sounds in speech. The study reveals that the phonological processes and secondary articulation features could be grouped along the three parameters of intersegmental coordination in speech adapted from Eme (2008) such as the articulatory parameter, coarticulatory parameter and connected speech feature parameter. On the basis of these parameters, the phonological processes and secondary articulation features are discussed in chapter five.

In the empirical studies, we reviewed past works that used MP test to identify the phonemes of some dialects of Igbo and some languages. The review showed that a lot of past studies have used the MP test to identify the phonemes of languages and dialects of languages. This study will equally use the MP test to identify the phonemes of Omambala varieties of Igbo.

Also studied were works on phonological patterning of words, phonological processes and secondary articulation features. It is discovered that generative phonology conveniently handles most of the phonological phenomena using algorithmic principle. These rules abound in Schane (1973). However, on the issue on tone, the AP model proved a better option. The autosegmental phonology model was comfortably used by many scholars, including its proponent, John Goldsmith, to discuss tone in tone languages and phonological processes/features. The government phonology is also observed to be an indispensable theory for handling issues of sounds in context, especially sounds at contiguous position.

The review revealed that the phonological patterning of words in the Igbo language varies among Igbo dialects. Ikekeonwu (1987) and Nwaozuzu (2008), in their classifications of Igbo dialects, are extensive in their discussion of Igbo dialects. However, Ikekeonwu (1987) makes no mention of Igbo speech communities of Omambala, but Nwaozuzu (2008) discusses them but differs from this study because she did not discuss the phonological processes and secondary articulation features ofỌmambala varieties, which this study also investigates. She did not also use any theory of phonology to discuss phonological issues of Ọmambala varieties. This study agrees with Nwaozuzu (2008), especially in her grouping of Ọmambala varieties into the East Niger Group of Dialects, but with modification as the unifying feature(s) of Igbo varieties Ọfnambala would have enabled them to be a separate dialect group within the East Niger Group of Dialects. Other past works reviewed discuss the phonological processes and secondary articulation features of
dialects of Igbo that are not among Omambala varieties and they mostly used the descriptive method. Of all the works reviewed, only Mbah (2007), Oyebade and Mbah (2008), Edeoga (2013) and Nwokeiwu (2014) used government phonology to analyse some phonological processes in Igbo, but the dialects they investigated are different from Omambala varieties. Hence, it is necessary that this study descriptively investigates the phonological processes and secondary articulation features of Omambala varieties as well as applies the generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology theories in analysing the data described. Through this, it will be established whether the theories apply to Ọmambala data or not and the extent of their application. This will be a way of filling the existing gaps.

## Chapter Three

## Methodology

### 3.1 Research design

This study adopts descriptive and theoretical methods; it also involves comparison of data from Omambala varieties. This approach enabled the researcher to describe the data collected from the different Igbo speech communities of the O mambala area and apply three different phonological theories to anlyse them. It also enabled a comparison of the data to find out the differences and similarities among the varieties.

## $3.2 \quad$ Population of the study

The population of this study was drawn from three communities in each of the four LGAs of Ọmambala, except in Ayamelum LGA which has four speech communities because one speech community was previously used for pilot study. On the whole, thirteen speech communities were studied, consisting of the local government headquarters and two other communities in each LGA. The choice of the local government headquarters was based on convenience as it was easier to access the local government headquarters.The other two communities were selected based on random sampling technique where names of all the communities were written down on sheets of paper, folded and put in a basket from where two speech communities were picked. This method did not eventually work for Anambra West LGA as the headquarters, Nzàm, and Ụ̀kwàlà selected based on the random sampling technique were later discovered to speak Ìgalà as their L 1 and Igbo as their L 2. Consequent upon this, the data collected from both Nzàm, and Ụ̀kwàlà were finally dropped, leaving the number of the speech commuities finally used for analysis at eleven . In Oyi LGA, Ogbunike speech community was purposely chosen since the researcher hails from Ogbunikē. For Ànam speech variety, data was collected from Ụmụ̀èze Ānam and Ụmụdị̣ọà in Ezi $A^{-}$a memmunity.

From each of the speech communities, three respondents were chosen without reference to gender as their selection was based on our convinction by our guides that they are competent speakers of their speech communities. The respondents were youths below 40 years old and adults from 40 years old and above. On the whole, there were thirty-three respondents.

### 3.3 Sources of data

Linguistic data were collected from both primary and secondary sources. The primary source of data for this study was oral interview. The oral interview was a semi-structured one. We used Swadesh 100 wordlist, researcher-adapted 138 wordlist and 70 phrase and sentence list for data elicitation. The respondents were equally asked to explain a cultural or occupational activity of their choice. This enabled the researcher to gather other data which were added to or compared with data from wordlist, phrase and sentence lists. A digital video recorder was initially used during the interview session, but there was difficulty in charging its battery, which easily gets discharged, consequent upon which it was substituted with a digital audio recorder which does not require charging the batteries. Secondary data, on the other hand, include data collected from the existing body of knowledge such as textbooks, seminar papers, research findings and academic journals found in the library, internet and other sources relevant to the study.

### 3.4 Method of data presentation

The compact disc plates and memory card used to store the data collected during interview were played repeatedly in a quiet room in order to sieve the information. This enabled the writing of the information orthographically as well as accurately transcribing it. Sometimes, the data are presented in tables. Analysis is done on the data written down on paper and transcribed before findings are made manifest. The data are presented according to the information intended for elicitation and as concerned all the speech communities investigated before they were compared.

### 3.5 Method of data analysis

For data analysis, we applied Trubetzkoy's (1939) theory of distinctive opposition to analyse the speech sounds and elicit the phonemes of the speech communities investigated. In this regard, we first applied basically the minimal pair/set test as further expanded by Mbah and Mbah (2010) in identifying the phonemes in each of the speech communities. We applied the descriptive method first to examine more closely the phonological patterning of words, phonological processes and secondary articulation features before we compared them to find out their differences and similarities. The phonological processes and secondary articulation features are also analysed using the generative phonology theory, autosegmental phonology model and government phonology theory. Discussion of the phonological processes and secondary articulation features is done along the three parameters of intersegmental co-ordination in speech - articulatory parameter, coarticulatory parameter and connected speech features parameter as exemplified in Eme (2008).

### 3.6 Method of transcription and tone-marking convention

The data are phonemically transcribed and tone-marked using the symbols of the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) (revised to 2005); and Achebe, Ikekeọnwụ, Emenanjo, Eme \& Ng'ang'a’s (2011) A Composite Synchronic Alphabet of Igbo Dialects (CSAID) and Guidelines for Data Transcription where necessary. We adopt Green and Igwe's (1963) tone marking convention of leaving high tone unmarked and low tone marked with grave accent $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$. The downstep tone is marked orthographically with a macron [ ] and phonemically with a down pointing arrow before the syllable that bears the tone followed by an acute accent on the tone bearing unit [ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ].

# Chapter Four <br> Presentation and analysis of data on phonemes and phonological patterning of words 

### 4.0 Introduction

This chapter concentrates on analysing the speech sounds of the eleven speech communities to ascertain the distinctive sounds in each of them. The phonological patterning of words in the eleven speech communities shall also be discussed and the specifics of each of them as well as their area of uniformity identified. Identifying the phonemes in these speech communitieds is achieved using Trubetzkoy's (1939) theory of distinctive opposition whereby the MP/MS test as further developed by Mbah and Mbah (2010) is used. For each speech community, consonant chart is presented. The phonological patterning of words is discussed with descriptive method.

### 4.1 Phonemes of Omambala varieties of Igbo

Under this section, distinctive sounds of the speech communities inỌmambala area are identified using the MP/MS test. The analysis is done speech community by speech community except the speech communities with the same lexical items forming minimal pairs/sets, and they are grouped based on the Local Government Areas.

### 4.1.1 Consonants

The numbers of the SI consonants present in the speech communities of Omambala area vary. They are discussed under this sub-section.

### 4.1.1.1 Consonants of Àgụlerì, Ǹsugbè and Ìkem speech communities

The consonants of the three speech communities were identified using the MP/MS analysis.

## Analysis 1: Minimal pair analysis of speech sounds of Àgụlerì, Ǹssugbè and Ìkem

| a. | itọ | into/ | 'three' | /t/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ịnọ | inno/ | 'four' | /n/ |
| b. | isi | /isi/ | 'head' | /s/ |
|  | imi | /imi/ | 'nose' | /m |
| c. | ọdụ | 13 ${ }^{\text {d d }}$ \%/ | 'horn' | /d/ |
|  | ọnụ | $10^{\text {noúos/ }}$ | 'mouth' | /k/ |
| d. | anya | /ana/ | 'eyes' | /n/ |
|  | aka | /aka/ | 'hand' | /k/ |
|  | ala | /ala/ | 'breast' | /l/ |
|  | agha | /aya/ | 'war' | /8/ |

e. nwụ /nwol ‘drink/die’ /yw/ wụ /wo/ 'pour' /w/
f. oyī /o ${ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{i}$ í 'cold' /j/ orī /o ${ }^{\text {riń }}$ / 'steal' /r/
g. pìa /pìa 'flog' /p/ bịa /bial 'come' /b/
h. àkpà /àkpà/ 'bag' /kp/ àgbà /àgbà/ 'jaw' /gb/ àpà /àpà/ 'scar' /p/ àgà /agà/ 'barren' /g /
i. $\mathrm{kwu} \quad / \underline{\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{u} / ~ ' s a y / t a l k ' ~} / \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ gwu /gw/ ‘dig’ /gw/
j. ji /dzi/ 'yam’ /dz/ chi /til 'god' / f /
k. avụ̀ /avòo/ 'penny' /v/ abụ̀ /ab̄̄̀/ ‘armpit’ /b/

1. avà /avà/ 'name' /v/ arà /arà/ 'weaver bird’ /r/
m. ma /ma/ 'know' /m/ ta /ta/ 'chew' /t/ za /za/ 'swell/answer'/z/ sa /sa/ 'wash' /s/ gha /ұа/ 'cast' / ${ }^{\text {/ }}$
n. bàga /bàga/ 'enter/go in’ /g/ bàta /bàta/ 'come in' /t/
o. tàlì /ta/ 'chew' /t/ dàị̀ /d_da/ 'worm' /d/
p. che /te/ 'wait', chi /tifi/ 'god' je /dze/ 'go', ji /dgi/ 'yam' /ḑ/
q. ọnwa / $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{a}$ / 'moon/month' / $\mathrm{yw} /$ ọny /ona/ 'sore' /n/
r. àwọlọ̣ /àw̌jlo/‘skin peels’ /w/ àyọlọ̣ /àjòlos/ 'cowrie shell' /j/
s. kè /kè/ 'divide' /k/ gè /gè/ 'listen’ /g/
t. anụlị /aņòlı/ 'joy’ /y/ apụlị /apollı 'used to go out' /p/

The MP/MS analysis reveals that there are twenty-five consonants in each of the three speech

affricate $/ \mathrm{f} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{d} /$, one trill /r/, four fricative $/ \mathrm{v} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{z} \mathrm{\gamma} /$, one lateral /l/ and two approximant $/ \mathrm{j} \mathrm{w} /$. The consonants are the same in quality. They are:

The consonants of the three speech communities are presented in a chart below:
Table 4.1 Consonant chart of speech sounds of Àgụlerì, Ǹ̀sugbè and Ìkem

| Place $\rightarrow$ <br> Manner | Bilabial | Labiodental | Alveolar | Post Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Labial Velar | Labialized <br> Velar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | p b |  | t d |  |  | k g | kp gb | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ |
| Nasal | m |  | n |  | n | y |  | $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}}$ |
| Fricative |  | v | s z |  |  | $\gamma$ |  |  |
| Affricate |  |  |  | t) d3 |  |  |  |  |
| Lateral |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trill |  |  | r |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approximant |  |  |  |  | j |  | w |  |

The analysis shows that the voiceless labio-dental fricative /f/, voiceless alveolar fricative / $/ \mathrm{J}$ and voiced glottal fricative /h/ found in the SI do not exist in Àgụlerì, Ǹ Nugbè and Ìkem speech communities. Where /f/ and / $\mathfrak{h} /$ phonemes appear in any SI word, they are most often realised as $/ \mathrm{v} /$; and where there is $/ \mathrm{f} /$, it is realised as $/ \mathrm{r} /$.

| 85. | SI | Àgụlerì | Ǹsugbè | Ìkem |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | $/ \mathbf{f} /, / \mathbf{h} /$ | $\sim$ | $/ \mathbf{v} /$ |  |

a. afọ /afo/ avọ /avo/ avọ /avo/ avọ /avo/ 'stomach'
b. afere /afere/
avele /avele/
avele /avele/ evele /evele/ 'plate’
c. àfọ̀ /àfo/ àvọ̆ /àv̀/ àvọ̣ /àv̀/ àvọ̣ /àv̀/ 'third market day in Igboland'
d. ha /fa/ va /va/ va /va/ va /va/ '3rd person plural'
e. ahịa /afia/ avịa /avia/ avịa /avia/ avịa /avia/ 'market’
f. ọhịa /ofira/ ọvịa /ovia/ ọvịa /ovia/ ọ̣ịa /ovia/ 'bush'

## SI

## Àgụ̀lerì/ Ǹsugbè/ Ìkem

/ // ~ /r/
g. ashà /afà/
h. ịsha ìI $\mathrm{Fa} /$

| arà /arà/ | 'weaver bird' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ịra /ìra/ | 'crayfish' |

### 4.1.1.2 Consonants of Ànà̀ speech community

Below is the MP/MS analysis of data from Àn m speech community:

Analysis 2: Minimal pair/set analysis of speech sounds of Ànà̀

| a. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pìa } \\ & \text { bịa } \\ & \text { bịa } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { /pia/ } \\ & \text { /bia/ } \end{aligned}$ | ‘flog' ‘come’ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { /p/ } \\ & \text { /b/ } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. | tàlị | /ta/ | 'chew' | /t/ |
|  | dàlị | /da/ | 'worm' | /d/ |
| c. | chi | /til | 'a god' | /t/ |
|  | ji | /dgi/ | 'yam' | /d3/ |
|  | ti | /ti/ | 'beat/flog' | /n/ |
|  | li | /li/ | 'eat' | /l/ |
| d. | kwu | / $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{u} /$ | 'say/talk' | /kw/ |
|  | gwu | $/ \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{u} /$ | 'dig' | /gw/ |
| e. | àkpà | /àkpà/ | 'bag' | /kp/ |
|  | àgbà | /àgbà/ | 'jaw' | /gb/ |
| f. | nwụ | /n ${ }^{\text {w }}$ / | 'die’ | $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ |
|  | nyụ | /no/ | 'defecate’ | /n/ |
|  | ṅụ | /no/ | 'drink' | /n/ |
|  | gụ | /go/ | 'read' | /g/ |
| g. | avụ̀ | /avio/ | 'penny' | /v/ |
|  | abụ | /abos/ | 'armpit' | /b/ |
| h. | sa | /sa/ | 'wash (e.g. plate)' |  |
|  | za | /za/ | 'answer/sw |  |
| i. | nèe | /ne/ | 'look' | /n/ |
|  | vèe | /ve/ | 'fry/fly' | /v/ |
| j | wọ | /wo/ | 'pluck' | /w/ |
|  | yọ | /jo/ | 'plead' | /j/ |
| k. | kè | /kè/ | 'divide’ | /k |
|  | gè | /gè/ | 'listen’ | /g/ |
| 1. | imi | /imi/ | 'nose' | /m/ |
|  | isi | /isi/ | 'head' | /s/ |
| m. | orī | / ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ¢rí/ | 'steal' | /r/ |
|  | oyī | /o ${ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{i}$ í/ | 'cold' | /j/ |

From the MP/MS tests, there are twenty-four consonants in Ànam comprising ten plosives /p b t d $k \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{kp} \mathrm{gb} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} /$, five nasals $/ \mathrm{m} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{y} \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} /$, three fricatives $/ \mathrm{v} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z} /$, two affricates $/ \mathrm{f} \mathrm{d} 3 /$, one trill /r/, one lateral /l/ and two approximants $/ \mathrm{j} \mathrm{w} /$. The consonants of Ànam are:

The consonant chart of Àn mspeech community is presented below:
Table 4.2 Consonant chart of speech sounds of Ànàm

| Place $\rightarrow$ <br> Manner | Bilabial | Labiodental | Alveolar | Post <br> Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Labial Velar | Labialized Velar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | p b |  | t d |  |  | k g | kp gb | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ |
| Nasal | m |  | n |  | n | ๆ |  | $\mathrm{y}^{\text {w }}$ |
| Affricate |  |  |  | $\mathrm{f}^{\text {d }} \mathrm{d} 3$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fricative |  | v | $\mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{z}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trill |  |  | r |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lateral |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approximant |  |  |  |  | j |  | w |  |

The analysis shows that all the consonants in the SI are found in Ànam speech community except /f $\int \mathrm{f}$ $\mathrm{h} /$. Where the phoneme /h/ occurs in SI word, it is realised as $/ \mathrm{v} /$ in Àm im. Ànam speech community also realises the voiceless labio-dental fricative /f/ and voiced velar fricative $/ \gamma /$ as voiced labio-dental fricative /v/. In Ànàm, the phonemes $/ / /$ is realised as $/ \mathrm{r} /$ wherever it occurs in SI word. Examples:
86. S.I.
/f fi/ ~/v/
a. afọ /afo/ avọ /avo/ 'stomach'
b. afere /afere/
c. ha /fa/
d. ahia /afia/
e. ọhia /ofira/
f. ahà /afà/
g. òhèrè /ònèrè/

Ànà̀
àvele /àvele/ 'plates'
va /va / '3 $3^{\text {rd }}$ person plural'
avia /avia/ 'market'
ọvia /ovia/ 'bush'
avà /avà/ 'name'
òvèlè /òvèlè/ 'chance'

$$
/ \mathbf{y} / \sim / \mathbf{j} /
$$

h. ghèe /yèe/ vèe /jèe/ 'fry'
i. oghēre/o ${ }^{\downarrow}$ yére/ ovēle /ovéle/ 'hole’
j. ughelé/uye ${ }^{\downarrow}$ lé/ uvelē/uve ${ }^{\downarrow}$ lé/ 'yawning’


In some cases, the phoneme $/ \gamma /$ is realised as voiced labialised velar approximant $/ \mathrm{w} /$ in Ànam as found in the following words:

## 87. S.I Ànà̀

a. aghụghọ̀ /ayo زذ/
b. ghọ /үо/

| awụ̀wọ̀ | /aàw’̀/ | 'cunning' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wọ | /wo/ | 'pluck' |

### 4.1.1.3 Consonants of Nteje, Awkuzu and Ogbunike speech communities

Analysis 3 and 4 are the MP/MS analysis used to identify the consonants in Nteje, Awkuzu and Ogbunike:

## Analysis 3: Minimal pair/set analysis of speech sounds of Ǹtèjè and Awkuzu speech communities

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. ta } & \text { ta/ } & \text { 'chew' } & \text { /t/ } \\ \text { da } & \text { /da/ } & \text { 'warm (e.g. food) } & \text { /d/ }\end{array}$
b. nyà /nà/ 'drive' /n/
c. chi /tI $1 /$ 'God' $/ \mathfrak{f} /$ ji /dgi/ 'yam' /ḑ/
d. $\mathrm{kwu} / \underline{\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} / \text { 'say/talk' } / \mathrm{kw} / ~}$ gwu /g"u/ 'dig' /gw/
e. àkpà /àkpà/ 'bag' /kp/
àgbà /àgbà/ 'jaw' /gb/
f. ọnwa $/ \partial \eta^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{a}$ / 'moon/month' / $\mathrm{yw} /$ ọnya /ona/ 'sore' /n/
g. ne /ne/ 'look' /n/
le /le/ 'sell' /l/
pe /pe/ 'small' /p/
me /me/ 'do' /m/

| h. | sè | /siè/ | 'draw' | /s/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | zè | /zè/ | 'dodge' | /z/ |
|  | kè | /kè/ | 'divide' | /k |
|  | gè | /gè/ | 'listen' | /g/ |
| i. | anya | /ana/ | 'eyes’ | /n/ |
|  | ala | /ala/ | 'breast/madness’ | /l/ |
|  | agha/aya /aya, aja/ 'war' |  |  | /8, j/ |
| j. | arà | /arà/ | 'weaver bird' | /r/ |
|  | avà | /avà/ | 'name' | /v/ |
| k. | àwọlọ̀ /àwòlo/ 'scale' àyọọọ /àjòj/ 'cowrie shell' |  |  | /w/ |
|  |  |  |  | /j/ |
| 1. | ǹnọọ ing ing / כọ 'this one' <br> ǹnọ̣ /ǹño 'welcome’ |  |  | /n/ |
|  |  |  |  | /n/ |

## Analysis 4: Minimal pair analysis of speech sounds of Ogbunikē speech community

The MP/MS analysis of consonants of Ǹtèjè and Awkuzu applies to Ogbunikē speech community except that ǹnọọ does exist in Ogbunikē. The following MPs and near minimal pair in Ogbunikeº are used to identify the remaining sounds in Ogbunikē:

| a. | arà | /arà̀/ | 'weaver bird' | /r/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | afà | /agà̀/ | 'name' | /f/ |
| b. | ̣isha | ìfa/ | 'crayfish' | / $/ 7$ |
|  | ịmā | /I' $\underline{\text { má }}$ / $^{\text {a }}$ | 'to know' | /m/ |
| c. | agha | /aya/ | 'war' | / $/ 1$ |
|  | aka | /aka/ | 'hand' | /k/ |

From the three speech communities, Ǹtèjè and Awkuzu have twenty-five consonants each and they comprise ten plosives /pbtdkg kp gb $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} /$, five nasals / $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} /$, one trill / $\mathrm{r} /$, two affricates $/ \mathrm{f} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{d} /$, four fricatives $/ \mathrm{v}$ s z $\mathrm{f} /$, one lateral /l/ and two approximants $/ \mathrm{j} \mathrm{w} /$; while Ogbunike has twenty-six which include the same number of plosives, nasals, trill, affricates, lateral and approximants found in the other two speech communities. It is only on fricative that it contains five /f s z $\int$ §/ instead of four existing in the other two.The following consonants exist in Ǹtèjè, Awkuzu and Ogbunike:



The consonant of Ǹtèjè and Awkuzu speech communities are presented below in a chart:

Table 4.3 Consonant chart of speech sounds of Ǹtèjè and Awkuzu speech communities

| Place $\rightarrow$ <br> Manner | Bilabial | Labio- <br> dental | Alveolar | Post <br> Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Labial <br> Velar | Labialized <br> Velar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | p b |  | t d |  |  | k g | kp gb | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ |
| Nasal | m |  | n |  | n | 1 |  | $\mathrm{y}^{\text {w }}$ |
| Affricate |  |  |  | ts d3 |  |  |  |  |
| Fricative |  | v | S z |  |  | $\gamma$ |  |  |
| Trill |  |  | r |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lateral |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approximant |  |  |  |  | j |  | w |  |

Below is the consonant chart of Ogbunike- speech community.

Table 4.4 Consonant chart of speech sounds of Ogbunikēspeech community

| Place $\rightarrow$ <br> Manner | Bilabial | Labiodental | Alveolar | Post <br> Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Labial Velar | Labialized Velar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | p b |  | t d |  |  | k g | kp gb | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ |
| Nasal | m |  | n |  | n | 1 |  | $\mathrm{y}^{\text {w }}$ |
| Affricate |  |  |  | t ${ }^{\text {d }}$ d |  |  |  |  |
| Fricative |  | f | s $\quad \mathrm{z}$ | ऽ |  | 8 |  |  |
| Trill |  |  | r |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lateral |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approximant |  |  |  |  | j |  | w |  |

It is observed from the study that the voiceless labio-dental fricative /f/, voiceless alveolar fricative $/ \mathrm{S}$, and voiced glottal fricative / $\mathfrak{h} /$ found in the SI do not exist in Ntèjè and Awkuzu speech communities. The phonemes /f/ and /h/ are most often realised as $/ \mathrm{v} /$ in these speech communities whenever they appear in any SI word; where /J/ appears in any SI word, the two speech communities realised it as $/ \mathrm{r} /$. Ogbunike- speech community has the phoneme /f/ and $/ \mathrm{J} /$, but does not have /v/ found in Ǹtèjè and Awkuzu, and / $\mathfrak{h} /$ found in SI. In any SI word where / $\mathfrak{h} /$ \& /v/ phonemes appear, / $\mathrm{h} /$ is most often realised as a voiceless labio-dental fricative /f/ in Ogbunike and sometimes as alveolar trill $/ \mathrm{r} /$, while $/ \mathrm{v} /$ is realised as voiced bilabial plosive $/ \mathrm{b} /$. Ǹtejèè,

Awkuzu and Ogbunike- realize/v/ as /b/ in the SI word mvo /mvo/ 'nail', which they have as mbo $/ \mathrm{mbo} /$. The voiced velar fricative $\gamma /$ is found to be used in free variation with $/ \mathrm{j} /$ in the English word 'war' in Ntèjè and Awkuzu speech communities. Also, /f/ and /r/ are in free variation in Ogbunike in the English word 'year'.
88.
$\begin{array}{llr}\text { S. I. } & & \text { Ǹtè̀jè } \\ / \mathbf{f} /, / \mathbf{f} / & \sim & / \mathbf{v} /\end{array}$
a. afo /afo/ avo /avo/ avo /avo/ afo /afo/
b. afere /afere/ evele /evele/
evele /evele/ afele /afele/
'stomach'
 ọvọ ìva/ ọfọ ìfo/
d. ihe /ife/ ive /ive/
e. ha /ha/ va /va/
f. ehi /efi/ evi /evi/
g. ahịa /afia/ avịa /avia/
h. ọhịa /ofira/ ọvịa /ovia/
i. ahà /afà/ avà /avà/ avà /avà/ afà /afà/
j. ahọ̀ /afì/ avọ̀ /av̀/ avọ̀ /av̀/ arọ̀/afọ̀/arò, afo/ 'year'
/ $/ \mathrm{l}$ ~ /r/
k. ashà /afà / arà /arà/ arà /arà/ arà /arà/ 'weaver bird'

$/ \mathbf{v} / \quad \sim \quad / b /$
m . mvọ $/ \mathrm{mvo}$ / mbọ $/ \mathrm{mba}$ mbọ $/ \mathrm{mbs} / \mathrm{mbọ} / \mathrm{mbo}$ (nail'

### 4.1.1.4 Consonants of Anàkụ, Ụụ̣̆ um, Ụmụ̀mbō and Ìfite Ogwarị̀ speech communities

The consonants were identified for Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀̀lum and Ụmụ̀mboō speech communities using the MP/MS analysis as follows:

Analysis 5: Minimal pair/set analysis of speech sounds of Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀ ū̄ and Ụmụ̀mbō

## Speech communities

| a. | ọña | /ona/ | 'moon' | /n/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ọny | /ona/ | 'sore' | /n/ |
| b. | awọ | /aẁ/ | 'toad' | /w/ |
|  | akọ | /akos/ | 'cultivating' | /k/ |
| c. | ta | /ta/ | 'chew' | /t/ |
|  | da | /da/ | 'warm' | /d/ |
|  | ya | /ja/ | 'cast' | /j/ |
|  | ra | /ra/ | 'answer/swell' | /r/ |
|  | sa | /sa/ | 'wash' | / s |


| d. | pu | /pu/ | 'germinate' | / p / |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bu | /bu/ | 'carry' | / b / |
|  | kwu | $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{u} /$ | 'say/talk' | $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}}$ / |
|  | gwu | $/ \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{u} /$ | 'dig' | $/ \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ |
| e. | kè | /kè/ | 'share' | / k / |
|  | gè | /gè/ | 'listen' | / g / |
|  | vè | /vè/ | 'worship' | /v / |
|  | sè | /sì/ | 'draw' | /s / |
|  | rè | /rè / | 'dodge' | /r / |
| f. | me | /[me/ | 'do' | /m / |
|  | ne | /ne/ | 'look' | /n / |
|  | che | /fe/ | 'wait' | /fi |
|  | je | /dze/ | 'go' | /d3 / |
| g. | gụ | /go/ | 'read' | / g / |
|  | nwụ | $/ \underline{n}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{O}$ | 'drink/die’ | $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}}$ |
|  | wụ | /W- ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ | 'bath' | / w / |
| h. | si | /니// 'cook' (Anàkụ \& Ụmụòlumb) |  | /s / |
|  | shi | / 1 i/ | 'cook' (Ụmụ̀mboō) | / $/ 1$ |
|  | ti | /ti/ | 'flog/beat' | /t / |
|  | chi | / 11 I/ | 'god' | /fi |
|  | ji | /d3i/ | 'yam' | /d3 / |
|  | li | /li/ | 'eat' | /1/ |
| i. | àkpà | /àkpà/ | 'bag' | /kp/ |
|  | àgbà | /àgbà/ | 'jaw' | /gb/ |
|  | àbà | /àbà/ | 'fat' | /b/ |
|  | ànà | /ànà/ | 'ground' | /n/ |
|  | àpà | /àpà/ | 'scar' | /p/ |
|  | àgà | /àgà/ | 'barren' | /g/ |
| j. | anya | /ana/ | 'eyes' | /n/ |
|  | aka | /aka/ | 'hand' | /k/ |
| k. | alā | /a ${ }^{\downarrow}$ lá/ | 'breast' | /l/ |
|  | aja ${ }^{-}$ | /a ${ }^{\downarrow} \mathbf{j}$ á/ | 'sand' | /ds/ |
| 1. | evi | /evi/ | 'cow' | /v/ |
|  | eri | /eri/ | 'road' | /r / |
| m. | ọnụ | 10 ${ }^{\downarrow} \underline{\text { núv/ }}$ | 'mouth' | /n/ |
|  | ọdụ | $1)^{\downarrow} \underline{\text { d }}$ U/ | 'horn' | /d/ |
| n. | ọkụ | /Jko/ | 'fire/hot' | /k/ |
|  | ọnwụ | $10 \chi^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{O} /$ | 'death' | $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ |
| 0. | itọ | into/ | 'three' | /t/ |
|  | ịnọ | ìno/ | 'four' | /n/ |
| p. | imi | /imi/ | 'nose', | /m/ |
|  | isi | /isi/ | 'head' (Anàkư \&Ụmụòlum̄) | /s/ |
|  | ishi | /i§1/ | 'head’ (Ụmụmboō) | / $/$ |
| q. | agụ | $\text { /a }{ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{g} \delta^{\prime}$ | 'leopard' | /g/ |
|  | anwū | $/ a^{\downarrow} \underline{\eta}^{w^{\prime}} \delta /$ | 'sun/bee’ | $1 \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ |

For Ìfite Ogwarị speech community, the MP/MS analysis of consonants is established as presented below:

Analysis 6: Minimal pair/set analysis of speech sounds of Ìfite Ogwarị speech community

| a. | anyị | /anı/ | 'we' | /n/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | aji | /adgi/ | 'hair' | /d3/ |
| b. | anya | /ana/ | 'eyes' | /n/ |
|  | aka | /aka/ | 'hand' | /k/ |
| c. | àkpà | /àkpà/ | 'bag' | /kp/ |
|  | àgbà | /àgbà/ | 'jaw' | /gb/ |
|  | àbà | /àbà/ | 'fat' | /b/ |
| d. | ito | itit/ | 'three' | /t/ |
|  | ịnọ | inno/ | 'four' | /n/ |
| e. | òrụ | ioro/ | 'work' | /r/ |
|  | ọhụ | ionol | 'seing' | /h/ |
| f. | ezi | /ezi/ | 'road' | /z/ |
|  | eli | /eli/ | 'rope' | /l/ |
| g. | akwọ | /akwo/ | 'leaf' | /kw/ |
|  | ahọ | /año/ | 'stomach' | /h/ |
| h. | ọkpụ | /okpo/ | 'bone’ | /kp/ |
|  | ọnwụ | /onwo/ | 'death' | /yw/ |
| i. | isi | /isi/ | 'head' | /s/ |
|  | imi | /imi/ | 'nose' | /m/ |
| j. | ọta | iota/ | 'chew/bite’ | /t/ |
|  | ọcha | iota/ | 'white' | / $5 /$ |
| k. | ozī | /0'żıi/ | 'theft' | /z/ |
|  | oyī | /o ${ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{i}^{\prime} /$ | 'cold' | /j/ |
| 1. | shi | / 1 i/ | 'god' | / $\mathrm{J} /$ |
|  | ji | /dgi/ | 'yam' | /d3/ |
| m. | kwu | / $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{u} /$ | 'say' | /kw/ |
|  | gwu | /g ${ }^{\text {w }}$ / | 'dig' | /g ${ }^{\text {w }}$ |
| n. | anwụ | $1 \mathrm{a}^{\downarrow} \mathrm{y}^{\text {w }}{ }^{\prime} /$ | 'bee/sun' | $1 \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ |
|  | agụ̃ | /a'go/ | 'leopard’ | /g/ |
| o. | fụo | / fi̛ou/ | 'give chance' | /f/ |
|  | chụọ | / fivo | 'wash (e.g. clothe)' | $/ \mathrm{f} /$ |
|  | wụọ | /wwo/ | 'bathe' | /w/ |
| p. | pe | /pe/ | 'small' | /p/ |
|  | je | /de/ | 'go' | /d3/ |
| q. | sa | /sa/ | 'wash' | /s/ |
|  | za | /za/ | 'answer/swell' | '/z/ |
|  | da | /da/ | 'worm' | /d/ |
| r. | ahụ̀ | /afiol | 'penny' | /h/ |
|  | avụ | /av̀o/ | 'armpit' | /v/ |

Anàkụ and Ụụùlum speech communities have twenty -three consonants each made up of ten
 d3/, two fricative $/ \mathrm{v} \mathrm{s} /$, one lateral $/ \mathrm{l} /$ and two approximant $/ \mathrm{j} \mathrm{w} /$. Ụmụmboō has twenty -four
 trill $/ \mathrm{r} /$, two affricates $/ \mathrm{f} \mathrm{d} 3 /$, three fricatives $/ \mathrm{v} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{f} /$, one lateral $/ \mathrm{l} /$ and two approximants $/ \mathrm{j} \mathrm{w} /$. In

 /l/, two approximant $/ \mathrm{j} \mathrm{w} /$. The consonants of Anàkụ and Ụmụ̀òlum, Ìfite Ọgwarị and Ụmụ̀mboō speech communities are as follows:


 The consonants of Anàkụ̀, Ụmụ̀òlum, Ụmụ̀mboō and Ìfite Ọgwarị̀ speech communities are presented in the consonant charts below:

Table 4.5 Consonant chart of speech sounds of Anàkụ and Ụụ̣̀ um̄ speech communities

| Place $\rightarrow$ <br> Manner | Bilabial | Labiodental | Alveolar | Post <br> Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Labial Velar | Labialized Velar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | p b |  | t d |  |  | k g | kp gb | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ |
| Nasal | m |  | n |  | n | 1 |  | $y^{\text {w }}$ |
| Affricate |  |  |  | t ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fricative |  | v | s |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trill |  |  | r |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lateral |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approximant |  |  |  |  | j |  | w |  |

Table 4.6 Consonant chart of speech sounds of Ụmụmboō speech community

| Place $\rightarrow$ <br> Manner | Bilabial | Labiodental | Alveolar | Post Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Labial Velar | Labialized Velar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | p b |  | t d |  |  | k g | kp gb | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ |
| Nasal | m |  | n |  | n | y |  | $\mathrm{y}^{\text {w }}$ |
| Affricate |  |  |  | tf d3 |  |  |  |  |
| Fricative |  | v | s | $\int$ |  |  |  |  |
| Trill |  |  | r |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lateral |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approximant |  |  |  |  | j |  | w |  |

Table 4.7 Consonant chart of speech sounds of Ìfite Ogwarị̀ speech community

| Place $\rightarrow$ <br> Manner | Bilabial | Labiodental | Alveolar | Post <br> Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Labial Velar | Labialized Velar | Glotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | $\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{b}$ |  | t d |  |  | $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{g}$ | kp gb | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ |  |
| Nasal | m |  | n |  | n |  |  | $\mathrm{y}^{\text {w }}$ |  |
| Affricate |  |  |  | tf d3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fricative |  | v | S z | J |  |  |  |  | f |
| Trill |  |  | r |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lateral |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approximant |  |  |  |  | j |  | w |  |  |

Anàkụ̀ and Ụmụòlum speech communties do not have the SI phonemes /f z $\int \quad \mathrm{y}$ f/. Ụmụ̀mboō has all the consonants in Anàkụ and Ụmù̀òlum speech communities plus the voiceless post alveolar fricative $/ \mathrm{J} /$. It does not have the SI phonemes /f $\mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{y} \mathrm{f} /$. All the consonants in Anàkụ, Ụmụòlum and Ụmụ̀mboō speech communities exi st in Ìfite Ogwarị . The only consonants not witnessed in Ìfite Ogwarị are $/ \mathrm{y} \gamma /$. Other consonants of the SI not in Anàkụ and Ụụ̣òlum speech communities which are in Ìfite Ogwarị are /f $\int \AA \mathrm{z} /$.

The study shows that the SI consonants common to the four speech communities are /p b t d k
 $\mathrm{z} \int \mathrm{v} \mathrm{f} /$ do not completely exist in each of the speech communities. For example, the phonemes /f z fi/ are not seen in Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀̀lum and Ụmụ̀mboō speech communities, but they are found in Ìfite Ọgwarị ; the voiceless post alveolar fricative $\int / /$ does not exist in Anàkụ̀ and Ụụ̣òlum speech communities, but it is witnessed in Ìfite Oggwarì and Ụụ̣̀mboō ; and the consonant $/ \mathrm{g} /$ is
lacking in Ìfite Ọgwarị, but it is present in Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀̀lum and Ụmụ̀mboō speech communities . Where /f/ and / $\mathrm{h} /$ phonemes appear in any SI word, they are most often realised as /v/ in Anàkụ, Ụmụòlum and Ụmụmboō speech communities; the phoneme $/ \mathrm{z} /$ is also realised as $/ \mathrm{r} /$ in these three speech communities. The /// phoneme is also realised as /r/ in Anàkụ and Ụmùòlum. None of the speech communities has the SI voiced velar fricative $/ \gamma /$ as it is most often realised as $/ \mathrm{j} /$ e.g.

## 89. S.I Anàkụ Umùòlumº İfite Ogwàr! <br> Ụmụmbō gloss

a. àfọ̀ /àfo / àvọ̀ / àvò/ àvọ̀ /àvう/ àhọ̀ /àrò/ àvọ̀ /àv̀/ '3 $3^{\text {rd }}$ market day in Igboland’
b. afọ /afo/ avọ /avo/ avọ /avo/ ahọ /afo/ avọ /avo/ 'stomach'
c. afere /afere/ avele /avele/ avele /avele/ ahele /afiele/
d. ahà /afìa/ avà /avà/ avà /avà/ ahà /afà/ avà /avà/ 'name’
e. ha /ha/ va /va/ va /va/ ha /ha/ va /va/ '3 $3^{\text {rd }}$ person plural'
f. ahịa /afia/ avịa /avia/ avịa /avıa/ ahịa /afia/ avịa/avia/ 'market'
g. ọhịa /ohia/ ọvịa /ovia/ ọvịa /ovia/ ọhịa /ofira/ ọvịa /ovia/ 'bush’ $/ \mathbf{z} /$, /J / / / r /
h. azụ̀ /azơ/ arị /arì/ arị /arì/ azị̀ /azì/ arị /arì/ 'fish'
i. nzùkọ /nzùkว/ nrùkọ /nrùks/ nrùkọ /nrùkJ/nzùkọ /nzùkJ/ nrùkọ /nrùkJ/ 'meeting'
j. ezē /e ${ }^{\downarrow}$ zé/ erē /e ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ré/ erē /e ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ré/ ezē /e ${ }^{\downarrow}$ zé/ erē /e ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ré/ 'tooth'
k. ezì /ezì/ erì /erì/ erì /erì/ ezì /ezì/ erì /erì/ 'Pig'
l. zàa /zàa/ ràa /ràa/ ràa /ràa/ zàa /zàa/ ràa /ràa/ 'sweep'

n. ashà /ajà/ arịà /arià/ arịà /arià/ ahịà /afìà/ arịà /arià/ 'weaver bird' / $/$ ~ /j/

p. ghèe /yèe/ yèe /jèe/ yèe /jèe/ yèe /jèe/ yèe /jèe/ 'fry'
q. ughelē/uye ${ }^{\downarrow}$ lé/ uyelē /uje ${ }^{\downarrow}$ lé/ uyele ${ }^{-} / u j e^{\downarrow}{ }^{\downarrow}$ lé/ uyelē/uje ${ }^{\downarrow}$ lé/ uyelē/uje ${ }^{\downarrow}$ lé/ ‘yawning’
r. agha /aya/ aya/aja/ aya/aja/ aya/aja/ aya/aja/ 'war'
$/ \mathrm{y} / \sim \sim / \mathbf{\eta}^{\mathrm{w}} /$



Sometimes, ìfite Oggwarị realises the SI phoneme /r d/ as [dz] before a high front vowel as in agịri [agıdzı] 'intimate girl friend', SI word ndị inyòm [ndzịiò ] 'women'.

### 4.1.2 Vowels of OMambala varieties of Igbo

The vowels are established with the use of the MP/MS analysis as presented below:
Analysis 7: Minimal pair/set analysis of vowels of Àgụlerì, Ǹsugbè, Ìkem, Ànàn , Ǹtè̀jè, Awkuzu, Ogbunikē, Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀ um̄, Ìfite Ọgwarị and Ụmụ̀̀boō speech communities

| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { i. } & \text { a } \\ \text { ii. } & 0 \end{array}$ | Àgụlerì avịa ọvịa | Ǹsugbè avịa ọṿa | Ìkem avịa ọịa | Ànà avịa ọvịa | Ǹtèjè avịa ọṿa | Awkuzu avịa ọvịa | Ogbunike ${ }^{-}$ <br> afịa <br> ọṿa | Anàkụ avịa ọṿa | Ụmụ̀ um avịa ọvịa | Ìfite Ogwarị ahịa ọhịa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unụ̀mb̄̄ } \\ & \text { avịa } \\ & \text { ọvịa } \end{aligned}$ | gloss 'market' 'bush' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iii. a | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | 'eyes' |
| iv. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ọny | ọny | ọna | ọnya | ọnya | ọny | ọny | ọnya | ọny | ọnya | ọna | 'wound' |
| v. e | kwe | kwe | kwe | kwe | kwe | kwe | kwe | kwe | kwe | kwe | kwe | 'agree’ |
| vi. u | kwu | kwu | kwu | kwu | kwu | kwu | kwu | kwu | kwu | kwu | kwu | 'say/talk' |
| vii. ụ | kwụ | kwụ | kwụ | kwụ | kwụ | kwụ | kwụ | kwụ | kwụ | kwụ | kwụ | 'pay' |
| viii. e | che | che | che | che | che | che | che | che | che | che | che | 'wait' |
| ix. u | chu | chu | chu | chu | chu | chu | chu | chu | chu | chu | chu | 'fetch' |
| x. o | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | 'pepper' |
| xi. i | isè | isè | isè | isè | isè | isè | isè | isè | isè | isè | isè | 'to draw' |
| xii. ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | lị | lị | lị | lị | lị | lị | lị | lị | lị | lị | lị | 'climb’ |
| xiii. ب̣ | lụ | lụ | 1 ̣ | lụ | lụ | $l u ̣$ | $l u ̣$ | lụ | lụ | 1 ̣ | lụ | 'work' |
| xiv. e | ezè | ezè | ezè | ezè | ezè | ezè | ezè | erè | erè | ezè | erè | 'king' |
| $x v .1$ | ezì | ezì | ezì | erì | ezì | ezì | ezì | ezì | erì | ezì | erì | 'pig' |
| xvi. a | va | va | va | va | va | va | fa | va | va | ha | va | 'they' |
| xvii. ụ | vụ | vụ | fụ | vụ | vụ | vụ | fụ | vụ | vụ | vụ | vụ | 'see’ |
| xviii. a | zà | zà | zà | zà | zà | zà | zà | rà | rà | zà | rà | 'sweep’ |
| xix. e | zè | zè | zè | rè | zè | zè | zè | rè | rè | zè | rè | 'dodge' |

There are eight vowels in the eleven speech communities. These vowels are the same as the SI vowels both in quantity and quality. The vowels are:
/a e i illlll

The vowels of the eleven speech communities are presented in the following vowel chart:
Fig. 4.1 Vowel chart of Àgụlerì, Ǹsugbè, ìkem, Ànǹ , Ǹ̀tèjè, Awkuzu, Ogbunikē, Anàkụ, Ụmụb um̄, ìfite Ọgwarị and Ụmụmbō


### 4.1.3 Comparison of phonemes and phonological patterning of words inall the speech communitiees

The study reveals that the eleven speech communities used for analysis have twenty-one phonemes of the SI in common. The phonemes are;

```
/p b t d k g kp gb kw gw m n n y w tf ds r s l j w/
```

Àgụlerì, Ǹ̀sugbè, Ìkem, Ǹtèjè and Awkuzu speech communities have twenty five consonants out of the twenty -eight consonants existing in the SI ; Ogbunike and Ìfite Oggwarị each has twenty -six consonants; there are twenty -four consonants each in Ànam and Ụmụmboō ; while Anàkụ and Ụmụolum speech communities have twenty-three consonants. All the speech communities have the eight vowels of the SI without any additional vowel.

The study clearly discloses that Àgụlerì , Ǹsugbè, Ì̀kem, Ǹ̀tèjè, Awkuzu, À̀n m̀, Anàkụ̣ and Ụmụolum speech communities do not have voiceless labio -dental fricative /f/, voiceless post alveolar fricative $/ \mathrm{S} /$ and voiced glottal fricative / $\mathrm{f} /$. In addition to not having these phonemes, the voiced velar fricative / $/$ / does exist in Ànam , Anàkụ, Ụuụòlum, Ụmụ̀mboō and İfite Ọgwarì ; other consonants lacking in Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀òlum and Ụmụ̀mboō are voiceless labio -dental fricative /f/ and voiced alveolar fricative /z/. Other speech communities where the voiced glottal fricative /h/ does not exist are Ụụ̣m boō and Ogbunikē It is found only in Ìfite Ogwarị. Ogbuniké is also the only speech community where voiced labio-dental fricative $/ \mathrm{v} /$ is not found; and $/ \mathrm{y} /$ is in all the speech communities except Ìfite Ogwarị.

Some of the speech communities exhibit tonal differences with others in some words. For example, while Anàkụ, Ụmụòlum, Ìfite Ọgwarị and Ụmụmboō speech communities would realise the English word 'breast' as ala $^{-} / \mathrm{a}^{\downarrow}$ lá/ with a high - down step tone sequence, other speech communities have it as ala/ala/ with a high - high tone sequence. There are also some lexical differences among the speech communities; the SI word nwaànyị̀ 'woman' is called nwaànyị in Ǹsugbè, Ànà̀ and Ogbunikē; it is called nwaànyà in Àgụlerì, İkem, Ǹ̀èjè and Awkuzu; Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀mboō and Ụmụòlum call it naànyà; but Ìfite Ogwarị calls it ndziòmù. Also, the word ọnwa 'moon' in SI remains so in Àgụlerì , Ìkem, Ǹsugbè, Ǹ̀tèjè, Awkuzu, Ogbunikē and Ìfite Ọgwarị̀; but Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀mboō and Ụmụòlum call it ọna; it is known as onye ìvè in Ànà̀. However, discussion of lexical differences is beyond the scope of this study.

Nwaozuzu (2008:28) claims that the dialects of Òyi, Anambra East, Anambra West and Ayamèlùm LGAs have between twenty-six and twenty-seven consonant sounds and on page 36 she notes that the dialects of Omambala have each 26 consonants and later says that Ǹtèjè, Awkuzu, Àgụlerì,

Anàkụ, Ǹsugbè and Ụmụ̀̀lum with some other dialects operate a 25 system of consonants, including the voiceless labio-dental fricative /f/ consistently replaced with its voiced counterpart /v/. This study discovers that Ǹtèjè, Awkuzu, Àgụlerì and Ǹsugbè operate 25 consonants but Anàkụ operates 23. It is also discovered that Ogbunikē has 26 consonants excluding / $\mathfrak{h} /$ and including / / / . Nwaozuzu also discloses that Ìfite Ọgwarị , Ụmụ̣eje and Ọ̀màsị operate 27 consonants without the /f/ sound, but this study reveals that Ìfite Oggwarị operates 26 consonants including the /f/ sound as seen in fụo 'give chance'. The consonants not found in Ìfite Ọgwarị are the voiced velar fricative $\mathrm{y} /$ usually replaced wi th $/ \mathrm{j} /$, and the velar nasaly/, which is usually replaced with the labialised velar nasal $y$ ( ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} /$. Nwaozuzu (2008) also submits that the alveolar trill /r/ does not exist in Ǹtèjè and Ǹsugbè, but the study has through the minimal pair presented below shown a clear evidence of its distinctiveness in both speech communities.

90 a. arà /arà/ 'weaver bird' /r/
avà /avà/ 'name' /v/
b. oyī / ${ }^{\dagger}{ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{jí} /$ 'cold' /j/
orī /o ríl 'steal’ /r/

From the analysis of the phonemes using the MP/MS, some similarities and differences in the phonological patterning of words were discovered among the dialects investigated. The similarities and differences involve the retention or substitution of some of the SI phonemes in some words in some speech communities.

It has been observed that the labialised velar masal / ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ / in the SI exists in all the speech communities investigated, but in Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀mboō and Ụmụòlum, it is realised as $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ only when it precedes the high back rounded vowel $u / \underline{u}$; when it does not precede $u / u ̣$, it is realised as $/ \mathfrak{y} /$. The phoneme $/ \mathfrak{y} /$ does not exist in Ìfite Ogwarị. Below are some examples:
/nŋwa/ /nŋwa/ /nŋwa
$/ \eta^{w}$ aànà $/ 1$
$/ \mathrm{an}^{\mathrm{w}}$ ชló/ $/ \eta^{\text {w }}$ aànà/ / $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}}$ aànì/ $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{a}$ ǹdzìòm/ nŋa/ /ŋŋa /an wios/ $10 \eta^{w} \mathrm{a} /$ $/ a^{\downarrow} \eta^{w^{\prime}} \delta /$ $/ y^{\text {w }}$ © $/$
$/ 0 \eta^{w} \sigma /$
$10 \eta^{w} \mathrm{U} /$ /aŋ"wlo/ /aŋw wiov/
$/ \partial \eta^{w} \mathrm{a} / \quad / \eta^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{a} / \quad / \partial \eta^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{a} / \quad / \partial \eta^{\mathrm{w}}$
$/ a^{\downarrow} \eta^{w_{v}^{\prime}} / \quad / a^{\downarrow} \eta^{w_{v /}^{\prime}}$
$/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}}$ ขa/ /yن̃a
$/ \partial \eta^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{\sigma} / / \eta^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{\sigma} /$
$10 \eta^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{v} /$
/ya yaànà/ /ya yaàjà/
 /ona/ /ona/ /ona/ 'moon'
$/ a^{\downarrow} \eta^{w_{v}^{\prime}} \quad / a^{\downarrow} \eta^{w_{v}^{\prime}} \quad / a^{\downarrow} \eta^{w_{v}^{\prime}} \quad$ 'sun/bee'

$10 y^{w} \mathrm{w} /$
$\eta^{\mathrm{w}}$ / ‘death’

In any environment where Àgụlerì , Ìkem, Ǹsugbè, Àn mà Ǹtèjè, Awkuzu, Anàkụ, Ụụ̣̀mboō and Ụmụ̀̀lum would use the voiced labio -dental fricative /v/, Ogbunike uses its voiceless counterpart /f/ and Ìfite Ọgwarị uses voiced glottal fricat ive fi/. Finally, the voiceless labio-dental fricative /f/ is not found in all the speech communities investigated except in Ogbuniké and Ìfite Ọgmarị. The occurrence of voiceless labio-dental fricative in Ìfite Ọ̀gwarịi is very limited. Therefore, where Àgụlerì̀, Ìkem, Ǹsugbè, Àn $\grave{m}$, Ǹ̀tèjè, Awkuzu, Anàkụ̀, Ụmụ̀mboō and Ụmụ̀̀lum would use /v/ and Ogbuniké uses/f/, ìfite Ọgwarị̀ most often uses / $/ \mathrm{h} /$ and in rare occasions uses $/ \mathrm{j} /$. Few instances from our data are presented below:
92. Àgụlerì Ìkem Ǹsugbè Ànaì Ǹ̀tèjè Awkuzu

b. /avà/ /avà/ /avà/ /avà/ /avà/ /avà/
c. /avịa/ /avịa/ /avịa/ /avịa/ /avịa/ /avịa/
d. /avele/ /evele/ /avele/ /avele/ /evele/ /evele/
e. /avio/ /aví/ /avio/ /avio/ /avọ/ /avọ/
f. /avว/ /avว/ /avว/ /avว/ /avد/ /avว/
e. /mà $i^{\downarrow}$ vé/ /mà $i^{\downarrow}$ vé/ /mà $i^{\downarrow}$ vé/ /mà $i^{\downarrow}$ vé/ /mà $i^{\downarrow}$ vé/ /mà $i^{\downarrow}$ vé/

| Ogbunike ${ }^{-}$ | Anàkụ | Ụmụ̀mbō | Ụmụb um | Ìfite ${ }_{\text {Òg }}$ | gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /ofu'u/ | 13'vó/ | 10'vó/ | 1ovo ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ / | $10^{\downarrow}$ fioul | new |
| /afà/ | /avà/ | /avà/ | /avà/ | /afià/ | name |
| /afịa/ | /avịa/ | /avịa/ | /avịa/ | /afịa/ | market |
| /àfele/ | /evele/ | /aveleje/ | /avele/ | /ànele/ | plate |
| /afóo | /avọ/ | /avo/ | /avos/ | /afis / | penny |
| /afo/ | /avs/ | /ava/ | /avs/ | /afio/ | stomach |
| /mà $i^{\downarrow}$ fé/ | /mà i ${ }^{\text {² }}$ / | /mà i ${ }^{\downarrow}$ vé/ | /mà i ${ }^{\downarrow}$ vé/ | /mà i ${ }^{\text {jé/ }}$ | something |

Instances such as above is referred to as a case of sound shift by Nwaozuzu (2008), where; / $/$ / $\rightarrow / \mathrm{f} / \rightarrow / \mathrm{v} /$.

In some cases where some other speech communities would use voiced labio-dental fricative $/ \mathrm{v} /$ at medial position, Ogbunikē and Ì̀fite Ọ̀gwarị̀ use voiced labial plosive /b/. This usually occurs at CVCV syllable structure as can be found in the examples below:


Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀mboō and Ụmụòlum are the only speech communities studied where there is complete absence of voicecd alveolar fricative /z/; where other speech communities would use /z/, Anàkụ, Ụụ̀mboō and Ụmụòlum use voiced alveolar trill /r/. Examples are presented below: 94.

| Àgụlerì <br> a. azụ | Ìkem azị | Ǹsugbè <br> azụ | Ànà <br> azụ | Ǹtè̀jè <br> azụ | Awkuzu azụ | Ogbunike <br> azụ̀ | Anàkụ arị | Ụmụ̀mbō arị | Ụmụb um̄ arị | Ìfite Ọgwarị azị | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aị gloss } \\ & \text { fish } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. eze ${ }^{-}$ | ezē | ezē | ezé | ezé | eze ${ }^{-}$ | ezē | erē | erē | erē | ezē | tooth |
| c. ezi | ezi | ezi | uzò | ezi | ezi | ezi | iri | eri ọrọ | eri | ezi | road |
| d. zàchaa | zàa | zàa | zàa | zàa | zàa | zàa | ràchaa | ràchaa | ràchaa | zàa | sweep |
| e. izè | izè | izè | izè | izè | izè | izè | irè | irè | irè | izèeli to av | avoid/dodge |
| f. ìzā | ịzā | ịzā | ịzā | ịzā | ịzā | ìzā | irā | ịrā | ịrā | ịzā to sw | swell/answer |
| g. zèe | zèelị | zèe | zèe/zèli | zèeli | zèe | zèe/zèelụ | rèe | rèelị | rèe | zèeli av | avoid/dodge |
| h. ezì | ezì | ezì | ezì | ezì | ezì | ezì | erì | erì | erì | ezì | pig |
| i. ọzọ | ọzọ | ọzọ | ọzọ | ọzọ | ọzọ | ọzọ | ọrọ | ọִ | ọrọ | ọrọ | again |

In Ụmụ̀mboō speech community, where SI word have / $\mathrm{z} /$ at intervocalic position involving two $\mathrm{o}^{\prime}$, the $/ \mathrm{z} /$ is replaced with voic ed alveolar plosive $/ \mathrm{d} /$; while Ìfite Ọgmarị would have $/ \mathrm{r} /$. This is manifest in the SI word $\grave{o} z o$ 'again'.

Ǹtè̀jè and Ogbunikē speech communities sometimes realize the labial velar nasal $/ \mathrm{y} /$ where SI has the velar nasal $/ \mathrm{y} /$ as seen in anyàsị̀ /ajà sì/ ‘night’ as against añàsị /ayàsì/ found in SI as well as in Àgụ̀lerì , Ìkem, Awkuzu and Anàkụ speech communities; for Ànà̀ and Ì Îte Ọgwarị̀ , the consonant of the second syllable is elided to realise àasị /àasì/ and aàsị /aàsi/ respectively. Ǹsugbè calls it ùchichì /ùtitfī/ 'night'.

At CVV syllable structure, where the vowels are from -ATR group and some speech communities would have $\underset{\sim}{\prime}$ ' at final position following ' $u$ ', some speech communities have ' $a$ ', but when the vowels are from + ATR group and some speech communities would have 'o' at final position following ' $u$ ', some speech communities have ' $e$ '. Examples are presented below:

| 95. Àgụlerì <br> a. gụ̣ | Ìkem gụ̣ | Ǹsugbè <br> gụa | Ànà̀ gụo | Ǹtèjè gụa | Awkuzu gụa | Anàkụ gụo | $\underset{\text { gụ̀a }}{\text { Ụı̀̀mbe }}$ | Ụmụ̀̀̀lum̄ gụo | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ogbunikē } \\ \text { gụa } \end{gathered}$ | Ìfite Ọgwar̀ gụ̣ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gloss } \\ & \text { read } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. kwùo | kwùo | kwùe | kwùo | kwùe | kwùe | kwùo | kwùe | kwùo | kwùe | kwùo | say |
| c. gbùo | gbùo | gbùe | gbùo | gbùe | gbùe | gbùo | gbùo | gbùo | gbùe | gbùe | kill |
| d. mụo | mụ̣ | mụa | mụo | mụa | mụa | mụo | mụo | mụ̣ | mụa | mụ̣ | give birth |
| e. gwùo | gwùo | gwùe | gwùo | gwùe | gwùe | gwùo | gwùbe | gwùo | gwùe | gwùo | dig |
| f. nyụo | nyụo | nyụa | nyụọ | nyụa | nyụa | nyụo | nyụọ | nyụo | nyụa | nyụo | defecate |
| g. gụo | gụo | gụa | gụo | gụa | gụa | gụo | gụa | gụo | gụa | gụo | read |
| h. kwụọ | kwụo | kwụa | kwụo | kwụa | kwụa | kwụo | kwụọ | kwụọm | kwụa | kwụ̣ | pay |
| i. sụ̣ | chụo | sụ̂a | sụo | sụ̣a | sụ̂a | chụọ | chụo | chụọ | sụ̣a | shụọ | wash (plates) |
| j. wụọ | wụọ | wụa | wụo | wụ̣ | wụ̣ | wụ̣ | wụa | wụ̣ | wụa | wụọ | bathe |

We also discover from our data that at VCV syllable structure where in other speech communities /b/ is at medial position followed by the final vowel $/ \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{v} /$, Ìfite Ọ̀gwarị would have $/ \mathrm{v} /$ e.g.
96.

## Others

a. mbọ/mbs/
mvọ/mva/
'nail'
b. abụ/abơ/
avụ /avv/

Where in the same environment Àgụlerì, Ìkem, Ǹsugbè, Àn mìn, Ǹtèjè, Awkuzu, Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀mboō and Ụmụ̀̀lum speech communities realise /v/ and Ogbunikē speech community realises/f/, but Ìfite Ọ̀gwarị uses /h/ as seen in the example below:

## 97. Àgụlerì\& others Ogbunikeìifte Ọgwarì

| a. | avọ/ava/ | afọ /afo/ | ahọ /afo/ | 'stomach |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. | avụ /avos/ | afụ /afós/ | ahụ /afò / | 'penny' |
| c. | ọvọ /ovo/ | ọfọ $/ \mathrm{I} \mathrm{fo} /$ | ọhọ /ơfo/ | 'Igbo sym |

It is also observed from our data that at intervocalic position of VCV syllable structure involving the phonemes /i/ and /e/respectively, Ìfite Ọ̀gwarị̀ realises /j/ where Àgụlerì, Ìkem, Ǹsugbè, Àn m̀ m̀ Ǹtèjè, Awkuzu, Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀mboō and Ụmụ̀̀lum speech communities would realise /v/, while Ogbunike speech community realises/f/. Example:


For the English word 'we/us', SI 'ànyị' /ànı/, some of the speech communities investigated realise the phoneme $\mathfrak{y}$ / and others realise the phoneme /j/. In this sense, Àgụlerì̀, Ìkem, Ǹsugbè, Àn m̀, Ǹtèjè, Awkuzu and Ìfite Ọ̀gwarị̣ would say ànyị /ànı/, while Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀mboō, Ụmụ̀̀lum̄ and Ogbunikē say àyị /àj/.

In Àgụlerì, Ǹsugbè and Ànam speech communities, /n/ changes to [r] in SI word ogonogo, but it is not like that in the other speech communities investigated. Example:
99.
Àgụlerì, Ǹsugbè \&Ànan SCs
ogorogo
Others
ogonogo

In some cases, Ụmụmboō realises the voiceless post -alveolar fricative / $/ /$, but the other speech communities would realise the voiceless alveolar fricative /s/ especially at word medial position. Instances of this occurrence are noticed at word medial position. Examples are presented below:

| 100. Àgụlerì <br> a. oisi | Ìkem <br> osisi | Ǹsugbè <br> oisi | Ànà oosi | Ǹtèjè <br> osisi | Awkuzu osisi | Anàkụ <br> osisi | Ụmụ̀mbō̄ <br> oshi | Ụmụb um osi | Ogbunikē <br> oisi | Ìfite Ọgwarị oosi | gloss <br> tree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. isi | isi | isi | isi | isi | isi | isi | ishi | isi | isi | isi | head |
| c. ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹshị | ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹsị | faeces |
| d. isì | isì | isì | isì | isì | isì | isì | ishì | isì | isì | isì | smell |
| e. ìsì | ìsì | ìsì | ìsì | ìsì | ìsì | ìsì | ìshì | ìsì | ìsì | ìsì | blindness |

It is also observed that where some speech communities investigated would use voiced velar fricativeg/, othe rs use / $\mathrm{j} /$. There is also evidence of Àra im speech community using /v/. Examples are presented below:

| 101. Àgụlerì | Ìkem | Ǹsugbè | Ànà | Ǹtèjè | Awkuzu | Anàkụ | Ụmụ̀mboō | Ụmụòlum̄ | Ogbunike | ite Ògwarì | gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. ye | ghe | ye | ve | ye | ghe | ye | ye | ye | ye | ye | fry |
| b. g | gha | gha | va | gha | gha | ya | ya | ya | gha | ya | cas |
| c. ọhēle | ọhēle | ọghē | ọvēle | ọyēle | oghēle | ntapu | ntapu | oryēle/mpu | ọyēle | oyēle | hole |
| d. | agha | ag | ọgụ | aya | agh | aya/ọgụ | aya | aya | agha/ọgụ | aya | war |
| e. mmeg |  |  |  |  |  |  | m | me | mme | me | velop |
| f. ùghele ${ }^{-}$ | ughele ${ }^{-}$ | ughelē | uvelē | uyelē | ughelē | uyelē | uyelē | uyele ${ }^{-}$ | uyele ${ }^{-}$ | uyele | wning |

## Chapter Five

Presentation and analysis of data on phonological processes
and secondary articulation features

### 5.0 Introduction

The data collected for this study have shown some evidence of phonological processes as well as secondary articulation features that take place when sounds occur in company in speech. This chapter presents and describes the manifestations of these processes and features identified in the eleven speech communities investigated. In doing this, the three parameters of intersegmental coordination in speech as in Eme (2008) - articulatory parameter, coarticulatory parameter and connected speech features parameter serve as a guide. One linear phonology theory, the generative phonology, and two non-linear phonology theories, autosegmental phonology and government phonology are used for analysis. Specifically, the generative phonology and autosegmental phonology are used to analyse lengthening and insertion; labialisation, palatalisation, homorganic nasal assimilation, nasalisation, netralisation, assimilation, elision and vowel harmony violation and obedience are analysed using the generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology; metathesis is analysed using the generative phonology and finally, only the autosegmental phonology is used to analyse gliding tone. The only process not analysed with a theory is weakening (lenition) and strengthening (fortition) because of their nature in that where a variety will use a particular sound, another variety uses a different sound in the same environment to express the same meaning.

### 5.1 Articulatory parameter

The phonological processes discussed under the articulatory parameter are lengthening and weakening and strengthening of sounds.

### 5.1.1 Lengthening of sounds in Ọmambala varieties

From some of the data, there are perceived instances of sounds lengthenings. Some of our data show that lenghtening of sounds involve the vowel sounds and it is witnessed at word medial position. The lengthened sounds are realized at the same pitch level. Examples are seen in the table below:

Table 5.1 Lengthening in speech communities of Ọmambala area

| Respondent | Lines | Lengthening | Glosss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| İkem man 1 | 34 | [bùgèèìr] | have taken |
|  | 40 | [diılil] | is/was |
| Ṅsugbè man | 4 | [ $\mathrm{y}^{\text {w }}$ è è di] | is married |
| Ogbunike man | 21 | [ ${ }^{\text {wajo }}$ - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Easy |
| Anàkụm an | 29 | [kotfaa] | finished introduction |
|  | 36 | [כ dànã a] | if agreed |
|  | 41 | [ y ã yãànaั̀ nờv] | that woman |
| Anàkụ woman | 54 | [àlukılı] | do most |
| Anàkụ youth | 15 | [a gbanã̀ àre egbd | once there is gun shot |
| Umuolum man | 34 | [mè̀lu] | Do |
| Ụmụmboō | 54 | [nã̀ àlukeel] | mainly do |

Lengthening of sounds can occur as a result of pulse in speech, hesitation or speech mannerism while searching for the right word. This is perceived in lines
102. 13 of Anàkụ̀ man's speech e.g. dịkà... [dikà...]

16 of Anàkụ man's speech e.g. jụa yā ... [ḑ ${ }^{\text {wa }}$ aa já...]
22 of Anàkụ man's speech e.g.ị bịa n'ibe... [ı b baa nĩibe...]

1, 2, 10 \& 51 of Ǹsugbè man 1's speech e.g. [abù mừ... ]
2 of Ǹ̀sugbè man 1's speech e.g. [kà ỳk כolu unì̀ ive...]
8 of Ǹ̉sugbè man 1's speech e.g. bụ̀ nà nwanyị... ìmgbè gboo [bư nằ $y$ wã fî̀... ìngbè gboo]
51 of Ǹsugbè man 1's speech e.g. [umừnnằ gi...]
1 of Umùòlumº man's speech e.g. [avà m bư ... ive e]
Lenghtening can formerly be explained with P-rule. The P-rule analysis of lenghtening is presented below:
Rule 20: P-rule analysis of lengthening in Omambala Igbo

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \text { syll } \\
- \text { Con }
\end{array}\right] \varnothing \\
1
\end{aligned} 2_{2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
{[\text { Consonantal }}
\end{array}\right]} \\
\#
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \text { syll } \\
- \text { Con }
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \text { syll } \\
- \text { Con }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Interpretation: This rule is interpreted to mean that a vowel before a consonant or at word boundary is lengthened.

Lenghtening can also be explained using autosegmental phonology analysis. This is illustrated below:

Fig. 5.1 Autosegmental phonology account of lenghtening
Tonal tier:

In this analysis, column two shows that a new association line branches from the vowel of the first syllable to a null position. Also, another new association line branches from the tone of the first syllable to the same null position. In column three, another vowel of the same quality as vowel of the first syllable is introduced into the null position, thus indicating that the vowel of the first syllable has been lengthened and at the same pitch level.

Only the generative phonology and autosegmental phonology could be used comfortably to account for lengthening. The analysis using the autosegmental phonology could be said to be simpler.

### 5.1.2 Weakening and strengthening of sounds in Ọmambalā varieties

Some SI words sometimes have some sounds in them replaced with other sounds in the Igbo variants of Ọmambalā . Some scholars, including Eme (2008) consider this as instances of weakening and strengthening of sounds. Eme (2008:38) has provided a good means of differentiating between stronger and weaker sounds in Igbo. According to her, the closer the articulators during the production of a consonant, the stronger the consonant produced while the more open the articulators, the weaker the consonant. She further discloses that voiceless consonants are stronger than their voiced counterparts, while fricatives are stronger than glides. She also cites Udoh (2003) as pointing out a format whereby; stop> affricate $>$ continuant $>$ nasal > approximant (p.47). We adopt these criteria but add that for continuant, [+distributed] is stronger than [-distributed]. Based on these yardsticks, we have identified the stronger and weaker sounds used in free variation in the speech communities investigated, which we represent below:

In Àgụlerì, Ǹsugbè, Ìkem, Àn m̀ Ǹ Ntèjè, Awkuzu, Anàkụ̀, Umùòlumº and Ụmụ̀mboō speech communities, the SI phonemes/f/ and /h/ replaced with /v/ wherever they occur in any word. This will be considered by some scholars as sound weakening.
a. efere /efere/ avele/avele/ avele /avele/ evele /evele/ àvele /àvele/ avele /avele/ avele /avele/ avele /avele/ avele /avele/ avele /avele/ ‘plate'
b. afọ /afs/ avọ /avs/ avọ /avs/ avọ /avs/ avọ /avs/ avọ/avo/ avọ /avs/ avọ /avs/ avọ /avs/ avọ /avs/ 'stomach'
 in Igboland'


f. ahịa /afia/ avịa/avıa/ avịa/avıa/ avịa/avıa/ avịa/avıa/ avịa/avıa/ avịa/avıa/ avịa/avıa/ avịa/avıa/ avịa/avıa/ 'market’
g. ọhia /ofia/ ọvia/ovia/ ọvia/ovia/ ọvia/ovia/ ọvia/ovia/ ọvia/ovia/ ọvia/ovia/ ọvia/ovia/ ọvia/ovia/ opvia/ovia/ 'bush'
h. ehi /efi/ evi /evi/ evi /evi/ evi /evi/ evi /evi/ evi /evi/ evi /evi/ evi /evi/ evi /evi/ evi /evi/ 'cow'

The SI phonemes/f/ is also replaced with /r/ in any word in Àgụlerì, Ǹsugbè, Ìkem, Àn m̀ Ǹ̀tèjè and Awkuzu speech communities.
104. SI
a. ashà /afã /
b. ìsha ìIfa/
'a kind of bird'
‘crayfish’

In Àgụlerì, Ǹsugbè and Ànàm speech communities, the SI phoneme $/ \mathrm{n}$ / is replaced with $[\mathrm{r}]$ in the word ogonogo 'long'. Example:
105. SI
ogonogo

Àgụlerì \& Ànà speech communities
ogorogo 'tall/long'

The phonemes $/ \mathrm{\gamma} /$ in SI is replaced with $/ \mathrm{v} /$ wherever it appears in a word in À nam speech community. This, to some scholars, is a case of sound strengthening. Examples are:
106.

SI
a. ghèe /yèe/
b. òghèrè /òjèrè/

## Ànà̀

vèe /vèe/
'fry'
òvèlè /òvèlè/
‘chance’

In some cases, the phoneme $/ \mathrm{y} /$ is replaced with labialised velar approximant /w/ in Ànam speech community. Examples are presented below:
107.
S.I

Àn玉ì
a. aghụglọ̀ /ayơ yo/ awụwọ̀ /aàwう̀/ 'cunning'
b. ghọọ̣ /yכد/ wọọ /wכ̀/ 'pluck'

The SI phonemes / $\mathrm{h} /$ and /v/ do not exist in Ogbunike- speech community . While / $\mathrm{h} /$ is replaced with voiceless labio-dental fricative /f/, /v/ is replaced with voiced bilabial plosive /b/. Examples are as presented below:
108. SI Ogbunike ${ }^{-}$
a. ahịa/afia/ afịa/afia/ 'market'
b. ahà /afà/ afà /afà/ 'name'
c. mvọ/mvo/ mbọ $/ \mathrm{mbs} / \quad$ 'nail'

The phoneme $/ \mathrm{\gamma} /$ is also replaced with / $\mathrm{j} /$ in Anàkụ speech community as we can see from these examples:
109.

## SI Anàkụ

a. ịghā/I $\mathrm{I}^{\downarrow}$ ₹á/

ịyā/ı ${ }^{\downarrow}$ já/
'cast'
b. ghèe /yèe/ yèe /jèe/ 'fry'
c. ughele/ure ${ }^{\dagger}$ lé/ uyele $/$ /uje ${ }^{\downarrow}$ lé/ 'yawning’
d. agha/aya/ aya/ọgụ /aja, ògo/ 'war'

In Anàkụ, Umùòlum̄ andỤmụ̀mboo speech communities, the SI phoneme $/ \mathrm{z} /$ is replaced with $/ \mathrm{r} /$. Instances of this are illustrated below:
110.

## SI

a. azụ /azù/
b. nzùkọ /nzùko/
c. ezi /ezi/
d. ezè /ezè/

Anàkụ, Umùòlumº \& Ụụ̣̀mbō speech communities arị /ar̀̀/ 'fish' nrùkọ /nrùko/ 'meeting'
eri /eri/ 'road’
erè /erè/ 'king'

The SI phoneme /yw/ is also replaced in these speech communities with /y/ between two unrounded vowels or two vowels in which the first is rounded and second is unrounded as can be seen below:
111.
SI


## Anàkụ, Umùòlum̄ \& Ụmụmbō

| [ y ] $]$ | 'child' |
| :---: | :---: |
| [yãy ${ }^{\downarrow}$ náa $^{\text {a }}$ | 'grand child' |
| [эŋã] | 'moon' |

These speech communities together with Ìfite Ogwarị have the SI phoneme $\quad / \mathrm{\gamma} /$ replaced with $/ \mathrm{j} /$ wherever it occurs in any word. Examples are presented below:
112.

## SI

Anàkụ, Umùòlum̄, Ụmụ̀mbō \& Ìfite Ọgwàrị
a. agha /aya/ aya/aja/ 'war'

There is the replacement of the phoneme $/ \mathrm{y} /$ with $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ in Ìfite Ogwarị speech community as we can see below:
113.

## SI

a. nụ̣ọ/nùo/


## Ìfite Ogwàrị

nwụ̣ $/ \mathrm{y}^{\text {w'vo }}$ / ‘drink


Ụmụ̀mb o data shows that at intervocalic position involving twథ̣’; the phoneme /z/ which is usually replaced with /r/ is replaced with voiced alveolar plosive /d/. Example:

| 114. | SI | Ụmụ̀̀mbo |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ọzọ | ọ̣̣ | 'again' |

Ụmụ̀mb ō speech community also has the SI phoneme /s/ replaced with /f/ in some cases, especially at word medial position. Instances of this are presented below:

| 115. |  | SI | Ụmù̀mbo | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | a. | osisi | oshi | gloss |
|  | b. | isi | ishi | tree |
|  | c. | ǹsị | ǹshị | head |
|  |  |  |  | faeces |

In all the speech communities investigated, the phoneme $/ \mathrm{S} /$ is replaced with [r]. Specifically, this is perceived in Àgụlerì , Ǹsugbè, Ìkem, Àn ìn, Ǹtèjè, Awkuzu, Ogbunike, Anàkụ, Umùòlum̄ and Ụụmb ō speech communities. At intervocalic position between the vowel [o], the SI phoneme /n/ is replaced with [r] in Àgụlerì and Ànam speech communities in any word. In Anàkụ̀, Umùòlum ${ }^{-}$and Ụụmb $0^{-}$, the phoneme $/ \mathrm{z} /$ is replaced with [r]. Ụụmb o- speech community
replaces the SI phoneme /s/ with [J] at medial position of word. Among the speech communities investigated, it is only in Ụụmb o- that this kind of phonological process manifests . Anàkụ, Umùòlumº and Ụmụmb o speech communities sometimes replace the phoneme $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ with [ g ]. Finally, Ànam speech community replaces the phoneme / $/$ / with [w].

Conversely, the phonemes /f $\mathfrak{h} /$ are replaced with [v] in all the speech communities investigated except Ogbunike where/ $\mathrm{h} /$ is replaced with [f] and /v/ is replaced withs [b], Ìfite Oggarị where /f v/ are replaced with [f]. In Anàkụu and İfite Oggwarị, the phoneme / $\gamma /$ is replaced with [j], while in Ànam it is sometimes replaced with [v]. In Ìfite Ogwarị, the phoneme $\mathfrak{y} /$ is replaced with [ yw ], while the phoneme $/ \mathrm{z} /$ is replaced with [d] when it occurs between the vowel [o].

The sound /v/ appears in Opmambala Igbo in both names and verbs unlike in the SI where it appears only in names as observed by Achebe, Ikẹnevụ, Emenanjo, Eme and Ng'ang'a (2010:5).

### 5.2 Co-articulatory parameter

The phonological processes and secondary articulation features discussed under coarticulatory parameter are labialisation, palatalisation, homorganic nasal assimilation and nasalisation. They are discussed in this order.

### 5.2.1 Labialisation

When a consonant precedes a high back rounded vowel, it becomes labialised in anticipation of the vowel. This has been pointed out in Eme (2008) and Mbah and Mbah (2010). Labialisation takes place both within and across word boundary.

When this occurs, the high vowel sometimes assimilates the vowel following it when they have the same tone. There are instances of labialisation in all the speech communities investigated. In some of the speech communities, there are instances of labialisation within a word. In some cases, labialisation occurs at word medial position and sometimes at word final position. Examples of where labialisation occurs within a word are perceived in the formal speech pattern of Anàkụ man's speech in lines:

b. Umùòlum ${ }^{-}$man's speech in lines 18 mènyụalụ $\overline{\mathrm{m}}$ [mèn ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{a}^{2}{ }^{\downarrow}{ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{m}$ ], 27 àbụwolu [àb ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ oolu]
c. Ìfite Ogwarị̀ man's speech in line

38 ègbuo [ègb ${ }^{\text {w }}$ oo ]
d. Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech in line

29 gbūo [ ${ }^{\downarrow}{ }^{\text {g }}{ }^{\text {wnúo }}$ ]
e. Ìkem man 1's speech in lines


When the vowel coming after a high back rounded vowel does not have the same tone as that of the vowel after it, the high vowel ' $u / u ̣$ ' does not assimilate the vowel following it after making the

 back rounded vowel and the vowel following it have the same quality of tone as in Anàkụ man's speech in lines 14 tolue [tol ${ }^{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{ee}$ ], 16 jụa [ $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{\mathrm{wa}}$ ], Umùòlum${ }^{-}$man’s speech in lines 18 mènyụalụ
 Ìkem man 1 's speech in lines 19 ụmụàgbọ̣̣ [ $\quad \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{a}^{\text {a }}$ àgbゝ], the high vowel easily assimilates features of the vowel next to it after making the consonant sound before it to be labialised.

Where there are two words, the first vowel of the second word will regressively assimilate the last vowel of the first word. If a high back rounded vowel appears before the first vowel of the second word, tone also plays a role in determining whether the high back rounded vowel will be affected by assimilation or not. Where the high back rounded vowel does not have the same quality of tone with the vowel that follows it, after causing the preceding consonant to be labialised, it is not affected by assimilation. The speech pattern of Ụụ̣mboō woman's speech contains this instance:
117. Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech in lines


Where the high vowel has the same quality of tone with the vowel next to it as in Ụùmboō woman's speech in lines 50 lùlù àtị [lù ${ }^{\text {w }} \mathrm{a}$ àtı] "is dirty", 51 mkpụlụ akw "palm fruits", the vowel is equally affected by assimilation. Instances of these are also perceived




 woman's speech gbanyưo ọkū [gbanToo د ${ }^{\downarrow}$ kú], 17 of Àgụlerì woman's speech gbànyụo ọku





It could be seen that where a high back rounded vowel is the last vowel of the first word at boundary position and it does not have the same tone as the first vowel of the second word, it will not assimilate the first vowel of the second word. Instances of this are perceived in the speech pattern of Àgụlerì woman's speech in lines 58 anụ̣̣̣ gụ̀ [a nớ ògò], 60. ozu àvụ [ $\mathrm{oz}^{\mathrm{w} u} \mathrm{u}$ àvo ],


 in lines 3 èjemụ òkù [èḑem ${ }^{\text {wu }}$ ũ òkù̀, 11 nrū òkù [ $n^{\downarrow} r^{W}$ ú òkù], Ogbunikē man's speech in lines 5
 òkù], 46 òvu àkpà [òv ${ }^{\text {w }} u$ àkpà], $47,50 \& 58$ òdudu òkù [òd ${ }^{\text {w }}{ }^{\text {d }}{ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{u}$ òkù], Anàkụ youth's speech in

 man's speech in lines 13. nọlụ ànọ [ñ̃1 w $v$ àñ̃], Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech in lines 2,3 \& 4. nọ̀dụ ànọ [nวั̀d ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{U}$ àñ̃] (Appendix VI).

Where the tone of the high back vowel and that of the vowel that follows it are the same, the back vowel will assimilate the first vowel of the second word after labialising the consonant preceding it. Below are examples:
118. (Appendix VI)

b. Ǹ̀èjè man's speech in lines 11 nwèlụ òmenànị̣ [ $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}}$ è Y'ò òmen nằǹ̀ ]
c. Ǹtèjè girl's speech in lines 11 òkù àvụ [òkù àvv], 43 larụ ụlā [ $\operatorname{lar}^{\text {w }} U^{\downarrow} U^{\downarrow}$ lá]
d.Ogbunikē man's speech in lines 8 kèdụ afà [kèd ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ a afà], 51 mkpụlụ akwụ̣ à [mkpul ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ a a ${ }^{\downarrow}$ kwú à]
e. Anàkụ̀ man's speech in lines 16 kèdụ avà [kèd ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{a}$ avà] 17 kèdụ onye [kèd ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ o orẽ]
f. Anàkụ̀ youth's speech in lines 1 mmadụ̀ ànwụlụ [mmã ďà àn $\left.{ }^{W} \tilde{\sim} l u\right]$ ], 16 kụrụ egwu [kurweegwu]
 [sìl ${ }^{W}$ è èvè ]
h. Ìfite Ọgwarị man's speech in lines 3 pērụ aka [ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ pér ${ }^{\text {w }}$ a aka], 58 kpụlụ̀ àtịi [kpơl ${ }^{\text {Wà àtrı] }}$
i. Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech in lines 50 lùlù àtị [lùl wà àtri], 51 mkpụlụ akwụ [mkpulwa a ${ }^{\downarrow}$ kwú]

k. Àgụlerì girl's speech in lines 45 àrụadịrọ [àr ${ }^{\text {w }} \mathrm{a} a^{\downarrow}$ dı́ro], 49 lùlù àtititị [lùl ${ }^{w a}$ àìtìr]

 n. Ǹsugbè man 1's speech in lines 16 bụlụ onye [bul ${ }^{W}$ o ofẽ], 32 anàgwolu ego [anã̀ $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{ol}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{\downarrow}$ gó] o. Ǹsugbè man 2's speech in lines 8 kèdụ avà [kèd ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ a avà], 44 àrụ adịirọ [àr ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{a} a^{\downarrow}$ dírr)]

 $\left.a^{\downarrow} k^{w_{U}^{\prime}}\right]$.

Where a high back rounded vowel is preceded by a labialised velar stop, as in line 56 of Awkuzu boy's speech e.g. nnekwu ewū [nnekwe e ${ }^{\downarrow}$ wú] "a big goat" and line 56 of Ụmụòlum man's speech e.g. nnekwu avịa [nnekwa $a^{\dagger} v^{j}{ }^{j}$ Ia] "big market", and its tone and the tone of the vowel next to it are the same, the high back vowel assimilates the vowel following it. Assimilation does not take place if the tones of the high back vowel and the vowel that follows it are not the same as in line 33 of Awkuzu woman's speech e.g. ukwù osisi [ukwù os ${ }^{j}{ }^{j} s^{j} \mathrm{i}$ ] "the tree is very long". This could be the reason Emenanjo $(1987$; 2015) presents this kind of behaviour as an instance of conditional assimilation where, according to him, there could be assimilation or not.

Labialisation in the speech communities Qfinambala area can informally and formally be represented with phonological rule. Two rules are applied: (1) labialisation rule and (2) Assimilation rule. This is an example of rule ordering where generative phonology specifies the order of applying more than one rules required for analyzing a phenomanon. In this case, labialisation rule applies before assimilation rule.

The two rules can informally be represented as follow:
i. Consonant becomes labialised in an environment before a high back vowel followed by zero or any number of vowels
iia. A high back vowel at word final position becomes the same as the initial vowel of the second word when they are at the same pitch level.
iib. A high back vowel followed by another vowels of the same pitch level becomes the same as the initial vowel of the second word.

These P- rules can also be formally represented as follows:
Rule 21: $\quad \mathrm{P}$-rule analysis of labialisation in Ọmambala Igbo

$$
[\text { Con }] \rightarrow[\text { labialised }] /-\left[\begin{array}{c}
V \\
+ \text { high } \\
+ \text { back }
\end{array}\right] V_{o} \quad \text { [gbàj }{ }^{w} \text { vo } \mathrm{k}^{\left.\mathrm{l}^{\prime} \dot{v}\right]}
$$

Rule 22: P-rule analysis of assimilation after labialisation in Ọmambala Igbo
a. $\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{V} \\ +\mathrm{high} \\ + \text { back } \\ \alpha \text { tone }\end{array}\right] \# \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}\alpha \text { Syll } \\ - \text { consonantal }\end{array}\right] / \longrightarrow \quad \#\left[\begin{array}{l}\alpha \text { Syll } \\ - \text { Consonantal } \\ \alpha \text { tone }\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\right.$ àr ${ }^{\mathrm{w} a ~ a ~}{ }^{\downarrow}$ dárı]


Labialisation can also be analysed using autosegmental phonology model as presented below. With this model, the issue of tone will also be handled. The analysis is as follows:

Fig. 5.2 Autosegmental account of labialisation with high vowel assimilation


In the above analysis in figure 5.2, the second column shows that a new association line links the nasal consonant of the first syllable and the vowel of the syllable to signify nasalisation whereby the vowel takes nasal feature of the nasal consonant. For the second syllable, the dotted association line from the high back rounded vowel to the preceding consonant signifies its influence on the preceding consonant making it to be realised with lip rounding. Its tone and the tone of the first syllable of the second word, which is a vowel, are of the same pitch and this makes them to be represented as one tone at the tonal level in line with OCP. Because they have the same pitch, they allow the high back vowel to assimilate the features of the vowel following it forming a V-shape through the new association line. This makes the two vowels to be one at the assimilation tier, thus forming an inverted V-shape directly opposite the one at the skeletal tier.

Fig. 5.3 Autosegmental account of labialisation without high vowel assimilation


Fig 5.3 shows in the second column that a new association line links the nasal consonant of the first syllable and the vowel of the syllable to signify nasalisation whereby the vowel takes nasal feature of the nasal consonant. There is also the dotted association line from the high back rounded vowel to the preceding consonant to signify its influence on the preceding consonant to make it be realised with lip rounding. However, because its tone and the tone of the first syllable of the second word which is a vowel are not of the same pitch, they are represented with different tones at the tonal level thereby blocking the high back vowel from assimilating the features of the following vowel. No V-shape is equally formed, thus making the two vowels to be associated independently at the assimilation tier.

Government phonology can conveniently account for labialisation followed by high vowel assimilation in the following way:

Fig. 5.4 Government phonology account of labialisation with high vowel assimilation


Fig. 5.5 Government phonology account of labialisation without high vowels assimilation

( 4 ) Interconstituent government

In analysis 5.4, the N 2 governs the onset preceding it thereby making it to be labialised. The N 2 and N3 are on the same pitch level. As a result, N3 is able to govern N2 thereby forcing it to assimilate its features. Analysis 5.5 shows that N2 governs the preceding onset, but because it does not have the same pitch level as N3, assimilation is blocked such that after causing the preceding consonant to be labialized, it could not assimilate features of the following vowel which in this case is N3.

The foregoing analysis shows that generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology account for labialisation in Omambala Igbo. However, one can say that better explanations are achieved with the autosegmental phonology and government phonology. The generative phonology requires that many rules would be generated in explaining labialisation unlike the other two. The issue of tones playing important role in determining whether a high back vowel would assimilate features of the following vowel is also better explained with the autosegmental phonology and government phonology.

### 5.2.2 Palatalisation

Palatalisation manifests when a consonant is realised with the raising of the front part of the tongue in anticipation of the following high front vowel. Palatalisation is seen to occur in the speech communities studied within a word and across word boundary, thus agreeing with Dirven and Verspoor (2004). Instances of its occurrence within a word are as in lines 3 of Awkuzu woman's speech kwusie [kwus $e e$ ], 6 of Ǹtèjè girsl's speech gbabazịa [gbabaz $\left.{ }^{j} a a\right], 15$ of
 woman's speech èmebiolu [èmérbiololu], 8 of Anàkụ youth's speech bidozie [bidoz ${ }^{j}$ ee], 63 of Ìfite Ọgwarị man's speech ahịa [afíjaa], 16 of Ụmụòlum man's speech bịà [ $b^{j}$ àà], 19 of Ụm ụ̀mboō
woman's speech bị̀a [bi'ıa], 14 of Àgụlerì woman's speech yà-àbịa [jààb ${ }^{j} a a$ ], 23 of ìkem man 1's speech tưzịa [tozzaa], 28 of Ìkem man 2's speech diọtā [djəว ${ }^{j}$ tá], 15 of Nsugbe man 1 's speech
 speech bịàkalị [ $b^{j}$ ààkalı̀ $]$, 14 of Àn m (Ụmụziàm) man's speech bịà [ $b^{j}$ àà (Appendix VI).

Palatalisation occurs across boundary inlines 10 of Awkuzu woman's speech bìa ebe à [bììe ebe è], 16 of Ǹ̀tèjè man's speech kèsịa ewu à [kès ${ }^{j}$ ee ewu à ], 38 of Ogbunikē man's speech àbịa echi [àb ${ }^{j}$ ee $\left.e^{\downarrow} t f_{i}\right]$, 35 of Anàkụ man's speech mbọsị à [mboss ${ }_{I}{ }^{j} a ̀$ ], 15 of Ụụ̀òlum man's speech $a^{-} n y i$

 Ìkem man 1's speech sisie $o^{-}$sipaka [sis ${ }^{j}$ oo لósipaka], 41 of Ǹsugbè man 1's speech chesịa ojị


It is noticed that in anticipation, the consonant preceding a high front vowel becomes palatalised while the high vowel assimilates to the vowel after it when they have the same tone level. This could be the reason Emenanjo (1987; 2015) and Katamba (1993) present palatalisation as involving the vowels and go further to describe the phenomenon as occurring in fast speech and involving the turning of the high vowel into a semi-vowel, especially at juncture when it precedes another vowel, while Utulu (2006), agreeing with them, notes that it is a common feature in many languages to convert front high vowels and their back counterparts to semivowels.

When the vowel coming after a high front vowel does not have the same tone as that of the high vowel as in line 27 of Ìfite Ọgwarị man's speech and line 19 of Ụụ̀mboō woman's speech e .g. bịa $\left[b^{\prime}\right.$ 'Ia $]$ "come", the high vowel ' $\mathrm{i} / i ̣$ ' does not assimilates to the vowel following it after making the preceding consonant to be palatalised, but when the high front vowel and the vowel it precedes have the same tone as in line 16 of Ụmụòlum man's speech bị̀à [ $b^{j}$ àà], line 17, 41, 42, 44 \& 61 of Nsugbe man 1's speech àbịa [àb ${ }^{j} a a$ ], lines 16, 19 of Ìkem man 1's speech e.g. dọbasịa [dJbas ${ }^{j} a a$ ], the high vowel easily assimilates to the vowel next to it after making the preceding consonant to be palatalised.

Where there are two words and the high front vowel does not have the same tone with vowel next to it as in 10, 47 of Awkuzu woman's speech bìa ebe à [ $b^{j}$ ìe ebe è ], after making the consonant preceding it to be palatalised, it is not affected by assimilation, but if the tones are the same as in lines 16 \& 20 of Nteje man's speech teesịa uyē [tees ${ }^{j}$ uu $\left.u^{\downarrow} j e ́\right]$, line 38 of Anàkụ man's speech
 data below:

Similarly, when a high front vowel is the last vowel of the first word at boundary position, and it does not have the same tone with the first vowel of the second word as in lines 22, 26 of Nteje
 will not assimilate the first vowel of the second word, but if the tones are of the same as in lines 13 \& 31of Nteje girl's speech sì èmi $e^{-}\left[s^{j}\right.$ è èmil̃ ${ }^{\imath}$ é], lines 7, 16 \& 54 of Ogbunike man’s speech mebìlì èmebì [mébilíe èmébi], the high front vowel will assimilate the first vowel of the second word after making the consonant preceding it to be palatalised.

Just as done under labialisation, palatalisation in the speech communities of Ọmambala area can informally and formally be represented with phonological rule. There are equally two rules that are applied in analyzing palatalisation, which are: (1) Palatalisation rule and (2) Assimilation rule. Because two rules are applied, generative phonology under rule ordering specifies the order for applying them. The rules are applied in the following order:
a. palatalisation rule
b. assimilation rule

Assimilation rule cannot be applied before palatalisation rule because that will block palatalisation. The order must strictly be adhered to in order to appropriately account for what has happened.
The two rules can informally be represented as:
i. Consonant becomes palatalised in an environment before a high front vowel followed by zero or any number of vowels.
ii. A high front vowel becomes assimilated in an environment of occurrence before a vowel with the same tone with it followed by zero or any number of vowels.

The rules can also be formally represented respectively with P-rule as follows:
Rule 23: P-rule analysis of palatalisation in Omambala Igbo
i. $[$ Con $] \rightarrow[$ palatalised $] /-\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{V} \\ + \text { high } \\ - \text { back }\end{array}\right]$ Vo $\quad\left[\grave{m} m^{r} I \rho o^{\downarrow} k \dot{k}\right]^{\prime}$ "hot drink"


Labialisation and palatalisation rules can be compressed using rule with variables. This rule is used to explain the fact that a segment assumes the same feature value as another segment. Application of the rule with variable gives the following:

Rule 24: P-rule analysis of labialisation and palatalisation in Opmambala Igbo using variables
i. $[$ Con $] \rightarrow[\alpha$ labial $] /-\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{V} \\ + \text { high } \\ \alpha \text { back }\end{array}\right] \mathrm{V}_{0}$
ii. $\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{V} \\ \text { high } \\ \alpha \text { back } \\ \alpha \text { tone }\end{array}\right] \rightarrow[$ Assim $] /\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{V} \\ \alpha \text { tone }\end{array}\right] \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}$

## Interpretations:

Rule 24 (i) is interpreted to mean that consonant becomes labialised before a high back vowel followed by zero or any number of vowel or palatalised before a high front vowel followed by zero or any number of vowels.

Rule 24 (ii) interpretes that a high back or front vowel becomes assimilated before a vowel with the same tone followed by zero or any number of vowels.
i. A high back or front vowel that is followed by another vowel of the same pitch level at the end of word becomes the same as initial vowel of the second word.

This rule can also be formally represented using P-rule as follows:
Rule 25: P-rule analysis of regressive assimilation affecting high vowel and two other vowels

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{V} \\
+ \text { high } \\
\alpha \text { back } \\
\alpha \text { tone }
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syll } \\
- \text { Consonantal } \\
\alpha \text { tone }
\end{array}\right] \# \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \text { Syll } \\
\text { - consonantal }
\end{array}\right] / \longrightarrow \#\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \text { syll } \\
- \text { Consonantal }
\end{array}\right]
$$

The Autosegmental phonology and government phonology also account for palatalisation just as they have accounted for labialisation in figures 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5. Below is government phonology account of palatalisation:

Fig. 5.6 Government phonology account of palatalisation with high vowel assimilation


In the above analysis in figure 5.6, the N2 governs the onset preceding it thereby making it to be palatalised. The N4 governs the N3 which is at governing relation with it, assimilating its features. At the tone level, the N 2 and N 3 exhibit same pitch level, approving for the N 2 to project to the next level of P1 where it can be governed by the N4 (where the tones are not of the same pitch level, assimilation is blocked and the projection of N 2 for it to be at governing relation with N 4 would not be possible).

Just as pointed out in labialisation, the generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology account for palatalisation irọmambala Igbo, but better explanations are done with the autosegmental phonology and government phonology. There is simplicity in the use of the autosegmental phonology and government phonology unlike the generative phonology which requires that many rules would be generated. Also, the issue of tone playing important role in determining whether a high front vowel would assimilate features of the following vowel is also better explained with the autosegmental phonology and government phonology.

### 5.2.3 Homorganic nasal assimilation

There are instances of homorganic nasal assimilation, also called homorganicity of nasals (Eme, 2008), perceived in the speech patterns of the speech communities investigated. In all the speech communities, our data reveal that nasals assume the same place of articulation with a following consonant during speech. Where the consonant following a nasal is labial, the nasal is realised as a
 consonant is alveolar or palatal as in lines 5 \& 15 of Ụụ̣̀mboō woman's speech, the nasal before

 Labialized velar plosives $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ have velar nasal coming before them as in line 34 of Ụụùmboō woman's speech ive $m$ kwùlù [ive $\eta$ kwùlù].

Homorganic nasal assimilation occurs the same way in all the speech communities investigated. We notice from our data that homorganic nasal assimilation occur within words and across word boundaries, but that involving one word is more prevalent. Homorganic nasal assimilation is an example of partial assimilation because the syllabic nasal does not completely lose it features. It can be analysed with phonological rule (P-rule) as follows:

Rule 26: P-rule analysis of homorganic nasal assimilation using variables

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{C} \\
+ \text { nasal }
\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \text { ant } \\
\beta \text { cor }
\end{array}\right] /-\left[\begin{array}{l}
- \text { son } \\
\alpha \text { ant } \\
\beta \text { cor }
\end{array}\right]
$$

This rule is interpreted to mean that a nasal consonant agrees in quality of features anterior and coronal as the obstruents it precedes. This is an example of rules with variables and as stated above compresses two rules, thus:

Rule 27: Feature changing rules (Homorganic nasal assimilation)
i. $\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{C} \\ + \text { nasal }\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}+ \text { ant } \\ - \text { cor }\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{c}- \text { son } \\ + \text { ant } \\ - \text { cor }\end{array}\right]$
ii. $\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{C} \\ + \text { nasal }\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}-\mathrm{ant} \\ + \text { cor }\end{array}\right] /-\left[\begin{array}{c}- \text { son } \\ - \text { ant } \\ + \text { cor }\end{array}\right]$

Thus, when the obstruent is [+ant, - cor], it means that it is a labial segment. Therefore, the syllabic nasal will assume its features and be produced as labial nasal [m]. If the obstruent is [- ant, + cor], it is either an alveolar or velar segment which will make the syllabic nasal to be produced as either [ n ] or [ n ] as the case may be . This is illustrated with data from Ìfite Ogwarị , Ụmụ̀mboo and Anàkụ speech communities
119. a. Ìfìte Ọgwarị man's speech pattern (Appendix V)

b. Ụmụmboō woman's speech pattern

c. Anàkụ man's speech pattern
lines 21, 22 \& 55 ǹgịvụu [ $\grave{\eta}^{j}{ }_{I v \grave{v}}$ ] for obstruents with features [-ant, + cor]

Homorganic nasal assimilation can be analysed using the AP model as follows:
Fig. 5.7 Autosegmental account of homorganic nasal assimilation in Ọmambala Igbo


In the above analysis in figure 5.7, the syllabic nasal assimilates the place of articulation feature of the following velar consonant.

Homorganic nasal assimilation is also a feature of government phonology where the obstruent is the governor, while the syllabic nasal is the governee. Homorganic nasal assimilation can be analysed using government phonology as follows:

Fig. 5.8 Government phonology account of homorganic nasal assimilation in Ọmambala Igbo


In figure 5.8, the onset $g$ is the governor and $n$ is the governee. The influence of the governor makes the syllabic nasal $n$ to become $/ \mathfrak{y} /$. This is an example of interconstituent government.

The three theories have been used to analyse homorganic nasal assimilation. The generative phonology is able to generate rules, which clearly provide information concerning various environments syllabic nasal $/ \mathrm{y} /$ could take some features of an adjacent consonant following it and what it would change to. The autosegmental phonology has also through the association lines
shown the sound that influences the syllabic nasal, while government phonology demonstrates that phenomenon of homorganic nasal assimilation is a natural one whereby one segment (which in this case is the consonant following the syllabic nasal), is the governor, while the other segment (the syllabic nasal) is the governee.

### 5.2.4 Nasalisation

Unlike labialisation, palatalisation and homorganic nasal assimilation that are features of anticipatory coarticulation, nasalisation in some languages, like English, is anticipatory and in some others, it is perseverative. In Igbo, it is a feature of perseverative coarticulation in the sense that a vowel assimilates the features of a nasal consonant preceding it during connected speech. In other words, during the production of a vowel that is preceded by a nasal consonant, the velum which became lowered to enable airstream go out through the nasal cavity for the production of the nasal consonant has not returned to its original position before the articulation of the following vowel, thereby making the vowel to equally be realised with airstream going out through the nose.

In our data from the speech communities investigated, we perceived instances of nasalisation involving the vowels which are preceded by a nasal consonant partially taking the nasal feature of the preceding consonant. These instances are noticed in the following speech communities:
a. Àgụlerì woman speech pattern (Appendix V A)

Lines 1 kùnye [kùnẽ] "give me water"
2 nọdụ ànọ [nכั̀d ${ }^{\text {w }} v$ àñ] "sit down"
5 mma [mmã] " mother"
b. Ìkem man 1's speech pattern (Appendix V C)

Lines 6 ọnwa ị̂tọ [onwĩ ${ }^{\prime}$ 'ito] 'the third month'
8 anà sị [ayằs̀ 'evening'
17 nwaànyà [ŋ̧wãàjằ] 'woman'
c. Ǹsugbè man 1's speech pattern (Appendix V E)

Lines 14 gà-ème [gè èmẽ] 'will do'
 16 o nwègàlụ̀:: [o ŋ $\mathrm{w}^{\text {"egàlù: :: }}$ ] there are:: '
d. Àgụ̀lerì girl's speech pattern (Appendix V B)

Lines 7 ubī ānyị [u ${ }^{\downarrow}$ bá $^{\text {ª }}$ 'áñ̃] "our farmland"
 15 taànị [taànĩ] "today"
e. Ìkem man 2's speech pattern (Appendix V D)



f. Ǹsugbè man 1's speech pattern (Appendix V E)

Lines 32 nà-àma āma [nà àmã لámã] 'that is being tied'
 34 nà nya [ñanã] 'that he/she'
g. Awkuzu Man's speech pattern (Appendix V P)

Lines 2 one ụmụobì [oñ̃ um"̃̃obì] "from Ụmụobi"

h. Ogbunike man'sspeech pattern (Appendix V Q)

Lines 13 taànụ [taàñ̃] "today"
16 mebilì èmebì [mẽbilìè èmẽbì] "is not good"
22 m mà-àǹụ mmīli [ m mà àñơm ${ }^{\text {' }}$ milili "I will drink water"
i. Anàkụ̀ man's speech pattern (Appendix V R)

Lines 2 onye Anàkụ [onã anãkò] 'from Anakụ’
5 ànụ ṅanyị [ànư gãàñı̄] 'can marry'
11 àmànwụlụ̀ [àmäy "ờờ] 'amanwụlụ'
j. Ìfite Ọgwarị man's speech pattern (Appendix V U)

Lines 6 na ōne [nõ 'ónẽ] "how many"
 50 ị̣ nà-ànwụ? [ı̀ nà àn ${ }^{\text {wou }}$ ] "do you drink?
k. Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech pattern (Appendix V V)

Lines 28 ụgànị nà-àkpọ [ưgàñı näa àkpo] "we are in famine"
42 m̀maà niīne [m̀mãà niňínẽ] "everybody"
48 nà-ànwụlide alā [ñà àn wơlida $a^{\dagger}$ lá] "still sucks breast"

1. Umùòlum man'sspeech pattern (Appendix V W)

Lines 4 nọdụ nọ̣̣̣̀a [ñodu ñòjnã] "sit down here" 22 wèlụ nayọ̣ [wèlww ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{aj}}^{\mathrm{j}}$ ] "take it easy"
41 ṅannē nnà $m$ [nãn né nnä $m$ ] " $m y$ uncle/aunt"
62 ràạ̀ụ òkù [rààm ${ }^{\text {Wo o òkù] 'answered me' }}$

Nasalisation can informally be captured with P-rule whereby vowels become nasalised in an environment after a nasal consonant. It is also formally represented as follows:

Rule 28: P-rule analysis of nasalisation in Ọmambala Igbo

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { Syll } \\
- \text { Cons }
\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow[+ \text { nasal }] /\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{C} \\
+ \text { nasal }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Autosegemntal phonology (AP) can be used to analyse nasalisation in the speech communities investigated. In this case, every segment/feature is represented on a different tier. This is illustrated below:

Fig. 5.9 Autosegmental phonology account of nasalisation in Ọmambala Igbo


In figure 5.9, it is seen that the different tiers are independent of one another, but linked by association line. The doted line represents the new link between the nasal consonant / y / and the vowel following it.

Nasalisation is also a feature of government phonology and can be analysed as presented below:

Fig. 5.10 Government phonology account of nasalisation in Ọmambala Igbo


In the above analysis in figure 5.10, ' n ' is the governor while ' $a$ ' is the governee. As a rule of government, a vowel after a nasal consonant copies the nasal feature of the consonant.

From the foregoing, nasalisation could be analysed using the generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology. The generative phonology is able to generate rules, which clearly provide information concerning the environment where a vowel could be nasalised. The autosegmental phonology has also through the association lines shown the sound that influences the vowel and the direction, while government phonology demonstrates that nasalisation is a natural occurrence where the nasal is the governor and the vowel is the governee.

### 5.3 Connected speech features parameter

Under this parameter, the phonological processes such as neutralization of phonotactic constraint, liaison, assimilation and elision are discussed and in this order.

### 5.3.1 Neutralisation of phonotactic constraints

The phonotactic constraints are found to be neutralised as a result of the influence of the vowel following a word . In Àgụlerì , Ìkem, Ǹsugbè, Ǹtè̀jè, Awkuzu, Àn m, Ìfìte Ọgwarị speech communities, we perceive the neutralisation of phonotactic constraint [k] from our data, as exemplified below:

121 a. Àgụlerì woman's speech line 45 kà ị [k̇ı] ]
b. Àgụlerì girl's speech line 15: kà ị [kì I]
c. Ì̀kem man 1's speech in lines $1 \& 30$ : kà ị [kìr ]
d. Ìkem man 2's speech in lines 13 \& 24 : kà ị [ kìr]
e. Ǹsugbè man 2's speech in lines $9,14,43$ : kà ị [k̀ı I]
f. Ǹtèjè woman's speech in lines 30 \& 43: kà ị [kir ]
g. Ǹ̀èjè man's speech in lines 12 : dị kà ibū [dı kì ì ${ }^{〔}$ bú]
h. Ǹtèjè girl's speech in lines 30, 43: kà ị [ǩı ]
i. Awkuzu woman's speech in line 25: kà i [kıı]
j. Awkuzu man's speech in line 14: kà i [kír]
k. Àn ìm (Ụmụdọàà) man' speech in line 9: kà ị bụ̂? [ ki i bù?]

1. Ì̀fite Ogwarị man's speech in lines 6 \& 8: kà i nwòlù [kì i ŋwoòlù]
m . Umùòlumº mañs speech in line 32. kà ị [kì ]

In the above examples, it is noticed that the vowel /a/ has taken the features of the vowel $i / / a n d$ this has made it possible for $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{i}$ to co-occur with $/ \mathrm{k} /$ in a CV structure.

The phonotactic constraint *mọ is neutralized in Ìkem , Ǹsugbè, Ǹtèjè, Anàkụ as seen in the following examples:

122 a. Ìkem man 1's speech in line 33: mà ọ̣ [mõ̀ `\(]\) b. Ǹsugbè man 1's speech in lines 34 mà 0 [mõ̀ J] c. Ǹ̀tèjè man's speech in line 25 e.g. mà ọ [mõ̀ J]; line 26 e.g. mà ộ [mồ 〕o] d. Anàkụ man's speech in lines \(18,23,49,58\) : mà ọ̀ [mõ̀` $]_{]}$

Instances of neutralisation of the Igbo phonotactic constraints $\quad$ *gwị, *nwị are perceived in Ǹsugbè man 1's speech in line 17 e.g. gwa yā [gwi ${ }^{\text {dá] }}$ \& line 35 e.g. nwa yā [gwĩ ${ }^{\text {Łá] }}$

Although it is beyond the scope of this study, let us observe that our data disclosed that there are some impossible words in Igbo which have been produced at phonetic representation. This is due to influence of an adjacent vowels e.g.

123 a. In Ìkem man 1's speech, there are in lines 10 ụ ụ [umì̀], 13 ụmụ [umoั̀], 20 onye [oñ̃],

b. Ìkem man 2's speech in line 53 nnekwu [nneekwe]
c. Ǹsugbè man 1's speech presents the following:

èjo is not a word in Igbo but it has been made possible at phonetic level in line 1 of Ǹtèjè girl's speech [èḑo ].

There are [gbio] in line 58 of Awkuzu woman's speech, [èba] in line 60 of Awkuzu boy's speech and [onv] in line 8 of Awkuzu man's speech. *[azè] is produced in the Ogbuniké man's speech in line 39. Ànam data made some words possible as the following examples show:

124 a . Àn m (Ụmụzịàm) man's speech in lines;
33. wèta [wètı], 49 akwà [akwì]
b. Àn ìm (Ụmụdọrà) man's speech in line

49 àvè [àvi]

Neutralization can also be viewed as an instance of assimilation because the vowel of the syllable whose phonotactic constraint has been neutralised drops all its features to take up all the features of the vowel that influences it. It can be analysed with the P-rule (29) used to analyse regressive assimilation. Neutralisation can also be analysed using autosegmental phonology as follows:

Fig. 5.11 Autosegmental phonology analysis of neutralisation in Ọmambala Igbo
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Skeletal tier: } & \mathrm{C} \text { V } \mathrm{V} \\ \text { Segmental tier: } & \mathrm{k} & \mathrm{a} \\ \text { Neutralisation tier: } & \sigma \quad \sigma \quad & \\ & & \end{array}$


Figure 5.11 is a three-tiered representation of three independent segments: The skeletal tier, segmental tier and assimilation tiers. They are linked to one another by association line. The dotted line represents the new link between the two vowels ' $\mathfrak{y}$ ' and ' $a$ '. The effect of the new link with dotted line is what is seen in column 3 where the vowel of the morpheme $k a$ and the pronoun $i$ now become alike as a result of the influence of the pronoun. This, therefore, makes the phonotactic constraint forbidding $k$ and $i \underline{\text { to co-occur to form a single syllable word in Igbo to be }}$ neutralised.

Government phonology equally accounts for neutralisation. This is exemplified with the following figure:

Fig. 5.12 Government phonology account of neutralisation in Ọmambala Igbo

kà i [kì ]

The analysis in figure 5.12 shows that sound / I / is the governor that governs the preceding sound /a/, the governee, thereby making it to completely drop its features and take up its own features. The influence of the / $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{on} / \mathrm{a}$ / is as a result of the natural relationship existing between them because of their adjacency position. With this, they are in governing relation where the vowel of the morpheme $k a$ is governed by the pronoun $i$ thereby neutralizing the phonotactic constraint forbidding $k$ and $i$ to co-occur to form a single syllable word in Igbo.

Neutralisation could be analysed using the generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology. Since the phenomenon is an assimilatory process, the generative phonology is able to generate rules, which clearly provide information on the sound that influences another sound as a result of which the phonotactic constraints are neutralised. The autosegmental phonology has also through the association lines shown the sound that influences another sound, while the government phonology has demonstrated that neutralisation is a natural occurrence resulting from assimilatory process where the sound that influences another is the governor and the sound influenced is the governee.

### 5.3.2 Assimilation

Five different kinds of assimilation have been identified in Ọmambala varieties of Igbo. They could, however, be grouped in two based on their direction and degree. Based on the direction of assimilation, we identify regressive assimilation, progressive assimilation and coalescent assimilation; we have identified partial assimilation and complete assimilation based on degree of assimilation. Regressive assimilation, progressive assimilation and coalescent assimilation are discussed under different sub-headings, while discussions on partial and complete assimilations are subsumed within them.

### 5.3.2.1 Regressive assimilation

From our data, instances of regressive assimilation are perceived in the speech patterns of all the speech communities investigated. It occurs in all the speech varieties of Omambala area and is a frequently too. We will discuss regressive assimilation based on various ways is occurs, such as: Regressive assimilation within a word; Regressive assimilation across word boundaries; Regressive assimilation where two vowels end a word; Regressive assimilation where vowel /u/ is preceeded by an approximant /w/ or labialised velar sound; Regressive assimilation involving elision of consonant.
A. Regressive assimilation within a word: Regressive assimilation can occur within a word as we noticed from our data in lines


26 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech; àkaọ [àkoऽ]
8 Ìkem man 1's speech; èkposieli [èkpos ${ }^{j}$ eeli]
42 Ǹsugbè man 1's speech e.g. chesịalụ [tfes ${ }^{j}$ aalu]

27 Awkuzu woman's speech e.g. bànyụa [gbàj ${ }^{\text {wo }}$ ว $]$

The data in (125) are examples of complete assimilation because the segments that have been affected completely dropped their features and took all the features of the segments that assimilated them. This occurrence can informally be analysed using a P-rule which; a vowel at adjacency position with another vowel drops its features to take up the features of the vowel after it. It can also be formally analysed as follows:

Rule 29: P-rule analysis of complete vowel assimilation in Igbo


## Interpretation:

This rule is interpreted to mean that vowels become the same as the vowel next them, followed by a consonant or at word boundary.

Just as done in nasalisation, AP model can be applied in analysing assimilation in Igbo. This is illustrated using our data from the speech communities of Ọmambala area e.g.

Fig. 5.13 Autosegmental phonology analysis of complete vowel assimilation within a word


Figure 5.13 is a three-tiered representation of three independent segments: The skeletal tier, segmental tier and assimilation tiers. They are linked to one another by association line. The dotted line in column 2 represents the new link between the segments ' $g$ ' and ' $o$ '. The effect of the new link with the dotted line, the crossed through association line and the circle around ' $g$ ' is what is seen in column 2 where the consonant is delinked and, therefore, deleted. In column 3, the vowel ' $a$ ' is linked to the vowelợ' and it became influenced such that it completely takes the features of $\begin{gathered}\prime \\ \text { ' as seen in column 4. This analysis clearly shows that at underlying phonemic }\end{gathered}$ representation, the word is /àkagə/, but at surface phonetic representation, it is realised as [àkวว].

Regressive assimilation can also be accounted for using government phonology as hereunder presented:

Fig. 5.14 Government phonology account of complete vowel assimilation within a word


The analysis in figure 5.14 shows that sound /ọ/ is the governor that governs the preceding consonant $/ \mathrm{g}$, the governee, thereby making it to be deleted. Its deletion gives room for the vowel of N 2 to be at adjacency position with N3 and it projects to the next level of P1 where it is governed by vowel of N3.
B. Regressive assimilation across word boundaries: This is assimilation which takes place across word boundaries. Evidences of this occurrence abound in our data from almost all the speech communities as seen in lines
126. 1 of Anàkụ woman's speech e.g ubī ānyị [u ${ }^{\downarrow} b^{j}$ á ${ }^{\text {'ánir }]}$
 2 of Ìkem man 1's speech e.g. a būro ive ị yà-èje sụba oyibo [a ${ }^{\downarrow}$ búri ivi I jè èdse subo ojìbo]
9 of Ìkem man 1's speech e.g.sisie ósipaka [sis ${ }^{j}$ oo ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ósipaka]
5 of Ìkem man 2's speech e.g. jè̀ị̣ àvọ̣ Ìkem [ḑ̧èèl ${ }^{W}$ à àvı ìkem]
18 of Ìkem man 2's speech e.g. eleàvụ [elaàvo]
$13,20 \& 23$ of Ǹsugbè man 1's speech
$8,12 \& 52$ of Ǹsugbè man 2's speech.

The data in (126) show that where a high back or front vowel ends the first word and it has the same pitch level with first vowel of the second word, the high vowel causes the consonant before it to be labialised or palatalised and then assimilates the features of the vowel following it. If, however, the high back or front vowel at word final position of the first word does not have the same pitch level with first vowel of the second word, the high vowel causes the consonant before it to be labialised or palatalised without assimilating the features of the first vowel of the second
word. Regressive assimilation across word boundaries can informally be captured with a P-rule as follows:
i. Vowels at boundary position with another drop their features to take up the features of the vowel after them. It can also be formally analysed as follows:

Rule 30: P-rule analysis of regressive assimilation in Ọmambala Igbo

$$
[\mathrm{V}] \# \longrightarrow[\alpha \text { Vowel }] / \ldots \#[\alpha \text { Vowel }]
$$

Just as done in nasalisation, AP model can be applied in analysing assimilation in Igbo. This is illustrated using our data from the speech communities of Ọmambala area e.g.

Fig. 5.15 Autosegmental phonology analysis of complete vowel assimilation across word boundary


Figure 5.15 is a three-tiered representation of three independent segments: The skeletal tier, segmental tier and assimilation tiers. They are linked to one another by association line. The dotted line represents the new link between the two vowels ' e ' and ' $a$ '. The effect of the new link with the dotted line is what is seen in column 3 where the two vowels now become alike.

Regressive assimilation across word boundary can also be accounted for using government phonology as hereunder presented:

Fig. 5.16 Government phonology account of complete vowel assimilation across word boundary


The analysis in figure 5.16 shows that sound /o/ is the governor that governs the preceding vowel $/ \mathrm{a}$ /, the governee, thereby making it to completely drop its features and take up all the features of the vowel that influences it.
C. Regressive assimilation where two vowels end a word. Instances of this are noticed in lines
127. 14 of Awkuzu woman's speech e.g. dọnọ̄ ādọnọ̣ ebee [da'á adeè ebeè]

26 of Ǹtè̀jè man speech; nwèè ike [ $\eta$ wì̀i ike]
17 of Ǹtè̀jè girl's speech; dọnọ̄ ādọnọ̀ [dałá لádっう]
32 of Umùòlumº man's speech eg. kà ị laa ụlā[kì I luv $0^{\downarrow}$ lá]
68 of Ifite Ọgwari man’s speech e.g. apanāatọọ ozū̄ $\rightarrow$ [a ${ }^{\downarrow}$ pá ${ }^{\prime}$ nátòo o $o^{\downarrow}$ zú]
46 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech e.g. nà-alịà olịà $\rightarrow$ [naั̀ ab̉o l'àà]
38 of Àgụlerì woman's speech e.g. yà-àbịa echí $\rightarrow$ [jà àb ${ }^{j}$ ee e $\left.e^{\downarrow} f_{1 ́ 1}\right]$
31 of Ànam (Ụmụdọrà) man's speech e.g. jèè ànabà $\rightarrow$ [ḑàà ànãbà]
4 of Ụmụzịàm man's speech e.g. jèvèè avịa [ḑèveàà avia]
37 of Ìkem man 2's speech e.g. sàchaa evele [sàtfeeevele]
25 of Ǹsugbè man 1's speech e.g. àchọọ òbòdò [àtfoo òbòdò]
5 of Anàkụ youth's speech where gbaa egbè $\rightarrow$ [gbee egbè]

These data show that when two vowels end a word involved in regressive assimilation , both vowels are assimilated. This is not the case in line 16 of Ànam Ụmụdọà man's speech e .g. redíò
 demonstrative nweè. This also suggests that this kind of regressive assimilation takes place in fast speech. This kind of regressive assimilation can formally be represented with P-rule as follows:
ii. Two word final vowels become like the initial vowel of the second word.

This can also formally be presented as follows:
Rule 31: P-rule analysis of regressive assimilation affecting two vowels

$$
\{[\mathrm{V}][\mathrm{V}]\} \# \rightarrow[\alpha \text { Vowel }] / ـ \quad \begin{gathered}
\#[\alpha \text { Vowel }] \\
\text { sàchaa evele [sàtfeeevele] }
\end{gathered}
$$

The autosegmental phonology (AP) model can be applied in analysing this kind of regressive assimilation in Igbo. This is illustrated using the data below:

Fig. 5.17 Autosegmental phonology analysis of complete vowel assimilation involving two word final vowels


Figure 5.17 shows a three-tiered representation of three independent segments: The skeletal tier, segmental tier and assimilation tiers. They are linked to one another by association line. The dotted lines in column 2 represent the new links to the vowels ' $a$ ' in the first and second syllables. The effect of the new links with the dotted lines is manifest in column 3 where the new association lines are linked to the initial vowel of the second word. In column 4, the last two vowels of the first word now completely take the features of 'e'. At underlying phonemic representation, the utterance is /gbaa egbè /, while at surface phonetic representation, it is realised as [gbee egbè].

This kind of regressive assimilation can equally be accounted for using government phonology. The analysis presented below:

Fig. 5.18 Government phonology account of complete vowel assimilation involving two word final vowels


The analysis in figure 5.18 shows that sound /e/ of N3 is the governor that governs the preceding vowel of N2, the governee, thereby making it to resemble it. N1, which is not at adjacency position with N3, now projects to the next level of P1 where it is governed by the N3.

Where two vowels end a word involved in regressive assimilation and the first of the vowels is a high back or front vowel, the high vowel is involved in regressive assimilation, but not in all cases. This, however, happens after the high vowel has caused the preceding consonant to be labialised or palatalised. This can be found in lines
128. 2 of Awkuzu boy's speech; bìa ebe à [ $b^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{I}$ e ebe è $]$

20 of Ǹtè̀jè man's speech; dechasịa ùlì [detfas ${ }^{j}$ uu ùlì],

17 of Ogbunikē man's speech gbànyụa ọkụ à [gbàn ${ }^{\text {w }}$ च̃o Jkv à],
11 of Anàkụ man's speech e.g. chie ̣̣ $\bar{o}$ [ $\left[\mathrm{f}^{j} \supset \supset د^{\downarrow}{ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right.$ ]

39 of Ìfite Oggwarị man's speech e.g. shụo àwùle [J"aa àwùle],
46 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech e.g. nà-alịà ọlịà [naั̀ åbうכ l'àà],
38 of Àgụlerì woman's speech; yà-àbịa echí [jà àb ${ }^{j}$ ee $e^{\downarrow}$ tíl ],
9 of Ìkem man 1's speech; sisie o- sipaka [sis ${ }^{j}$ oo ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ósipaka]

37 of Ànàm (Ụmụ̀dọrà) man’s speech; yà-àbịa echī [jà àb ${ }^{j}$ ee $\left.e^{\downarrow}{ }^{\downarrow} f i ̄ 1\right]$.

These data show that for high back or front vowel that ends the first word to assimilate features of the following vowel, it must have the same pitch level with it. This shows that tone plays important role in determining if a high back or front vowel assimilated after labialisation or palatalisation has taken place. This instance did occur in line 56 of Ìfite Ogwarị man's speech e .g. nà-èli azị $\rightarrow$ [ 3 nè̀ dèi azı̀]. When it is not involved in regressive assimilation, it is either as a result of emphasis laid on the word containing the vowel that would have been involved or the fact that the two vowels have different pitch levels. This kind of regressive assimilation can informally be represented in a phonological rule thus:
ii. A high back or front vowel at end of a word becomes the same as the initial vowel of the second word when they are of the same pitch level.

This rule can also be formally represented using P-rule as follows:

Rule 32: P-rule analysis of regressive assimilation affecting high vowels

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{V} \\
+ \text { high } \\
\alpha \text { back } \\
\alpha \text { tone }
\end{array}\right] \# \longrightarrow[\alpha \text { Voc }] / \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \text { Voc } \\
\alpha \text { tone }
\end{array}\right]
$$

iii. A high back or front vowel at the end of a word that is followed by another initial vowel of the same pitch level becomes the same as the initial vowel of the second word.

This rule can also be formally represented using P-rule as follows:

Rule 33: P-rule analysis of regressive assimilation affecting high vowel and two other vowels

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{V} \\
+ \text { high } \\
\alpha \text { back } \\
\alpha \text { tone }
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syll } \\
- \text { Consonantal } \\
\alpha \text { tone }
\end{array}\right] \# \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha+\text { Syll } \\
- \text { consonantal }
\end{array}\right] / \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha+\text { syll } \\
- \text { Consonantal }
\end{array}\right]
$$

These two rules can be represented as a single rule using brace to read:
iv. A high back or front vowel that is either at word boundary or followed by another vowel of the same pitch level at the end of word becomes the same as initial vowel of the second word.

This rule can also be formally represented using P-rule as follows:

Rule 34: P-rule analysis of regressive assimilation affecting high vowels using brace

This kind of regressive assimilation can be explained using autosegmental analysis as used in figures 5.2 and 5.3 under labialisation. Government phonology can also conveniently account for this kind of assimilation as used in figure 5.5 to explain palatalisation.
D. Regressive assimilation where the vowel /u/ is preceeded by an approximant/w/: The word final high vowel $/ \mathrm{u} /$ is assimilated by the initial vowel of the second word when it is preceeded
by an approximant or a labialised velar sound. The approximant or labialised velar sound is in this case not labialised because it is already a labialised sound. This is perceived in lines
129. 61 of Awkuzu youth's speech; nnekwu ive $\rightarrow$ [nne $\tilde{k}^{w} i i^{\downarrow}$ vé]

24 of Ǹtè̀jè girl's speech; nà-èwu ìtè $\rightarrow$ [nè̀̀̀wu ìtè]
16\&24 of Ogbunikē man's speech; okwū ā yị $\rightarrow$ [ $0^{\downarrow}$ kwá ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ájı] and ukwù oisi $\rightarrow$ [ukwù oisi]
16 of Anàkụ youth's speech e.g. egwu ọrọ [egwu כ̀ro]
57 of Ìfite Ogwarị man's speech; ànwụ alā [ày wã alá]
10 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech; nà-èwu ivē [nè̀ èwi $i^{\downarrow}$ vé]
10 of Àgụlerì woman's speech; nà-èwu ìtè [nè̀ èwu ìtè]
60 of Àgụlerì girl's speech; nnekwu ewū [nnêkwe $e^{\downarrow}$ wú]
8 of Ìkem man 2's speech; nà-èwu ìtè [nè̀ èwu ìtè]
49 of Ìkem man 2's speech; nnekwu ori [nnekwo ori]
5 of Ǹsugbè man 1's speech e.g. mlp ọ̣lọgwụcs isi [mp jolog wo osisi]
23 of Ǹsugbè man 2's speech e.g. ǹnekwu ogorogo [ǹne $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{o}$ ogorogo]
54 of Ànam̀ (Ụmụ̀dọrà) man's speech; ǹnukwu avịā [ǹnuuk waa ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ví ${ }^{\prime}$ á].

It is noticed here that tone also plays a role here in determining whether a high back vowel would be assimilated. Thus, where the tones of the high back vowel are not the same as the following vowel, assimilation does not occur e.g. Ìkem man 2's speech pattern in line 49 nnekwu ori [nnekwo ori] and Ǹsugbè man 2's speech pattern in line 23 e.g. ǹnekwu ogorogo [ǹné $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{o}$ ogorogo].
v. A high back vowel that is preceded by a labialised velar approximant, labialised velar plosive and labialized velar nasal becomes the same as initial vowel of the second word if they are of the same pitch level.

This rule can also be formally represented using P-rule as follows:

Rule 35: P-rule analysis of regressive assimilation affecting high vowels using variables and brace

i. A high back or front vowel at end of a word becomes the same as the initial vowel of the second word when they are of the same pitch level.

This rule can also be formally represented using P-rule as follows:
Rule 36: P-rule analysis of regressive assimilation affecting high vowels

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{V} \\
+ \text { high } \\
\alpha \text { back } \\
\alpha \text { tone }
\end{array}\right] \# \longrightarrow[\alpha \text { Voc }] / \square\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \text { Voc } \\
\alpha \text { tone }
\end{array}\right]
$$

ii. A high back or front vowel that is followed by another vowel of the same pitch level at the end of word becomes the same as initial vowel of the second word.

This rule can also be formally be modified and used to account for regressive assimilation affecting high vowel and two other vowels, as represented below:

Rule 37: P-rule analysis of regressive assimilation affecting high vowel and two other vowels

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{V} \\
+ \text { high } \\
\alpha \text { back } \\
\alpha \text { tone }
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syll } \\
- \text { Consonantal } \\
\alpha \text { tone }
\end{array}\right] \# \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha+\text { Syll } \\
- \text { consonantal }
\end{array}\right] / \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha+\text { syll } \\
- \text { Consonantal }
\end{array}\right]
$$

These two rules can be represented as a single rule using brace to read:
iii. A high back or front vowel that is either at word boundary or followed by another vowel of the same pitch level at the end of word becomes the same as initial vowel of the second word.

This rule can also be formally represented using P-rule as follows:

Rule 38: P-rule analysis of regressive assimilation affecting high vowels using brace

Autosegmental phonology can be used to account for regressive assimilation affecting high vowels. This is illustrated below:

Fig. 5.19 Autosegmental phonology account of regressive assimilation affecting high vowels


In the above analysis in figure 5.19, the second column shows that a new association line links the first vowel of the second word and the final vowel of the first word from the assimilation tier, while the association line linking the final vowel of the first word with the assimilation tier is delinked. Also, the second column shows that a new association line links the first vowel of the second word and the final vowel of the first word from the skeletal and the association line linking the final vowel of the first word with the skeletal tier is delinked. It is also noticed that the that a new association line links the tone of the first vowel of the second word and the final vowel of the first word from the tonal tier, while the association line linking the final vowel of the first word with the tonal tier is delinked. In the third column, the first vowel of the second word and the final vowel of the first word are linked to one node at the assimilation tier. The first vowel of the second word and the final vowel of the first word are also linked to one V at the skeletal tier. The two vowels are equally associtiated with one tone at the tonal tier in line with OCP. Because they have the same pitch, they allow the high back vowel to assimilate the features of the preceding vowel forming a V-shape through the new association line. This makes the two vowels to be linked to one node at the assimilation tier, thus forming an inverted V-shape directly opposite the one at the skeletal tier.

Fig. 5.20 Autosegmental phonology account of regressive assimilation without affect high vowel


Fig 5.20 shows that the first vowel of the second word and the final vowel of the first word are not identical as a result of which they are not linked to one vowel at skeletal tier. The tones of the two vowels are also not of the same pitch level and, therefore, are represented with different tones at the tonal level thereby blocking the high back vowel from assimilating the features of the vowel following it because no V-shape could be formed.

Below is a government phonology account of this kind of assimilation in the following way: Fig. 5.21 Government phonology account of regressive assimilation affecting high vowels


In the above analysis in figure 5.21, the N4 governs the N 3 . This is made possible because both N 3 and N 4 are realised at the same pitch level and are at adjacency position (where the tones are not of the same pitch level, assimilation will be blocked).
E. Regressive assimilation involving elision of consonant: Regressive assimilation can involve elision of consonant of the perfective morpheme first. We witnessed this in lines
130. $1 \& 68$ of Ìfite Ọgwarị man's speech; apā natagọ [a ${ }^{\downarrow}$ pánato $]$, 26 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech; àkagọ [àkว๖].

We notice here that the consonant of the final syllable is elided and its vowel goes back to assimilate the preceding vowel. This can informally and formally be represented with two linearly-ordered P-rule as follows:

Rule 39: P-rule analysis of regressive assimilation involving elision
a. A consonant of word final syllable becomes deleted.
$[\mathrm{C}] \longrightarrow$ $\longrightarrow$ / __ [V]\# e.g. àkagọ $\longrightarrow$ àkaọ
b. A vowel takes the features of the following vowel at word final position.

Rule 40: P-rule analysis of regressive assimilation involving elision using variable

$$
[\mathrm{V}] \longrightarrow[\alpha \text { Vowel] } / ـ[\alpha \text { Vowel] \# e.g. àkaọ } \longrightarrow \text { àkọọ [àkoد] }
$$

This kind of regressive assimilation have been analysed using the autosegmental phonology and government phonology in figures 5.13 and 5.14.

Finally, from the foregoing, it is evident that most regressive assimilation are also complete assimilation as the vowel involved completely drops its features and takes the features of the vowel that influences it.

### 5.3.2.2 Progressive assimilation

Progressive assimilation is perceived in the speech patterns of some of the speech communities investigated; though it is not a frequent occurrence. In Awkuzu, Àgụlerì and Ànam speech communities, progressive assimilation can occur between a word and demonstrative adjective whereby the final vowel of the first word influences the demonstrative adjective ' $a$ '. This is seen in lines
131. 10 of Awkuzu woman's speech; ebe à[ebe è],

61 of Àgụlerì woman's speech; ǹke à [ỳke è],
4 and 3 of Ànam (Ụmụd ọrà) and Ànam (Ụmụziàm) man's speech respectively; n'ebē ā [nẽ e ${ }^{\downarrow}$ bé $\downarrow$ é].

After eliding the consonant of a syllable at medial position, its vowel is influenced by the preceding vowel to take-up its features as found in lines 8 of Awkuzu woman's speech e.g. ètinye [ètii], 29 of Anàkụ̀ woman's speech e .g. àkpọbagwọlụ [àkpoog wolv], 34 of Anàkụ man's speech e.g. tinye [tii], 12 and 42 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech e.g. gòtèlụ [gòtèè] and mmadụ̀ [mmãã à respectively. All of these are within a word and are also examples of complete assimilation. Similarly, consonant of the second syllable may be elided, while its vowel is influenced by the preceeding vowel and it takes up its features. This is found in line 59 of Ànam (Ụmụd ọrà) and Àn m̀ (Ụmụziàm) men’s speech e.g. ebūnātago $\rightarrow$ [ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ bú ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ótago]"they have brought". This is a kind of partial progressive assimilation . This kind of assimilation involving the second syllable can also be complete as noticed in line 59 of Ànam men's speech e .g. ozu nwenwà [ozu y ${ }^{\text {weèè], }} 23$ and 62 of Ànam (Ụmụziàm) man’s speech e.g. osisi [oosi] "tree".

These instances of progressive assimilation can informally be represented with a P-rule as follows: A vowel preceded by either another vowel or boundary takes the features of the vowel preceding it. The rule can formally be stated as follows:

Rule 41: P-rule analysis of progressive assimilation


Progressive assimilation also occurs after the elision of consonant of the pronoun 'ya' and the left over vowel is influenced by the vowel of the first word. Instances of this occurrence are in lines 132. 14 of Ǹtè̀jè girl's speech e.g. ụmụnnē dī ya [umờn ${ }^{\downarrow} n e^{\prime} \downarrow$ dí e]; duru yā [duru 色]

69 of Ogbunikē man’s speech eg. imi yā [imir $\downarrow$ é]
39 of Ìfite Ọgwarị man's speech e.g. akwụkwọ yā [akwo $\stackrel{\text { º }}{ }$ ]
32 of Ǹ̀sugbè man's speech e.g. nà di yā[nã̀ diłé].

This can informally and formally be explained with two linearly-ordered P-rule, namely:
i. Elision rule
ii. Feature changing rule

The first rule says:
a. An initial consonant becomes deleted when preceded by a vowel followed by word boundary.
b. A vowel becomes the same as the preceding vowel at word boundary.

These rules can respectively be represented formally as below:

Rule 42: P-rule analysis of progressive assimilation involving elision

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { sonorant } \\
- \text { Consonantal }
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow \varnothing /\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syll } \\
- \text { Consonantal }
\end{array}\right] \#-\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syll } \\
- \text { Consonantal }
\end{array}\right] \#
$$

133. 

$$
\text { imi yā } \longrightarrow \text { imi ā }
$$

Rule 43: P-rule analysis of progressive assimilation involving elision using brace and variables

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syll } \\
- \text { Consonantal }
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \text { syll } \\
+ \text { Consonantal }
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syll } \\
- \text { back } \\
\text { Half open }
\end{array}\right]\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \text { syll } \\
- \text { Consonantal }
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syll } \\
+ \text { high } \\
- \text { back }
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}\right\} \# \ldots \text { \# }
$$



This kind of assimilation is mostly partial as we can see from the examples . It is only in Ìfite Oggarị that we perceive it as complete assimilation . It is also discovered that progressive assimilation can occur after coalescent assimilation had taken place and the coalesced segment influences the vowel adjacent to it to take up its features either partially or completely. This kind of assimilation occurs both across word boundary and within a word. Instances of where it occurs across word boundary are found in lines
135. 59 of Awkuzu woman's speech; èvè ya [èvi e]

13 of Ǹtè̀jè girl's speech; sì ème yā [ ${ }^{j}$ è èmì ${ }^{\text {é] }}$ ]
70 of Ogbunikē man's speech eg. nne yā [nnir ${ }^{\downarrow}$ é]
57 of Anàkụ man's speech e.g. bụ̀ ǹgị nè ya [bư ỳgı yî̀ e]
35 of Anàkụ woman's speech e.g. wète yā [wèti ${ }^{\downarrow}$ é]
43 of Ìfite Ọgwarị man's speech; wète yā [weti لé ]
$11,14,20,32 \& 36$ of ìkem man 1 's speech e.g. èle yā [èli لé]
48 of Ǹsugbè man 2's speech e.g. àvè ya [àvì e].

Instances of where this kind of assimilation occurs within a word are found in lines
136. 58 of Awkuzu woman's speech eg. gbànye [gbìı]

49 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech e.g. mụ̀ny e [mír].

It is noticed that within a word, this kind of assimilation occurs as complete assimilation, but across word boundaries, it is partial assimilation.

Progressive assimilation also involves the third person pronoun influencing the SI verb bụ 'be' such that after the elision of the consonant, its vowel drops its features to take up features of the pronoun as can be seen in lines 4 of Ǹtèjè man's speech; ọ bụ̀ ubùbò [วう ubùbò], 68 of Ogbunikē




Instance of where progressive assimilation involves final vowel of the first word influencing the impersonal pronoun following it is found in line 7 of Ǹtèjè girl's speech ; ǹkè a [ìkè e ]. We noticed few cases of progressive assimilation across word boundary where the last vowel of the first word completely influences the first vowel of the second word so that it drops its features to take the features of the sound that influences it. This is observed in line 32 of the speech of Ìkem man 1 e.g. nli ịgbàgwụ [nli ìgbàgwù], 25 of Ogbunike man's speech e.g. nnekwu ụ̂ba $\rightarrow$ [nnẽ kwu ${ }^{\downarrow}$ úba].

We can also analyse our data on progressive assimilation using the autosegmental phonology model as presented below:

Fig. 5.22 Autosegmental phonology analysis of progressive assimilation


In the analysis in figure 5.22, there are skeletal tier, segmental tier and assimilation tiers, which are linked to one another by association line. The dotted line represents the new link between the two vowels ' $u$ ' and $\mathfrak{u}$ '. We see in the last column that because of the new link to the second vowel which has been indicated with dotted line, progressive assimilation occurs making the two vowels to be the same. The direction of the dotted line is very necessary in knowing whether assimilation is progressive or regressive.

A government phonology account of progressive assimilation can also be given; an indication that the process obeys government phonology rule e.g.

Fig. 5.23 Government phonology account of progressive assimilation


The vowel ' $u$ ' is the governor in the above analysis, while ' $ب$ ' is the governee. They maintain strict locality condition because they are at adjacency position without any intervening segment.

Finally, it is evident from our data that progressive assimilation can occur within a word and at word boundadry. All instances of progressive assimilation observed from the data are also examples of complete assimilation except progressive assimilation involving elision and coalescent assimilation which are instances of partial assimilation.

### 5.3.2.3 Coalescent assimilation

Our data reveal instances of coalescent assimilation perceived in the speech patterns of some of the speech communities investigated. It is observed from the speech communities that coalescent assimilation occurs within and across word boundary. For coalescent assimilation at word boundary, the final vowel of the first word and the initial vowel of the second word are involved. This is noticed in lines
137. 43 \& 59 of Awkuzu woman's speech; wèta yā [wètr ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ] \& èvè ya [èvi e]

19 \& 21of Ǹtè̀jè man's speech; nà ya [nî̀a ]
13 of Ǹtèjè girl's speech; ème yā [èmì ${ }^{\sim}$ é]
$34 \& 50$ of Ogbunikē man's speech, wèta yā [wètı'á] \& àfè ya [àfi e]
18 and 23 of Anàkụ man's speech e.g. dịkwà yà [dı̀ ${ }^{\text {whià }}$ Ià
35 of Anàkụ woman's speech e.g. wète yā [wèti ${ }^{\downarrow}$ é]
10 of ìfite Ọgwarì man's speech e.g. èmefụta yā [ème~ futı ${ }^{\downarrow}$ á]
43 of Ìfite Ọgwarì man's speech e.g. wèta yā [weti ${ }^{\text {'é ] }}$
48 \& 50 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman e.g. na yā [yĩ ª́] and àvè ya [àvì e ] respectively
50 of Àgụlerì woman's speech e.g. àvè ya [àvi e]
49 of Àgụlerì girl's speech e.g. akwà ya [akwía ]
11 of Ìkem man 1 's speech e.g. èle yā [èli ${ }^{~}$ é]
20 of Ǹsugbè man 2's speech jìde yā [ḑìidi لé]
21 and 30 of Ànà̀ man's speech e.g. wèli yā [wèli لé]
30 of Ànam man's speech e.g. akwụkwọ yā[aak ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}{ }_{\mathrm{I}} \downarrow$ á].

Within a word, coalescent assimilation can occur at CVCV or NCVCV syllable structure between the vowel of the first syllable and and consonant of the second syllable. Once this occurs, the vowel of the second syllable is completely influenced by the coalesced segment to take up its features. This instance is found in lines 58 of Awkuzu woman's speech; gbànye [gbir], 4 of Awkuzu man's speech; kùnye $\mathrm{m}^{-}$[kiii ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ḿ], 4 of Ogbunikē man's speech eg. kùnye $\mathrm{m}^{-}$[kii ${ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{m}$ ], 42 of Anàkụ̀ woman's speech e .g. mmanya becomes [mimia], 43 of Umùòlumº man's speech e.g. mmanya [mmĩa], 50 of Ìfite Ọgwarị man's speech e .g. mmanya [mmĩa], 40 and 49 of Ụmụmboō woman's speech e.g. mmanya [mmĩa] and mụnye [mĩ̀]; 41 and 42 of Àgụlerì woman and girl respectively e.g. mmanya[mmĩa], 33 of Ìkem man 2's speech e.g. mmanya [mmĩa].

All the examples show that the coalesced segment is usually iị depending on the VH group of the final vowel of the first word. Also, all the data show that the coalesced segment influences the vowel of the syllable which consonant is involved in coalescent assimilation to agree in harmony with it.

Based on the observations from the data, it could be posited that:
i. Coalescent assimilation in Igbo speech communities of Omambala involves the final vowel of the first word and initial /j/ sound of the pronoun ya /ja/ 'his/her/its'; and within a word, it involves the vowel of the first or second syllable and the consonant of second or third syllable, as the case may be, (usually $\mathfrak{n} /$ ) as in lines $40 \& 49$ of Ụmụmboō woman's speech where $\grave{m}$ manya $\rightarrow$ [mmĩa] \& mụny $e \rightarrow$ [mî̀I].
ii. the coalesced segment is i/ị depending on the VH group of final vowel involved in coalescent assimilation.
iii. the vowel of the second word or second or third syllable whose consonant is affected by coalescent assimilation agrees in harmony with the coalesced segment.

When the vowels in the first words are [-ATR] vowels, two sounds at juncture coalesce to /ị/. The vowel of the second word whose initial consonant is affected by coalescent assimilation remains a [-ATR] vowel in harmony with the coalesced segment. This is exemplified with data from the following speech communities:
138. a. Ogbunike ${ }^{-}$
(i) àfè akwụkwọ yā
[àfà auk ${ }^{W}{ }_{I}{ }^{\text {ل́a }}$ ]
"her/his school uniform"
(ii) afà ya
[afıa]
"his/her/its name"
(iii) ụnọ ya
[onî̀ a]
"his/her/its house"

| b. Ànmì | (i) | àvè akwụkwọ $\bar{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | [àvà aak ${ }^{\text {wị }}$ ¢á] |
|  |  | "her/his school uniform" |
|  | (ii) | avà ya |
|  |  | [avì a] |
|  |  | "his/her/its name" |

When the vowels of the first words are [+ATR] vowels, the two sounds at juncture coalesce to /i/ while the vowel of the second word whose consonant is affected by coalescent assimilation and which belongs to [-ATR] vowel group is influenced by the coalesced segment to change to [+ATR] vowel /e/ in harmony with the coalesced segment. This is exemplified below:
139. Ànà̀
(i) wèli yā
[wèli ${ }^{~}$ é]
"take it"
(ii) ive yā
[ivi ${ }^{\text {'é] }}$
"his/her belongings"

When the vowels of the first word are from both [-ATR] and [+ATR] vowel groups, the two sounds at juncture coalesced to /i/ if the final vowel of the first word is a [+ATR] vowel, while the vowel of the second word whose consonant is affected by coal A and which belongs to [-ATR] vowel group takes the feature of [+ATR] vowel /e/ in harmony with the coalesced segment. The two sounds at juncture, however, coalesce to /ị/ when the final vowel of the first word is a [-ATR] vowel and the vowel of the second word whose consonant is affected by coal A remains a [-ATR] vowel in harmony with the coalesced segment. Examples are presented below:
140
a. Ogbunikē
(i) wèta yā
[wètı ${ }^{\text {á] }}$
"bring it"
(ii) àfè ya
[àfi e]
"her dress"
b. À $\mathbf{a}$ m
(i) àvè ya
[àvi e]
"her dress"
c. Ụmụ̀mboō woman, line 50: àvè ya [àvì e ] "her/his clothe"
d. Ìfite Ogwarị man in lines

10: èmefụta yā [èmé futı $\downarrow$ á] 'do it'
43: wèta yā [weti لé ] 'bring it’
The description also show that when the first words have NCV syllable structure where the vowel is either from [-ATR] vowel group or [+ATR] vowel group, the two sounds at juncture coalesced to $/ \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{i} /$ depending on the VH group of the final vowel of the first word. The vowel of the second word whose consonant is affected by coal A is influenced to agree in harmony with the coalesced segment. Below are examples from some speech communities:

141 a. Ogbunike- man: (i) nne yā
[nni ${ }^{\text {łé] }}$
'his/her/its mother'
(ii) nnà ya
[nnia]
'his/her/its father'
b. Ụmụ̀mboō woman in line 48 e.g. ṅa yā [ $\mathfrak{y}$ ĩ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ á] "her/his child"

Coalescent assimilation as witnessed in our data can formally be captured using P-rule. Two rules are involved and they are linearly ordered: the coalescence rule and feature changing rule. The rules are:

Rule 44: P-rules analysis of coalescent assimilation

b. $\quad \mathrm{V} \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{V} \\ \alpha \text { ATR }\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{V} \\ \alpha \mathrm{ATR}\end{array}\right] \ldots$ \#

## Interpretations:

a. A word final or medial vowel and the following consonant coalesce to a high front vowel.
b. Vowels agree in harmony with the preceding vowel.

Coalescent assimilation can also be analysed using autosegmental phonology model as follows:

Figures 5.24: Autosegmental phonology analysis of coalescent assimilation

Analysis 1: [-ATR] Vowel Group
a. [avkwi á] ‘his/her book’
assimilation tier:
segmental tier:
skeletal tier:
[aukwi 'á] ‘his/her book’

b. [onı a] 'his/her/its house’
assimilation tier:
segmental tier:
skeletal tier:

[oni a] 'his/her/its house'
c. [avı a] 'his/her/its name’
assimilation tier:
segmental tier:
skeletal tier:


Analysis 2: [+ATR] Vowel Group
a. [ivi ${ }^{\downarrow}$ é] "his/her belongings"
assimilation tier:
segmental tier:
skeletal tier:

b. [u ${ }^{\downarrow}$ gbí e] "his/her belongings"
assimilation tier:
segmental tier:
skeletal tier:

[ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ gbí e] "his/her belongings"

Analysis 3: [-ATR] Vowel Group and +ATR Vowel Group
[àvì e] 'her dress'
assimilation tier:
segmental tier:
skeletal tier:


Analysis 4: NCV Syllable Structure
a. [nni `é] ‘his/her/its mother’
assimilation tier:
segmental tier:
skeletal tier:


NCV CV

nney a
$n \mathrm{nia}$

$|\underset{\text { N CVC }}{ }| \neq \mid \rightarrow$


[nni ${ }^{\text {é] 'his/her/its mother’ }}$
b. [nnıa] 'his/her/its father'
assimilation tier:
segmental tier:
skeletal tier:


The vowels in the first words in analysis $1(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c})$ of figure 5.24 are [-ATR] vowels. The analyses show that two sound segments at juncture coalesced to /i/ because the final vowels of the first words are [-ATR] vowels. The vowel of the second word whose initial sound segment is affected by coalescent assimilation remains a [-ATR] vowel in harmony with the coalesced sound
segment. In analysis 2(a-b), the vowels of the first words are [+ATR] vowels. The two sound segments at juncture coalesced to /i/ because the final vowels of the first words are [+ATR] vowels, while the vowel of the second word whose initial sound segment is affected by coalescent assimilation and which belongs to [-ATR] vowel group is 'forced' to change to [+ATR] vowel /e/ in harmony with the coalesced sound segment. For the analysis 3, the vowels of the first word are from [-ATR] and [+ATR] vowel groups. The two sound segments at juncture coalesced to /i/ because the final vowel of the first word is a [+ATR] vowel, while the vowel of the second word whose initial sound segment is affected by coalescent assimilation and which belongs to [-ATR] vowel group is 'forced' to change to [+ATR] vowel /e/ in harmony with the coalesced sound segment. In analysis 4(a-b), the first words have NCV syllable structure where the vowel is either from [-ATR] vowel group or [+ATR] vowel group. The analysis reveals that in 4(a), the two sound segments at juncture coalesced to /i/ because the final vowel of the first word is a [+ATR] vowel and the vowel of the second word whose initial sound segment is affected by coalescent assimilation and which belongs to [-ATR] group is 'forced' to change to [+ATR] vowel /e/ in harmony with the coalesced sound segment; In 4(b), the two sound segments at juncture coalesced to $/ / /$ because the final vowel of the first word is a [-ATR] vowel and the vowel of the second word whose initial sound segment is affected by coalescent assimilation remains a [-ATR] vowel in harmony with the coalesced sound segment.

A government phonology account of coalescent assimilation can also be given; an indication that the process obeys government phonology e.g.

Fig. 5.25 Government phonology account of coalescent assimilation within a word


In this analysis, neither the vowel of the second syllable nor the consonant of the third syllable could govern each other. They now coalesce to something else which is usually a vowel. The coalesced segment now stays at adjacency position with the final vowel which projects to the next
level to be governed by the coalesced segment such that it partially takes some features of the coalesced segment.

Fig. 5.26 Government phonology account of coalescent assimilation across word boundary


The analysis in figure 5.26 is just like the one in figure 5.25. It shows that neither the final vowel of the first word nor the consonant of the pronoun ya could govern each other such that they coalesce to something else (usually a vowel). The coalesced segment now stays at adjacency position with the vowel of the pronoun which projects to the next level to be governed by the coalesced segment. This makes it to partially take some features of the coalesced segment.

Regressive assimilation, progressive assimilation and coalescent assimilation could be analysed using the generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology. The generative phonology is able to generate rules, which clearly provide information on the sound that influences another sound as a result of which the sound so influenced drops part of or all its features and take part or all the features of the sound that influences it, especially for regressive assimilation and progressive assimilation. However, the generative phonology requires generating a lot of rules and the rules undergoing many transformations. The autosegmental phonology has also through the association lines shown the sound that influences another sound, while the government phonology has demonstrated that assimilation is a natural occurrence whereby the sound that influences another is the governor and the sound influenced is the governee. The autosegmental phonology and government phonology appear simpler in analyzing assimilation in Omambala Igbo than the generative phonology.

### 5.3.3 Elision

Elision is another phonological process found in the speech communities investigated. From the speech communities investigated, there are instances of consonant, vowel, syllabic nasal and
syllable elisions，though not all of them exist every speech community．Consonant elision is prevalent in all the speech communities．

Consonant elision occurs at word initial and medial positions．Consonant elision which affects the second syllable at word medial position is seen in lines $46 \& 37$ of Awkuzu woman and Awkuzu boy e．g．awụwọ［av̀wうे］， $24 \& 37$ of Ogbunikē man＇s speech eg．osisi à［oisi à］and awụwọ［aơwう̀］ respectively， 25 \＆ 38 of Anàkụ̀ woman＇s speech e ．g．osisi à［oisi à ］and awụwọ［aơwう̀］ respectively，24， 30 and 37 of Àgụlerì woman＇s speech e ．g．osisi à［ois ${ }^{j}$ i à à；akwụkwọ［avk ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ ） ＂book＂and awụwọ［avwə］respectively， 36 and 60 of Ǹsugbè man 2＇s speech e．g awụwọ［avwう］ and akwụkwọ［avk ${ }^{W}$ 〕］respectively， 30 and 36 of Ànam Ụmụdọrà man＇s speech e ．g akwụkwọ ［aakw〕］and awụwọ［aàwذ］respectively．

One important thing about this kind of consonant elision is that the consonant of the second and third syllable must be the same for elision to occur ．We noticed from Ànam Ụmụdọrà man＇s speech pattern that after the consonant of the second syllable has elided，its vowel harmonises with the preceding vowel．Consonant elision in these speech communities can generally informally be captured with a P－rule which is stated below：
i．Consonant of the second syllable becomes elided when it is the same as consonant of the third syllable．It can also formally be captured with P－rule as follows：

Rule 45：P－rule analysis of elision using variables

$$
[\alpha \mathrm{Con}] \rightarrow \varnothing /[\mathrm{V}]-[\mathrm{V}][\alpha \mathrm{Con}][\mathrm{V}] \#
$$

This kind of elision can be analysed using autosegmental phonology where features are represented on separate tiers．This is illustrated as follow：

Fig．5．27 Autosegmental phonology account of consonant elision


Figure 5.27 is a three－tiered representation of three independent segments：The tonal tier，segmental tier and elision tier．They are linked to one another by association line．The dotted line in column 2 represents the new links to the consonant of the second syllables．The crossed through association
line delinks the consonant of the second syllable while the circle around it signify its deletion. The effect of what happens in column 2 manifests in column 3 where the consonant of the second syllable is conspicuously missing.

Government phonology theory also accounts for consonant elision. Below is an illustration of government phonology analysis of consonant elision:

Fig. 5.28 Government phonology account of consonant elision


Government phonology analysis of consonant elision in figure 5.28 shows that O 2 should govern O1 and have it deleted, but they do not occupy adjacency position. In order that strict locality condition of the theory is adhered to, the O1 has to project to the next level of P1 where it can be at governing relationship to be governed by O 2 .

The consonant elision that affects the final syllable is perceived in Awkuzu , Ǹtèjè, Ìfite Ọgwarị̀, Ụmụ̀mboō, Àgụlerì, Ìkem, Ogbunikē, Anàkụ and Ànam speech communities . Examples are in

 woman's speech e.g. àkagọ nkā [àkวว $\eta^{\downarrow}$ ká], 42 of Àgụlerì girl's speech e .g dinē ēdinè [dijíé †édiè̀], 19 of Ìkem man 1 's speech e .g. àgbọghọ̣ [àgboj], 54 of Ogbunikē man's speech e .g. bụkà lịrị̣ [bùkà PìiI], 63 of Anàkụ man's speech e .g. kwàkọ̀tàlụ̀ [kwàkòtàà] and 53 of Ànam (Ụmụdọrà/Ụmụzịàm) men's speech e.g. bụkà lịị̣̆ [bừà lìì]. Another example is in lines 1 \& 68 of Ìfite Ọgwarị man's speech , then line 60 of Ụmụmboō woman's speech e .g. a pā natagọ [a ${ }^{\downarrow}$ pánã ©o]. This can formally be analysed with P-rule as follows:

Rule 45: P-rule analysis of consonant elision

$$
[\mathrm{Con}] \rightarrow \varnothing /[\mathrm{V}]-[\mathrm{V}] \#
$$

Interpretation: Consonants become deleted in an environment between two vowels at word boundary Anàkụ woman and Umùòlum man's speech e .g. àbụwolu [àbwoolu], 42 of Àgụlerì girl's speech e.g. èdinèwo [èdièèwo]. We noticed in many speech communities, consonant elision at initial position where in the pronoun $y a$, the consonant ' $y$ ' is deleted leaving only the vowel ' $a$ '. This usually occurs when the pronoun follows a noun or a verb at boundary. Instances of this are found in lines
$142 \quad 11$ \& 14 of Ǹtè̀jè girl's speech e.g di yā [di ${ }^{\text {Łé }]}$
21 \& 62 of Ogbunikē man's speech e.g wèlụ yā [wèlu 'á ]
18, 19, 41, 44, 46 \& 55 of Anàkụ man's speech e.g nnụ̣y a [ $n \downarrow$ nứa ]
$55 \& 62$ of Anàkụ̀ woman's speech e.g. àsụ yā [às ${ }^{w} v$ ل́a

61 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech e.g. nà-àtụ yā [nã̀ àtơá]
62 of Àgụlerì woman's speech; nà-àtụ yā [nã̀ àtơá]
45 of Ìkem man 1's speech e.g. ndị ọgọ̀ ya [ndjo ogía ]
32 of Ǹsugbè man 1's speech e.g. nà di yā [nã̀ di`é]
61 of Ànam (Ụmụzịàm) man's speech e.g. nà-àtụ yā [nã̀ àtưá].

It is also discovered that consonant elision can lead to assimilation (retrogressively or progressively). Examples of where it leads to progressive assimilation are seen in lines
143. 14 of Ǹtèjè woman's speech; duru yā [dur ${ }^{\text {wh }}$ lé]

34 of Anàkụ̀ man's speech e.g. ì tinye [ì tii]
48 of Àgụlerì girl's speech e.g. mụ̀ny e [mừr]
30 and 36 of Ànam Ụmụdọrà man's speech, then, 23, 36,51 \& 62 Àn m Ụmụzịàm man's speech e.g akwụkwọ ya[aak ${ }_{\mathrm{I}} \downarrow$ á $]$.

From these data, the elision of the initial consonant of the pronoun ya leads to its remaining vowel $a$ being 'forced' to agree in harmony with the final high vowel of the first word. This can be explained with two phonological rules: the deletion rule and feature changing rule.
i. Palatal approximant becomes deleted in an environment after a high vowel at word boundary followed by another vowel at word final position.
ii. Vowel 'a' becomes a plus Advanced tongue root vowel or minus Advanced Tongue Root vowel depending on the vowel harmony group of the final vowel of the first wordat boundary position.

The rules can formally be stated as follow:

Rule 46: P-rules analysis of elision followed by progressive assimilation
a. $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { + son } \\ \text { +cont } \\ - \text { back }\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow \varnothing /\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{V} \\ + \text { high }\end{array}\right] \# \_[\mathrm{V}] \#$
b. $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { +syll } \\ - \text { back } \\ \text { +open }\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{V} \\ \alpha+\text {-ATR }\end{array}\right] /[\alpha+$-ATR $] \# \ldots$ \#

It is also evident that there are partial assimilation and complete assimilation. Examples of where consonant elision leads to regressive assimilation are witnessed in lines $\quad 1 \& 68$ of Ìfite Ọgwarị
 [àkวo]. No instance of consonant elision at word final position was recorded in any of the speech communities. This is probably because Igbo is a no coda language. What our analysis of consonant elision has clearly disclosed is that it can affect any syllable be it the $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}$ or $5^{\text {th }}$.

From the data , it very clear that vowel elision is very scanty . Its occurrence is perceived in Awkuzu, Ogbunike, Anàkụ and Ìfite Oggwarì speech communities and it involves elision of vowel of the personal pronoun 'mụ'. This can be found in lines

51 of Ogbunikē man's speech eg. chịtalụ mụ̣ $\rightarrow$ [tٔ̀̀taa ${ }^{`}$ ḿ]
16 of Anàkụ̀ man's speech e.g. àda mụ̣ [àda ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ḿ]
51 of Ìfite Ọgwarị man's speech e.g. aṇ̣̣ị mụ $\rightarrow$ [a`gim ].

It is only in Ìfite Ọgwarị that we witnessed syllabic nasal elision in lines 63 \& 64 of Ìfite Ọgwarị man’s speech e .g. zinnē [zi ${ }^{\downarrow}$ nế]. Syllabic nasal elision can formally be explained with P-rule as follows:

Rule 47: P-rule analysis of elision of syllabic nasal


Interpretation: Syllabic nasal becomes deleted in an environment before a vowel followed by a consonant.

This can also be analysed using permutation rule as follows：

Rule 48：P－rule analysis of elision of syllabic nasal using permutation


Syllable elision occurs at word medial and final positions．Syllable elision is perceived at word final position in Awkuzu and Ogbuniké SCs at CVVCV syllable structure as seen in line 14 of Awkuzu boy＇s speech；taànị［taà］and line 51 of Ogbunikē man＇s speech；taàtà $\rightarrow$［taà］．It also affects the second syllable of VCVCV as we noticed in lines
145．39， 46 and 51 of Ìfite Ọgwarị man＇s speech e．g．akwụkwọ［ $\mathrm{ak}^{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{ }$］，awụ̀wọ̀［aw’̀］and anịgị mụ［a ${ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{\prime}} \mathrm{~m}$ ］
25， 38 and 52 of Umùòlum man＇s speech eg．awụ̀wọ̀［awう］＂cunning＂
30 and 36 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman＇s speech e．g akwụkwọ［ $\mathrm{ak}^{\mathrm{w}}$ 〕］＂book＂and awụ̀wọ̀［aw’̀］
＂cunning＂；
24， 30 and 37 of Àgụlerì girl＇s speech e．g．osisinwè［os ${ }^{j}$ i ywe ］＂this tree＂，awụwọ̀［àwذ̀］
＂cunning＂；
17 of Ìkem man 2＇s speech e．g．osisinwà［osi ŋwằ］＂this tree＂；
24 of Ànam Ụmụdọrà man＇s speech osisinwè［ os $^{j}$ i $\eta w$ wè̀ ］＂this tree＂；
49 of Ànam Ụmụdọrà／Ụmụziàm men＇s speech e．g．àtịtị［àtr］＂dirt＂．

One important observation from Ìfite Ọgwarị̀ ，Ụụ̣̀mboō and Àgụlerì data is that this kind of syllable elision sometimes leads to tone assimilation as witnessed in lines 51 of Ìfite Oggarị man＇s speech e．g．aṇ̣̆g̣̣ mụ［a ${ }^{\downarrow}$ gím ］＂I do not＂， 36 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman＇s speech ；awụwọ̀［àwう̀］ ＂cunning＂and line 37 of Àgụlerì girl＇s speech ；awụwọ̀［àw’̀］＂cunning＂．In Ìfite Ọgwarị man＇s speech，after the elision of the syllable $/ \mathrm{ni} /$ ，its tone influences the high tone of the third syllable such that it becomes reduced to a downstep tone ，while in Ụmụ̀mboō woman and Àgụ̀lerì girl＇s speeches，the syllable／wụ／is deleted but its low tone influences the high tone of the first syllable such that it becomes reduced to a low tone．

The phonological rule analysis of Syllable elision is given below：
Rule 49：P－rule analysis of syllable elision

$$
[\text { Syllable }] \longrightarrow \varnothing /\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { Syli } \\
- \text { Con }
\end{array}\right]-[\text { Syllable }] \#
$$

Interpretation: A syllable is deleted between a vowel and another syllable at word boundary. This can also be analysed using permutation rule e.g.

Rule 50: P-rule analysis of syllable elision using permutations


Syllable elision also occurs at CVCVCV and CVCV syllable structures and it affects the last syllable. This kind of syllable elision usually leads to lengthening of the vowel preceding the elided syllable. Instances of this are perceived in lines
146. 26 of Ǹtè̀jè girl's speech e.g. gòtèlụ̀ [gòtèè] "bought"

51 of Ogbunikē man's speech eg. chịtalụ mụ̣ [fìtraa ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ḿ] "bring for me"
40, 60 \& 61 of Anàkụ man's speech e.g. gụtalụ [gutaa] "feel like"
12 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech e.g. gòtèlụ [gòtèè] "bought"
11 of Ànam Ụmụziàm man's speech e.g. gòtèlụ [gòtèè "bought".

This can be explained with rule ordering involving two rules: syllable deletion and vowel lengthening. The rules are formally stated as:

1. A syllable is deleted at word final position
2. Vowels become lengthened at word final position

These two rules can formerly be represented as follow:

Rule 51: P-rules analysis of consonant elision followed by vowel lengthening
i. $[$ Syllable $] \longrightarrow \varnothing /\left[\begin{array}{c}+ \text { Syll } \\ - \text { Con }\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow \#$ e.g. gòtèlụ $\longrightarrow$ /gòtè/
ii. $\left[\begin{array}{c}+ \text { syll } \\ \text { - Cons }\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow[$ lengthened $] /\left[\begin{array}{c}+ \text { Cons } \\ - \text { Syll }\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow$ \# e.g. /gòtè $\longrightarrow$ [gòtèè]

This kind of syllable elision also occurs at VCV syllable structure and it is perceived in line 58 of Ogbunikē man's speech e .g. òbòdò afụ̀ $\rightarrow$ [òbòdàaà] "that community". In line 52 of Awkuzu boy's speech, instance of this kind syllable elision was perceived at CVCV syllable structure e.g.
zo lu [ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ zóo] "that rained". We observe that the tone of the final syllable is not deleted with the syllable.

All the four kinds of elision are perceived in Ìfite Ọgwarị speech community only ; Consonant, vowel and syllable elisions are witnessed in Awkuzu and Ogbunike- and Anàkụ speech communities; while in Àgụ̀lerì , Ìkem, Ǹ̀èjè, Umùòluḿ, Ụmụ̀mboō and À nà̀ speech communities, we saw consonant and syllable elisions ; and finally, we noticed only consonant elision in our Ǹsugbè data.

Syllable elision can be analysed using the AP model. This will enable the issue of tone to also be handled. The analysis is as follows:

Fig. 5.29 Autosegmental phonology account of syllable elision


In the analysis in figure 5.29, the tone of the second syllable docks to the next tone-bearing unit after the elision of the syllable. Again, in line with OCP of AP, identical elements are realised as one.

Government phonology theory also accounts for syllable elision. This is illustrated below:

Fig. 5.30 Government phonology account of syllable elision


Government phonology analysis of syllable elision in figure 5.30 shows that O 2 governs N 2 and O1 and have them deleted. However, it first governs N2 which is at adjacency position with it, while O1 then projects to the next level of P1 in order to occupy adjacency position with O2 where it can be governed.

From the foregoing, the generative phonology generated phonological rules and used them to account for elision. The rules are able to provide information which says that at certain environment, a sound or a sylable is deleted or elided. However, just as in other phonological processes and secondary articulatory features, generative phonology requires that a lot of rules would be generated in order to account for elision. The autosegmental phonology has provided a simple analysis of elision process using the association lines and circle around the elided sounds. The government phonology has equally provided a simple analysis of elision and has demonstrated the naturalness of the process. It is able to demonstrate that elision is a product of governor-governee relationship.

### 5.3.4 Metathesis

Metathesis as a phonological process involves the exchange of the positions of two sounds in speech. An instance of metathesis manifests in line 9 of the speech of Ìkem man 1 . This is presented below:

## 147. Line 9 òsipaka [òsipaka] 'rice’

The two segments that change positions in the above utterance are the $/ \mathrm{k} /$ and $/ \mathrm{p} /$. The word was pronounced /òsikapa/ by Ìkem people interviewed, except Ìkem man 1; as seen above. This can formerly be explained with P-rule as presented below:

Rule 52: P-rule analysis of metathesis in Omambala Igbo


It is only the generative phonology that has comfortably been used to account for metathesis. None of the other two theories could be used to explain the phenomenon.

### 5.3.5 Insertion

Insertion occurs in some of the speech communities investigated. It involves the syllabic nasal, vowel and consonant and its essence is for easy pronunciation of the words. Some of the data
presented by different scholars (including Emenanjo, 2015) under epenthesis can still be discussed under insertion. Consonant insertion is scanty among the speech communities. It occurs mostly at word initial position with affixation of syllabic nasal to a word. Examples can be seen from the table below:

Table 5.2 Consonant insertion in some speech communities of Ọmambāla

| Respondent | Lines | Canonical form | After insertion | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Awkuzu girl | 60. | nwa | [ $¢ \mathrm{y}{ }^{\text {waxa }}$ ] | child |
| Ogbunike man | 48\&65. | nwa | [ 9 ¢ ${ }^{\text {w }}$ a] | child |
| Àgụlerì woman | 65. | nwa àvụ | [ $\mathrm{y}^{\text {wa }}$ a àvv] | that baby |
| Àn m (Ụ́mụdọrà) man | 47. | nwa ànwa |  | that child |
| Ụmụmboō woman | 64. | ṅa yā |  | his/her baby |

From the data in table 5.2, it is clear that the inserted consonant is at word initial position and once inserted, it serves as syllabic nasal and carries tone. Insertion of vowel occurs at word medial position. The vowel that is inserted usually would have the same quality as the vowel adjacent to it. Instances of this are witnessed in the following speech communities:

Table 5.3: Vowel insertion in the speech communities of Ọmambāla

| Respondent | Lines | Canonical form | After insertion | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Àgụlerì girl | 42. | bèdị | [beèdì] | bed |
| Àa m Ụmụdọrà | 43. | ma nà | [mbaànà | thank you |
| Ǹtèjè man | 3. | vile jii | [vi l'éèdui] | village |
| Awkuzu woman | 43. | ọsịsọ | [ ${\text { SII }{ }^{\prime} \text { ISO] }}^{\text {a }}$ | immediately |
| Ogbunikeman | 34. | ǹdụfụu nwaànwà | [ǹdườn wãàn ${ }^{\text {wà }}$ ] | immediately |
| Umùòlum man | 44. | Jizộsị | [dji ${ }^{\text {² }}$ 'óssi] | Jesus |

There are perceived instances of vowel insertion in all the speech communities. It occurs at word medial and final positions. Examples are seen in line 43 of Àr m Ụmụdorà man's speech e .g. [mbâ rà̀] "thank you", in Ǹ̀tèjè man's speech in line 3 e.g. [vi ${ }^{\downarrow}$ 'éèdsì] "village". There is even insertion on the name of Ǹtèjè community at the medial position e .g. [ǹtèèjè]. Cases of insertion are also witnessed in line 34 of Ogbuniké man's speech e.g. [ǹdufúu ŋwaàn wà "immediately". Our data show that the inserted vowels are sometimes not realized at the same pitch with the vowel adjacent to them.

This can formerly be explained with P-rule. The P-rule analysis of insertion is presented below:

Rule 53. P-rule analysis of insertion in Ọmambala Igbo

$$
\varnothing \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \text { syll } \\
- \text { Con }
\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \text { syll } \\
- \text { Con }
\end{array}\right]-[+ \text { Con }] \quad \text { Ǹtèjè [ǹtèèjè] }
$$

Interpretation: This rule is interpreted to mean that a vowel is inserted between a vowel of the same quality and a consonant.

Insertion can also be explained using autosegmental phonology analysis. This is illustrated below:

Fig. 5.31 Autosegmental phonology account of insertion


In this analysis, column two shows that a new association line branches from the association line of the second syllable leading to introduction of another segment before the following consonant. Column three shows that the introduced segment is a vowel that has the same quality as vowel before it.

It is only the generative phonology and autosegmental phonology that could be used comfortably to account for insertion. The analysis using the autosegmental phonology could, however, be said to be simpler.

### 5.3.6 Vowel harmony rule violation and obedience

Igbo, the rule of vowel harmony provides that for the formation of simple words, the vowels must be from the same harmonic set. Our data show that there is VH rule violation at CVNCV, CVCVCV, CVCV, VCVCV and VCVCVCV syllable structures in some of the speech communities investigated where vowels of the two VH groups, [+ATR] and [-ATR], are combined. The violation is found in:
a. Àgụlerì woman's speech in lines

12 ègotawo [ègotawo], 30 sụ̄wo [ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ súvo], 66. kèdụ [kèd ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ U]
b. Àgụlerì girl's speech in lines

30 sụ̣wo [ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ súwo], 44 avele [avele], 52 jèlịị [jèìr], 65 kèdụ? [kèd ${ }^{\text {wo }}$ ?]
c. Ìkem man 1's speech in lines

9 ó sipaka[ $^{\downarrow}$ ósipaka], 20 kelị [ $\mathrm{kel}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{I}$ ], 25 èbugelị [èbugel ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}{ }_{\mathrm{I}}$ ],
 46 ebuga [èbuga],
d. Ǹsugbè man 2's speech in lines

26. èmezị [èmezı]
e. Àn m (Ụmụzịàm) man’s speech in lines

7 kèrị? [kèrı], 50 kpòtelụ mTkpòtelu ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ḿ ], 52 jèlụ [Jjèl ${ }^{\text {wive }}$ ],
f. Anàkụ man's speech in lines

32. mēlị [ ${ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{m}$ élı], 51 nụgo [nugo]
g. Anàkụ̀ woman's speech in lines

53. kpòtelụ [kpòtelu]
h. Anàkụ youth's speech in lines
2. ṅērọ [ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ nérə〕], 5 àkwagodu [àkwagod ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{u}$ ], 14 gbagide [gbagide],
i. Umùòlum man's speech in lines

j. Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech in lines

6 jèlị [ ḑèìr], 48 -ànụlide [àyolide],
k. Ǹtè̀jè man's speech in lines

6 àbụlụgwokwo [àbulugwokwa], 6 ābọūdo [ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ábu ${ }^{\downarrow}$ údo],
7 afụ̣ozikwu [a ${ }^{\downarrow} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{w}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Jozikwu}$ ], 20 dechasịa [detfas ${ }^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{aa}$ ], 20 tesịa [tes ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\text {aa] }}$

1. Ǹtèjè girl's speech in lines

10 èdunata [èdunãtad, 42 àsuggwo [àsugwo], 55 àlarụgwo [àlarugwo],
m. Awkuzu boy's speech in lines

n. Ogbunike man's speech in lines

18 ējerọ[ $\downarrow$ édzero], 30 sụ̆gwo [ $\downarrow$ súgswo], 43 ànabàgwo [ànảbàgwo], 49 mụury e [mừje~], 66 kèdụ [kèdv]

The above are examples of violation in single words. This violation is observed to have occurred in words with expanded verb roots. It is discovered that assimilation (including regressive, progressive and coalescent) leads to VH rule violation in some cases. Instances of this are noticed in lines 17 \& 18 of Nsugbe man 2's speech where regressive assimilation makes the vowels not to agree in harmony.
149. Nsugbe man 2's speech in lines
17. ànyị agā-ejerọ [à ${ }^{j}$ a $a^{\downarrow}$ gé edjero] 'we will not go'
18. bịaebe à [ $b^{\text {̀re eba à }] ~ ' c o m e ~ h e r e ' ~}$

VH rule violation caused by assimilation is also witnessed in the following speech communities:
150 a. Àra m̀ (Ụmụzịàm) man's speech in lines
4 jèvè̀e avia [djèvàà avia], 13 àgwa òbòro [àgwo òbòrò ], 24 nsògbu anyị [nsògb ${ }^{\text {w }}$ a anı], 26 onye alā [ona a alá], 27 n’oge ụgànị [noogơ gànı], 28 égbugwo agwọ̄ [‘égbugwa ${ }^{\downarrow}$ gwo], 30 àbịa
 $\left.u^{\downarrow} k p e ́\right], 51 \& 62$ nà-èje aakwọ [nè èḑa a akwo], 58 nà-èli ụjụ̆ [nè èl ${ }^{j} \cup v^{\downarrow}$ djúv], 67 onye Ụmụzịam Àn $\mathfrak{m}$ [onv umùzzam ànam $]$
b. Umùòlum man's speech in lines
 35 wète ya[wètı $\downarrow$ á], 56 nnekwuavịa [nnekwa $a^{\downarrow} v^{\text {r }}$ a], 63 èje akwụkwọ [èḑa akwo].
c. Awkuzu woman's speech in lines

2 àkọ obelē [àko obe ${ }^{\downarrow}$ lé], 5 ego ọ̀rà [egoう rà], 11 ebe àvụ [eba àvu], 13 ā dọọo ebee[adeè ebeè]
d. Awkuzu boy's speech in lines

3 ebe àvụ [eba àvv], 7 jèbèè avia [ḑèbàà avia], 15 àgwa òbòdò [àgwo òbòdò], 31 èvè akwụkwọ [èvà akwokwo], 37 onye àvụ [onã àvo], 38 yà-àbịa echī [ jà àb ${ }^{j}$ ee $e^{\downarrow}{ }^{\downarrow} f^{\prime}$ ], 43 evele àvụ [evela àvo], 58 nà-èje akwụkwọ [nè̀ èḑa avkwo], 60 nà-èbe akwā [nề èba dkwá]
e. Ǹtèjè man's speech in lines

6 àbụlụgwokwo ābọūdo [àbulugwokwa لábu لúdo], 8 ūdo ọ̣fụma ['údっう fưmã], 9 n’ivẹ่ [nĩivi i], 17 oge à [o ${ }^{\downarrow}$ gá à $]$
f. Ǹtèjè girl's speech in lines
 igwe ānyị [igwa ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ánĩ], 28 òbòdo ānyị [òbòdałánĩ], 40 onye alā [ofã a a lá], 41 ēgbugwo agwọ ['égbugwa agwo], 49 yà-àja echī [jà àdze e ${ }^{\downarrow}$ tfí], 57 evele àvụ [evela àvv]

## g. Ogbunikē man's speech in lines


 52 nà-èje akwụkwọ [nè̀ èḑa avkwo], 58 òbòdò afụ’ [òbòdàaà],
h. Anàkụ man's speech in lines

1 avā Ìgbò $m \quad\left[a^{\downarrow} v i ́ ~ i ̀ g b o ̀ ~ m\right], ~ 2 ~ o n y e ~ A n a ̀ k u ̣ ~[o n a ~ a n a ̀ k u ̀], ~ 5 . ~ u ̀ s o ̀ l o ̀ ~ A n a ̀ k u ̣ ~[u ̀ s o ̀ l a ̀ ~ a n a ̀ k v], ~ 7 ~ e l e ~$





i. Anàkụ̀ woman's speech in lines
 [jìbi ìgilì ], 30 àyị ètigbuwo agwọ [àje etigbuwa agwo], 39 gà-àbịa echī [gà à $b^{j}$ ee $e^{\downarrow}{ }^{\downarrow}$ fí], 40
 ùtùkpè [Jku ùtùkpè], 54 ọlụ ugbō [Jlu u'gbó], 59 òbòdo à [òbòdaà], 63nà-èje akwụkwọ [nè èđ̧a avkwo]
j. Anàkụ youth's speech in lines
 nà onye àvụ [nò ona àvo], 16 kụrụ egwu [korwe egwu]
k. Ìfite Ogwarị man's speech in lines

37 n'oge ụgànị̣ [nơ ơgúvò gàǹ̀ ], 40. òku ụla [òkuula], 47. kà-àbịa echī [kà àb ${ }^{j}$ ee $e^{\downarrow}$ ffí], 56 nà-èli


l. Ụmụmboō woman's speech in lines

15 ebe à [eba à], 30 èvè akwọā [èvà $a k w_{1}{ }^{\downarrow}$ á], 37 ga-abịa echī [ga ab ${ }^{j} e^{\downarrow}{ }^{\downarrow}$ fíl], 47 nà-èri arị [nè è er ${ }^{j} a$ arì], 52 nà-èje akwọ [nè èḑa akwo], 55 n’ebe ānyị [ne eba لánı], 59 òbòroānyị [òbòra لánı]
m. Àgụlerì woman's speech in lines

7 ibe ānyị[iba ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ápı], 14, 58 \& 59 òbòdo ānyị [òbòda`ánı], 29 égbuwo agwọ [ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ égbuwa agwo], 38 yà-àbịa echī [jà àbjee e ${ }^{\downarrow}$ tyí], 47 nà-èri azụ̣ [nè èr ${ }^{j}$ a azù], 52 èje akwụkwọ [èḑa avkwo], 54 ọlụ ubì [Jlu ubì], 55 nnekwu avịāā [nnekwaa ${ }^{\downarrow}$ víłá],
n. Àgụlerì girl's speech in lines

9 ebe ịhị ? [ebı ıbơ ?], 14 yà-àgwa òbòdò [jà agwo òbòdò ], 29 va égbuwo agwọ [ve لégbuwa agwo], 38 yà-àbịa echī [va jà à $b^{j} e^{\downarrow}{ }^{\downarrow}$ fíl], 39 ama òbòdò [amo òbòdò], 46 nà-èli azụ [ nè è ${ }^{j}{ }^{j}$ a azù], 51 èje akwụkwọ [èḑa a okwo], 54 nnekwu avịā [nnekwa $a^{\downarrow}$ víĺá], 57 \& 58 òbòdo ānỵ̣ [òbòdaلánı]
o. Ìkem man 1's speech in lines

2 bụ̆rọ ive ... sụba oyibo ['búri ive ... subo ojìbo ], 6 zụba òkwòkwòlikwō [zubo òkwòkwòli'kwó], 8 mgbèdè anàsịi [mgbèdà anàsı ], 10 gote ụmụ ive, ...na-èle [gote umì ive, ...ne èle], 11. ọnụ egō a yàvà èle yā [Jne e ${ }^{\downarrow}$ gá $a$ jàvè èli لé], 11 ego àyọlọ̣ [ega àyòlò], 13 ụn ụ ulùulu ụmụ̀ òkolobià [umu ulùulu umò òkolobia], 14 àrị ibe ya [àrì ibì e], 20 onye ọ [oñ Ј], 22


 evù]

Where a word originally violates the VH rule, assimilation sometimes leads to obedience to the VH rule. Instances of this occurrence are witnessed in the speech communities studied. Examples are seen in:

151 a. Àgụ̀lerì woman's speech in lines 30 sụ̂wo àvè [ ${ }^{\text {s'úwa àvè̀], } 66 \text { kèdụ ive ị̣ [kèdi ivi I] }}$
b. Àgụlerì girl's speech in lines 30 sụ̄wo àvè ['súwa àvè], 52 jè̀ị ikūte [jèlì i' ${ }^{\downarrow}$ kúte]
c. Ìkem man 1's speech in lines

d. Àn m (Ụmụzịàm) man's speech in lines
 17 yā-ējerḍ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ jé ${ }^{~}$ éducro], 26 nà-ème [nè ème]
e. Ǹ̀tejè man's speech in line 20 e.g. tesịa uyē [tes ${ }^{j}$ unu $^{\downarrow}{ }^{\downarrow}$ jé]
f. Ǹtè̀jè girl's speech, lines 42 àsụgwo akwà [àsugwa akwà], 55 àlarụgwo ụlā [àlarugwo $0^{\downarrow}$ lá],

h. Ogbunike man's speech in lines 30 sụ̆gwo àfè akwụkwọ [ $\downarrow$ súgwa àfè], 30 àfè akwụkwọ [àfà akwukwo], 43 ànabàgwo ụ̂la [ànabàgwo 'óla], 49 mụny e ọkụ [mờñ̃ Jkv], 66 kèdụ ife [kèdi ife]
i. Anàkụ man's speech in line 5 nèlụu ùsòlò [nèlù ùsòlò]
j. Anàkụ̀ woman's speech, lines 7 jèlị ubì [ḑè̀lù ubì], 51 mụng e ọkụ [mưñ sku]
m. Anàkụ youth’s speech, lines 2 ñērọ ego [ $\downarrow$ yére ego], 14 gbagide akwā [gbagida akwá]
n. Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech in lines 6 jèlị ubỉ [ ḑèlu ubì], 48 ńụlide alatàyolida a ${ }^{\downarrow}$ lá]

The Igbo SI morphemes na whether as a preposition and as an auxiliary verb, the future morphemes ga-, ya-, ka- and ma-, and the third person pronoun ha/va agree in harmony with initial vowel of the second word.

152 a. Àr m (Ụmụzịàm) man's speech in lines:


b. Umùòlumº man's speech in line29 n'ogè agụ̣̣̆ [nõogà agv ${ }^{1}$ ©],
c. Awkuzu woman's speech in lines:

4 yà-ème [jè èmẽ], 6 nà-èyesi [ñe èjesi], 7 yà-ègo [jè ègo], 8 yà-èinye [jè ètii]
d. Awkuzu boy's speech in lines:

38 yà-àbịa echī [ jà àb ${ }^{j}$ ee e $e^{\downarrow}$ ffí], 58 nà-èje akwụkwọ [nè̀ èdja avkwo], 60 nà-èbe akwā [nề èba $a^{\downarrow} k w a ́$ ]
e. Ǹtèjè man's speech in lines 9 n'ive ị [nĩivi i], 13 yà-èbu [jè èbu], 26 na-ète [nẽ ète]
f. Ǹtèjè girl's speech in lines:

1 nà-èje [ñe èḑe], 7 yà-èbutekwu [jè èbutek ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} u$ ], 14 n'ime ụmụnnē [nĩimũ omờn néf, 24 nà-èwu [ñe èwu], 49 yà-àja echī [jà àçe e ${ }^{\text {l }}$ fí]

## g. Ogbunike man's speech in lines

 [fe egbugwo], 38 yà-àbịa echi[jà àb ${ }^{j}$ ee $e^{\downarrow}$ لfí], 52 nà-èje akwụkwọ[nề èdza avkwo]
h. Anàkụ̀ man's speech in lines:

 32 nà unù [ñu unü], 40 n'ibe āyị! [niiba 'áji], 41 gà-edu [gè edu], 59 kà unū nàème [kù $u{ }^{\downarrow}$ nứ nè ème], 64. nà ele Anàkụ [nè ela anàku]
i. Anàkụ woman's speech in lines:
 63 nà-èje [nè èdze]
j. Anàkụ youth's speech in lines:

13 na-èti ...na-esō yā[ne èti...ne e ${ }^{\downarrow}$ sí ${ }^{\text {'é], }} 16$ nà onye àvụ [nõ onã àvo]
k. Ìfite Ogwarị man's speech in lines:

6 na one kà $I$ [nõ onẽ kìı ], 20 kà èti [kè èti], 36 nà-ème [ñe èmẽ], 37 n'oge ụgànị
 [nẽ̀ èḑa akwo], 72 nà-èje ụḷ̣̀ ta [nẽ̀ èḑu ưkùta

1. Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech in lines:

10 nà-èwu [ñe èwu], 11 gà-èti [gè èti], 13 nà-èli [ñe èli], 37 ga-abịa echī [ga ab ${ }^{j} e^{{ }^{\downarrow} \text { dfí], }}$ 47 nà-èri arị [nè èr ${ }^{j}$ a arì], 52 nà-èje akwọ [nè èḑa akwo], 55 n'ebe annyị [ne eba لánı], 65 kà ị [k̇ı]
m. Àgụlerì woman's speech in lines:

47 nà-èri azụ̣[nè èr ${ }^{j}$ a azù], 53 kà o [kò o]
n. Àgụlerì girl's speech in lines:

14 yà-àgwa òbòdò [jà agwo òbòdò], 15 kà ị [k̇ı ], 29 va égbuwo agwọ [ve لégbuwa agwo], 38 yà-àbịa echī [va jà àb ${ }^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{e}^{\downarrow} \mathrm{t}$ fí], 46 nà-èli azụ̂[ nè èl ${ }^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}$ azư]
o. Ìkem man 1's speech in lines:

1. kà ị yà-ejì [kì I jè eḑì ], 3 na-ebido [ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ né ebido], 8 va ekposieli [ve èkposieli], 24 nà-ème [nè ème], 25 na-ègbu [ne ègbu], 25 na-èbugelị [ne èbugelíi], 26 nà o [nò o],

27 na-èkwu [ne èkwu], 30 kà ị yà-èbu [k̀ ı jè èbu], 32 nā-enwē rọ ive [ ${ }^{\downarrow}$ né ${ }^{\downarrow}$ Øywéri ive], 34 nà i bùgèèlị [nì i bùgèèlı̀] 36 yā-ē so ya [ $\downarrow$ jé ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ési e], 46 yà-èbuga ive [jè èbugi ive], 49 nà ọgọ ya [nכ̉ gía ], 50 kà ọ gà-èmekpu yā [kうכ gè èmekpi 光],

The above instances of vowel harmony violation and obedience, with exception of the first, can be explained with the same analysis done on regressive assimilation using generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology theories. What this suggests is that vowel harmony violation and obiedience in speech most cases occur as a result of regressive assimilation.

### 5.3.7 Tone issues in Omambala varieties

Tone has extensively been discussed in Igbo literature. Therefore, this study will not dwell so much on tone. However, it is good to note that three tones exist in all the speech communities studied and they are phonemic: high tone, low tone and down step tone. There are sequences of high high, high low, high down-step, low low and low high tones. There is no low down-step sequence and, just as in SI, down-step tone does not begin a word @̣mambala except in associative construction e.g. Àgụlerì girl's speech in line 29 va égbuwo agwo [ve لégbuwa agwo]. Some observations on tone from analysis of our data shall be highlighted as follows:
i. Role of tone in labialisation and palatalisation: Analysis of our data reveals that tone plays important role in determining whether a high vowel would assimilate features of vowel following it after causing a preceding consonant to be labialised or palatalised. When a high back rounded vowel and the vowel coming after it do not have the same tone, the high vowel ' $u / u ̣$ ' does not assimilate the vowel following it after making the preceding consonant to be

 rounded vowel and the vowel following it have the same quality of tone as in Anàkụ man's speech in lines 14 tolue [tol ${ }^{\text {w }}$ ee], 16 yía [ḑ ${ }^{\text {waa], Umùolum }}{ }^{-}$man's speech in lines 18
 ègbuo [ègbw ${ }^{\text {oo }}$ ], Ìkem man 1 's speech in lines 19 ụmụàgbọ̣̣̣ [ $\mathrm{vm}^{\text {wà̀ à àgळذ̀], the high vowel }}$ easily assimilates the vowel next to it but that is after making the consonant sound before it to be labialised. Similarly, when a high front vowel and the vowel coming after do not have the same tone as in line 27 of Ìfìte Ọgwarị man's speech and line 19 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech e.g. bịa $\left.a b^{j}{ }^{j} a\right]$ ] "come", the high vowel 'ifị' does not assimilates to the vowe l following it after making the preceding consonant to be palatalised , but when the high front vowel and the vowel it precedes have the same tone as in line 16 of Ụmùòlum man's speech bịà [bíàà],
line 17, 41, 42, 44 \& 61 of Nsugbe man 1's speech àbịa [àb $b^{j} a a$ ], lines 16, 19 of Ìkem man 1's speech e.g. dọbasịa [dJbas ${ }^{j}$ aa], the high vowel easily assimilates to the vowel next to it after making the preceding consonant to be palatalised.
ii. Syllable elision leads to tone assimilation as witnessed in lines 51 of Ìfite Oggarị man's speech e.g. anị̆gị mụ [a ${ }^{\downarrow}$ ǵım ] "I do not", 36 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech ; awụ̀wọ̣ [àwう̀] "cunning" and line 37 of Àgụ̀lerì girl's speech; awụ̂wọ̀ [àẇ̀] "cunning".
iii. The tones combination in Igbo translation of the English word breast differs among the speech communities. Àgụlerì̀, Ǹssugbè, Ì̀kem, Àn ìn, Ǹtè̀jè, Awkuzu and Ogbunike- have a high high combination while Anàkụ, Ụmụ̀mboō, Ụmụ̀̀lum and Ìfite Ọgwarị have a high down-step combination e.g.
153.

## Àgụlerì group <br> ala

Anàkụ group
alā 'breast
iv. Tone gliding: There are instances of gliding tone in the data from the speech communities investigated. This occurs as a result of extra vowel of the same quality following a preceding vowel (Emenanjo, 2015:111) or a result of an orthographic convention involving graphological elision (Mbah and Mbah, 2010:119). Gliding tone as witnessed in the speech communities is not phonemic, but rather a phonetic feature. Tables below show examples of gliding tone from the speech communities investigated:

Gliding tone in Àgụlerì, Ìkem and Ǹsugbè speech communities

Table 5.4: Gliding tone in Àgụlerì speech community

| Respondent | Lines | Before glide | Glide | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Àgụlerì woman | 3. | neèvu | [nêvu] | there |
|  | 4. | nọọnwa | [nôywa] | here |
|  | 13,14,15. | taàni | [tânı] | today |
|  | 13. | ji ọvụụ |  | "new yam |
| Àgụlerì girl | 3. | neèvu | [nêvu] | there |
|  | 26. | duūru | [du ${ }^{\text {º́ru] }}$ | old |
|  | 42. | beèdị | [bêdi] | bed |
|  | 43. | deèje | [dêedse] | thank you |
|  | 55. | taànụnwà | [tânunwà] | today |

Table 5.5: Gliding tone in Ìkem speech community

| Respondent | Lines | Before glide | Glide | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| İkem man 1 | 7\&18. | ulùulu | [ulǔ lu] | very little |
|  | 27. | nọ̣ | [nô] | that it is |
|  | 30. | izù naasāà | [izù naa sáà] | it is one month |
|  | 33. | duùrù | [dû rư] | old person |
| Ìkem man 2 | 3. | neèvu | [nêvu] | there |
|  | 10\&47. | taànị | [tânı] | today |
|  | 12. | nọọnwa | [nôywa] | here |
|  | 53. | ninnọọ | [ŋyô ] | this one |

Table 5.6: Gliding tone in Ǹsugbè speech community

| Respondent | Lines | Before glide | After Glide | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ǹsugbè man 1 | 22\&34. | nweè | [ yw ê] | that |
|  | 18\&52. | wèe je | [wě d3e] | to go |
|  | 22\&34. | nweè | [ $\mathrm{ywê}$ ] | that |
|  | 22\&38. | ọsịgàreètì | [Jsıgàrêti] | cigarette |
|  | 26. | weèta | [wêta] | if you bring out |
|  | 28. | agbọo | [agbô] | young girl |
|  | 42. | kwaàta | [kwâti] | bring out |
|  | 61. | nwunyèe dī | [ywuně ${ }^{\text {dí] }}$ | co-wife |
| Ǹsugbè man 2 | 9. | èbee? | [èbe ${ }^{\text {lé?] }}$ | where |
|  | 12,13,54 | taàtà | [tâtà | today |
|  | 12. | ọvụụ | [ $\mathrm{vo}^{\text {º }}$ \%] | new |
|  | 16,47 | jèe | [CJě] | go |
|  | 22. | kpaà | [kpá] | no |
|  | 32, 34 | mèe | [me] | do |
|  | 31, 32 | katāà | [ka ${ }^{\text {\áàa }}$ | now |
|  | 55. | arọọnụnwa | [ ${ }^{\text {d'jȯononwa] }}$ | this year |

Gliding tone in speech communities of Anambra West LGA
Table 5.7: Gliding tone in Àn m speech community

| Respondent | Lines | Before glide | Glide | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Àa m (Ụựdọà man | 13,14,54. | taàtà | [tatà] | today |
|  | 17. | jèe | [ḑě] | go |
|  | 23. | kpaà | [kpá] | no |
|  | 26. | duùrù | [dû rù̀ | old person |
|  | 29. | agwọ nwaà. | [agwo ywâ] | the snake |
|  | 32, 33. | ǹnịị | [ǹnî] | now |
|  | 43. | mbaànà | [mdâ rà] | thank you |
|  | 51. | mbọsị niīne | [mbosí ni ${ }^{\text {íńne] }}$ | everyday |
|  | 59. | ozu nweè | [ozu \ıwê] | the corpse |
| Àa m (ỤMụziàm) Man | 12,54\&55. | taàta | [tata] | today |
|  | 25. | duùrù | [ $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{u}^{\text {r }}$ rè ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | old person |
|  | 29. | jèe | [d马e] | go |
|  | 31. | mèe nyā ǹnịị | [me ${ }^{\text {y }}$ ná ǹnî] | do it now |
|  | 43. | mbaànà | [ $\mathrm{mba}^{\wedge}$ ^à] | thank you |
|  | 51. | niīne | [ni ${ }^{\text {ríne }}$ ] | all |
|  | 56. | arụ nwaà | [arờ ${ }^{\text {wa] }}$ ] | this year |

Gliding tone in Ǹ̀tèjè, Awkuzu and Ogbunike ${ }^{-}$speech communities
Table 5.8: Gliding tone in Ǹtèjè speech community

| Respondent | Lines | Before glide | Glide | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ṅtèjè man | 22\&26. | nwaànyà | [ $\mathrm{n}^{\text {wâanà }}$ | woman |
|  | 27. | taàni | [tânI] | today |
|  | 37. | osisiì | [ s $^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{is}^{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{1}$ ] | tree |
|  | 39. | duùrù | [dû rù] | old person |

Table 5.9: Gliding tone in in tone in Awkuzu speech community

| Respondent | Lines | Before glide | Glide | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Awkuzu woman |  | nīne | [níne] | all |
| Awkuzu man | 52. | taànụnwà | $[$ tâ noỳ à $]$ | today |

Table 5.10: Gliding tone in tone in Ogbunikē speech community

| Respondent | Lines | Before glide | Glide | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ogbunikē man | 14. | taànụ | $[$ tânъ $]$ | today |
|  | 15. | taàtà | $[$ tâtà $]$ | today |

Gliding tone in Anàkụ, Umùòlum, Ìfite Ọgwarị and Ụmụ̀mboō speech communities
Table 5.11: Gliding tone in tone in Anàkụ̀ speech community

| Respondent | Lines | Before glide | Glide | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anàkụm an | 61. | Ṭ̣̂i nụgo nwunyè | [î nugo y ${ }^{\text {w }}$ unè] | you have married |
| Anàkụ woman | 24. | kpaà | [kpá] | no |
|  | 27. | nnà m àbuolu duùrù |  | my father is old |
|  | 31. | ọọ chụwọ | [ô tjuwo] | s/he has washed |
|  | 32. | jèe | [ḑě] | go |
|  | 33,34\&35 | kàanàa | [kǎ nă] | now |
|  | 34\&35 | mèe | [me] | do |
|  | 36. | eleènì m jì gwa gị |  | as I said |
|  | 45. | àbọọlụ | [àbǒlu] | has gone to bed |
|  | 46. | deèje | [dêje] | thank you |
|  | 60. | aàpanatawọ | [âpanđawo] | they have brought |
|  | 61. | ǹnọọ | [ทŋ̣̂̀ ] | this one |
| Anàkụ youth | 12. | ò wèlụ wèe | [ò wèlu wě] | she/he will use it |
|  | 15. | siìsì | [sîisìi] | six |

Table 5.12: Gliding tone in tine in Ụmụòlum speech community

| Respondent | Lines | Before glide | Glide | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Umùòlumman | 4 \& 20. | nọọña | [nôna] | here |
|  | 36. | mèelụ | [melv] | do |
|  | 44 \& 63. | Nine | ni ${ }^{\text {'íne }}$ ] | all |
|  | 46. | Deèje | [dêdze] | thank you |
|  | 47. | jèe sàa | [duěsa] | go and wash |
|  | 27. | nọọ | [n̂̂] | that it is |

Table 5.13: Gliding tone in tone in Ìfite Oggarị̀ speech community

| Respondent | lines | Before glide | Glide | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ìfite Ọgwarị man | 13. | me nụụ | [mbe nự] | here |
|  | 14. | mbe e | [mbe ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ] | ıere |
|  | 31. | kpaà | [kpa] | no |
|  | 33. | osi nụụ | [osinǔ] | his tree |
|  | 39. | @̣ shụọ | [ô sh ${ }^{\text {w }}$ ] | s/he has washed |
|  | 40. | jèere | [ḑěre] | §o |

Table 5.14: Gliding tone in tone in Ụmụ̀mboō speech community

| Respondent | lines | Before glide | Glide | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Umụmboō <br> woman | 28. | kàanà | [kǎ nà] | now |
|  | 44. | deèje | [dế ḑe] | hank you |
|  | 56. | ǹtaà ǹa | [ǹtâ ya] | this day |

Gliding tone occurs the same way in all the speech communities investigated. It can be described as a tonological process resulting from two identical vowels occupying adjacency position at the underlying form without having the same pitch level as seen in line 13 of Ìfìte Ogwarị man's speech e.g. mbe nụụ [mb nự] 'there', lines 28 of Ụmụ̀mboō woman's speech e.g. kàanà [kǎ nā 'now', 56 ǹttà ña [ǹtâ ya] 'this day'. The gliding takes the form of falling tone [^] and rising tone [ ${ }^{\prime}$ ] and it is phonetic. This can be analysed using the AP model as follows:

Fig. 5.32 Autosegmental phonology analysis of gliding tone
Anam (Ụmụzịàm) man:
(a.) Segmental tier:

Tonal tier:



L H L L [ìm ^nà] 'thank you'

Ụmụmboō woman:
(b.) Segmental tier



L H L
[kă: nà] 'now'

Gliding tone in Igbo is better explained using autosegmental phonology analysis. The result of the analysis agrees with earlier view of Igwe (1975) that glides are phonetically motivated and that it
involves two vowels appearing contiguously either in the same word or in juxtaposed words where the second vowel, which constitutes a syllable, is either lower or higher than the one preceding it. It also aligns with Emenanjo's (2015) later submission that gliding tones, which occur in words in isolation, should be treated as two different pitch levels on two identical vowels, a submission that prompts his suggestion for a reanalysis of gliding tone in Igbo as an extra vowel of the same quality following the preceding vowel. It is a consequence of two independent tones being mapped to a single syllable as noted by Katamba (1993:157), Clark and Yallop (1999:406) and Oostendorp, (2005:5-7).

Gliding tone in Igbo could be explained using the autosegmental phonology principles only. None of the other two theories could be applied. This is a clear evidence that issues of tone are better explained using the autosegmental phonology.

## Chapter Six

## Summary, conclusion and recommendation

### 6.1 Summary of findings

This study discussed phonology of Ọmambāla varieties of the Igbo language. It specifically sought to identify and compare the phonemesOof mambala variants, explain and compare their phonological patterning of words, examine and compare their phonological processes and secondary articulation features and reclassifying the Ọmambala Igbo within the landscape of Igbo dialectology. Minimal pair/set analysis was used to identify the phonemes, while descriptive method was first used to discuss the phonological patterning of words, phonological processes and secondary articulation features, before the phonological processes and secondary articulation features were analysed using the generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology.

Prior to the study, it was noted that available literature shows that much has not been discussed on Igbo speech communities of Ọmambala area and that few studies on the phonological aspect of the speech varieties found in the area, such as Ikekeọnwụ (1987) and Nwaozuzu (2008), hold divergent views in their groupings of the speech communities. An example was cited that while Ikekeọnwụ (1987) groups the speech communities as satellites of the Inland West Dialect Cluster (IWDC), Nwaozuzu (2008) classifies them as primary dialects of the East Niger Group of Dialects (ENGD). It was also pointed out that Manfredi (1991:32) cited by Emenanjo (2015:5-7) captures the name $O$ mambāla in his classification, where he considers $O$ mambāla a name for a dialect of Northern cluster and which sub-divides into Ọka, Ọnị̀chà and Àgụlerì . It was noted that in this classification, Manfredi might have used Àgụ lerì to represent the Igbo speech communities of Omambala area. Also observed was that some of the past studies which discuss the phonemes of the speech communities of Omambala area are not detailed, while none of them discusses the phonological patterning of words as well as the phonological processes and secondary articulatory features of the Ọmambala Igbo, let alone identifying their differences and similarities.

The study has been able to identify the phonemes of the speech communities investigated as well as establish their differences and similarities. It reveals that the speech communities differ in their number of phonemes and that the differences are in the consonant phonemes as they all have the same vowels as the standard Igbo : Àgụlerì, Ǹsugbè, Ìkem, Awkuzu and Ǹtèjè speech communities have twenty -five consonants each ; Ogbunikē and Ìfite Ọgwarị each has twenty -six consonants; Ànam̀ and Ụmụ̀mboō have twenty -four consonants each; Anàkụ and Ụmụolum̄ speech
communities have a total of twenty-three consonants each. The consonant phonemes of the speech communities are:












The results of the analysis showed that the SI phonemes found common to all the speech
 w/. Among the speech communities studied, it is only Àgụlerì , Ǹsugbè, Ìkem, Ǹtèjè and Awkuzu speech communities that have the same quality and quantity of phonemes. The speech communities investigated differ in their number of consonant phonemes: Àgụlerì, Ìkem, Ǹsugbè, Ǹtèjè \& Awkuzu speech communities have 25 consonants excluding /f $\mathrm{f} \mathrm{J} /$; Ogbunikē and Ìfite Ọgwarị have 26 consonants, but while phonemes of Ogbunike speech community lack/h v/, those of Ìfite Ọgwarị exclude $/ \mathrm{y}$ g/; 24 consonant phonemes exist in Ànam and Ụmụ̀mboō , but they differ in that while Ànam does not have / f f fi /, Ụmụ̀mboō lacks /f z f $\gamma /$; Anàkụ̀ and Umùòlum ${ }^{-}$have twentythree consonants each without / $\mathrm{fz} \int \mathrm{f} \gamma /$.

The results of the analysis revealed some differences and similarities in the phonological patterning of words among the speech communities, which involves the retention or substitution of some of the SI phonemes in some speech communities. One common observation among the speech communities is the replacement of the /f/ and /h/ phonemes with /v/, except in Ogbunike and Ìfite Oggwarị. The speech communities in Ayamelum LGA, with the exception of Ìfite Ogwarị, would realize the Igbo phoneme $/ \mathrm{z} /$ as $[\mathrm{r}]$. The phoneme $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ in SI exists as a phoneme and occurs in any environment in Àgụlerì, İkem, Ǹsugbè, Àn m, Ǹtèjè, Awkuzu, Ogbunikē and Ìfite Ọ̀gwarị, but in Anàkụ̣, Ụmụ̀mboō and Ụmụòlum , when it occurs between unrounded vowels or after a
rounded vowel, it is realized as $\left[\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$, and between unrounded vowels or before an unrounded vowel, it is realized as [ $\mathrm{\eta}]$.

In the area of phonological processes and secondary articulation features, considerable differences and similarities have been recorded among the speech communities. For instance, consonant, vowel, syllabic nasal and syllable elisions were identified from the analysis, but not all of them are witnessed in each of the speech communities.

This study has also demonstrated that the generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology can be applied in explaining the phonological processes and secondary articulation features of the speech communities of Opmambala area. In most cases, the three theories were applied, while in some cases, one or two of them were used. For instance, the generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology were used to analyse labialisation, palatalisation, homorganic nasal assimilation, nasalisation, neutralisation, assimilation, elision and vowel harmony; lengthening and insertion were analysed using the generative phonology and autosegmental phonology; The generative phonology only was used to analyse metathesis; anf only the autosegmental phonology was used to analyse gliding tone. Notwithstanding that the three theories could be used in most cases to analyse the phonological processes and secondary articulation features, the autosegmental phonology and government phonology could be said to have simplicity of application; The generative phonology requires generating many rules and the rules undergoing a lot of transformations in order to explain certain phenomena. In addition, for better results on analysis involving issue of tone, the autosegmental phonology and government phonology are considered more suitable. For example, in analyzing labialisation and palatalisation where it is discovered that tone plays important role in determining whether a high vowel would assimilate features of the following vowel after causing the preceding consonant to be labialised or palatalised, as the case may be, the autosegmental phonology and government phonology are more suitable.

From the results of the analysis and on the basis of the major differences and similarities discovered among the speech communities, the Igbo varieties of Omambala are reclassified as Omambala Main Dialect of the East Niger Group of Dialects of Nwaozuzu's (2008) or the Onitsha Main Dialect of the Inland West Dialect Cluster of hanewẹ (1987) . There are two major features of this dialect. The first one is the replacement of $/ \mathrm{f} /$ and $/ \mathrm{h} /$ phonemes with $/ \mathrm{v} /$, except in Ogbunikē and Ìfite Ogwarị̀; Ogbunikē and Ìfite Ọgwarị have /f/, while /h/ exists in Ìfite Ọgwarị only. The second feature is the elision of second syllable of a VCVCV structure. Within this
dialect are two sub-dialects: Otuocha and Ayamelum; each having its characteristics. For example, in Otuocha sub-dialect, there is lack of $/ \mathrm{f} \int \mathrm{h} /$ phonemes found in SI and the use of $/ \mathrm{n} /$ instead of /j/ e.g. anyị 'we/our'. Within the Otuocha sub-dialect are Àgụlerì, Ànam and Ogbunikē; In Àgụlerì and Ogbuniké, there is the presence of the voiced velar fricative / $\gamma /$, while Ànam replaces it with $/ \mathrm{v} /$. The major difference between Àgụlerì and Ogbunikē is that the former lac ks /f $\int /$ phonemes existing in the latter; Ogbunike does not have the /v/ phoneme. Ànam also elides consonant of the second syllable of VCVCV structure followed by progressive assimilation and have the tendency of multiple elision in lexicalised words e.g. Ụmù ezè Ànam which is lexicalised as Ụmūezè̀̀nam̀m becomes pronounced as Ụmùziàm with the elision of first and second $e$ in ezè, first $a$ and consonant of the second syllable in Ànam̀; Umù ndịọ rà lexicalized as Unuùndịọrà is pronounced as Ụmùdọrà with the syllabic nasal and vowel of the second syllable in ndị being elided. For Ayamelụm, there is a complete absence of the S.I. phoneme $/ \mathrm{\gamma} /$ which is usually replaced with $/ \mathrm{j} /$; second syllable of VCVCV word structure is elided except where the derived form has another meaning. Within the Ayamelum dialect are Anàkụ and Ìf ìte Oggarị̀. In Anàkụ, the SI phoneme /z/ is usually replaced with $/ \mathrm{r} /$, there are the S.I phonemes $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$, but $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{w}} /$ occurs between rounded vowels or after a rounded vowel, while $/ \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{is}$ found between unrounded vowels or before an unrounded vowel; Ìfite Ọgwarị is the only speech community that has / $\mathrm{h} /$, it does not have the S.I phoneme $\dagger \mathrm{h} /$, and this is the only place syllabic nasal elision is discovered. The tree diagram structure of Omambala dialect is presented as follows:

Fig. 6.1 A tree diagram structure of Ọmambala dialect


Nwaozuzu (2008) does not provide a schematic representation of Igbo dialects unlike Ikek@̣nụ (1987). This study, therefore, repositions themambala Igbo within Igbo dialectology using Ikekeọnwụ's (1987) schematic representation, thus:

Fig. 6.2 A repositioning of Ọmambala dialect within the Inland West Igbo of Ikekeọnwụ (1987)


### 6.2 Conclusion

It has not been easy carrying out a study such as this. A lot of challenges were encountered some of which bothered on the difficult terrain of the area such that it was difficult plying the roads during raining reason. This study has actually done well for the people of Omambala area by discussing their phonology. This is because researchers have not really shown much interest in the area. At least, with this study, the phonology of the area has been brought to the limelight and other linguists and people who have not read much about the area will appreciate this work. This study has also demonstrated that the generative phonology, autosegmental phonology and government phonology can adequately explain the phonological processes and secondary articulation features of human language, having been applied in analyzing the phonological processes and secondary articulation features of the Igbo of Omambala people. This study has provided an initial platform for discussing the phonological processes and secondary articulation features of the speech varieties of OTmambala area.

### 6.3 Suggestions for further studies

The reclassification of Ọmambāla speech varieties is done based on their phonological differences and similarities. This study recommends that further studies should be conducted on the speech varieties on other levels of language description to ascertain whether their differences and similarities would align with our reclassification.

There are copious data on Omambala varieties which contrastive linguists can rely on in conducting a contrastive analysis of Ọmambala varieties and SI in order to help Ọmambā la students overcome the problems they might be encountering while studying SI as a school subject. It is strongly recommended that contrastive linguists should not let go of this material in solving Igbo learning problems of students fromỌmambala area. Dialectologists, lexicographers, teachers and translation experts should also avail themselves of this reference material in their bid to solve problems of the Igbo language studies.
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## List of respondents community by community and their ages

## Àgụlerì SC

Oliakụ Ugochukwu Okechi-Nweke (53 years)
Miss Nkeiru Nwafor (35 years)
Nnacheta Nwafor (49 years)

## Ǹsugbè SC

Mr. Obaneche Nnaemeka (68 years)
Chief Michael Ndubuisi Emoh (53 years)
Hon. Molokwu

## Ìkem SC

Chief Adili Ajaana (88 years)
Chief Iwegbunam Ekwemeze (Ichie Okeosisi) (80 years)
Chief Ndubueze Sam Chieji (Ichie Nwakaibeya) (57 years)

## Ànà̀ SC

Mr. Ikechukwu Obede Nnacho (42 years)
Mr. Nnaemeka Chukwuemeka (43 years)
Mr. Peter Okoye (43 years)
Ǹtèjè $\mathbf{S C}$
Chief Ikelie Ozike (80 years)
Hon. Ignatius Achebe (58 years)
Miss Anayo Igwekofu (48 years)
Awkuzu SC
Michael Nwaka (72 years)
Mrs. Nwaka (45 years)
Mr. Peter Nweke (37 years)
Ogbunike SC
Mr. Jerry Mozie (56 years)
Mr. H. A. Uwaezuoke (48 years)
Mrs. Azuka Uwaezuke (40 years)

## Anàkụ SC

Hon. Francis Nnacheta (52 years)
Mrs. Veronica Nnacheta (36 years)
Mrs. Philomena Okafor (40 years)
Ụmụòlumn SC
Mr.Charles Morah (58 years)
Mr. Christopher Morah (46 years)
Mr. Ekene Makwudo (45 years)

## Ìfite Ogwarị̣ SC

Mr. Obineche James Akal-karali (45 years)
Mr. Ignatius Ejike (Abu Ahmed) (53 years)

Ụmụmbō $\mathbf{S C}$
Mr. Samson Onwueze Odoh (40 years)
Mrs. Philomena Egwuatu (46 years)

Appendix II
Swadesh (1955) 100 wordlist

|  |  | SC1 | SC 2 | SC 3 | SC 4 | SC 5 | SC 6 | SC 7 | SC 8 | SC 9 | SC 10 | SC 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S/n | Gloss | Àgụlerì | Ìkem | Ǹsugbè | Ànà | Ǹtèjè | Awkuzu (Ọkưzụ) | Ogbunike | Anàkụ | Ìfite Ogwârị | Ưmụ̀mbō | Umùolum' |
| 1 | i/me | m̀mu | mmụ | mụ | mmụ | mmụ/ mụ | mmụnwa | mmụ | mmụ | mụ | mimụ | mmụ |
| 2 | you | ǹgị | ǹgi | gịnwà/ ǹgịnwà | ǹgi | ǹgị/ gị | ǹgị | ǹgị | ǹgị | gị | ǹgị | ǹgị |
| 3 | we | ànyị | ànyị | ànyị | ànyị | ànyị | ànyị | àyị | àyị | anyị | ànyị | ànyị |
| 4 | three | ito | itọ | itọ | itọ | itọ | ito | itọ | ito | itọ | itọ | itọ |
| 5 | four | inọ | inọ | inọ | inọ | inọ | inọ | inọ | inọ | inọ | inọ | ino |
| 6 | five | ise | ise | ise | ise | ise | ise | ise | ise | ìse | ìse | ise |
| 7 | child | ǹnwa | ǹnwa | ǹnwa | nnwa | nnwa | ǹnwa | nnwa | ṅa | nwa | ṅa | ṅa |
| 8 | navel | otùbò | otùbòlò | otùbòlò | otùbòlò | otùbò | Otùbòlò | otùbò | utùbòlò | otùbè | otùbò | utùbò |
| 9 | roast | rụo | orrưư | ọrụrụ ive | ọọrụ | ọrụự ive | rụa | rụa | ọrụrụ ive | ơrụ | ọrụ ive | rụọ |
| 10 | one | òvu | òvu | òvu | òvu | òvu | òvu | òfu | òwu | ǹnaā | ǹnaā | ǹna |
| 11 | two | jụ̣ a | iboọo | ibụ a | ibụo | jụ̣̂ | ibụ ọ | ibụ a | ịbọ | ịbụọ | ịbụọ | ịbọo |
| 12 | big | ǹ̀nekwū | nnekwu | nnokwu | ǹne | nnekwū | nnekwu | nnekwū | ǹnekwū | otì (human), ozilì ǹne (thing) | ǹne | ǹnekwū |
| 13 | long | ogorogo | ogonogo | ogorogo | ogorogo | ogonogo | ogonogo | ogonogo | ogonogo | ogonogo | ogonogo | ogonogo |
| 14 | small | obelē | nwa ọbelē/ ùluchī/ mp | mp | mp | obele/mp | obele ${ }^{-}$ | obele | obele/ mkpìlìkpì | ihe pele aka | mbe | mpe |
| 15 | woman | nwaànyà | nwaànyà | nwaànyị | nwaànyị | nwaànyà | nwaànyà | nwaànyị | ṅa ṅaànyà | nwa ndziòm | ṅa ṅaanyà | ṅaànyà |
| 16 | man | nwoke ${ }^{-}$ | nwokè | nwoke ${ }^{-}$ | nwoke ${ }^{-}$ | nwoke ${ }^{-}$ | nwoke ${ }^{-}$ | nwoke ${ }^{-}$ | ṅa nwokē | nwoke | ṅañokē | ña nwokè |
| 17 | person | mmadị | mmadị | mmadụ | mmarụ | mmadụ | mmadụ | mmadụ | mmadi | mmarị | mmadị | mmadị |
| 18 | fish | azụ | azị | azụ | azụ | azụ | azụ | azụ | arị | azị | arị | arị |
| 19 | bird | nnụṇi / ègwùlè | nnụṇ̀ | nnụṇ̣ | nnụṇ̣ | nnụṇ̣ | nnụṇ̣ | nnụṇ่ | nnụṇ̣ | nnụṇ̣ | ı̇̆ nụ lụ | ṅanụlụ |
| 20 | dog | àkwụ/ nkịtā | nkitā | nkitā | nkitā | nkitā | nkitā | nkịtā | nkịtā | ṅkịtā | ṅkịtā | nkịtā |
| 21 | goat | ewu | ewu | ewu | ewu | ewu | ewu | ewu | ewu | ewu | ewu | ewu |
| 22 | tree | oisi | osisi | ukwù oisi | oosi | osisi | osisi | osisi/oisi | osisi | ukwù oosi | oshi | oosi |
| 23 | seed | mkpụ ụ | mkpụ | mkpụ ụ | mkpụ प̣ | mkpụ̀ ụ | mkpụ | mkpụ ụ | mkpụlụ | mkpụ ụ | mkpụ प̣ | mkpụlụ |
| 24 | leaf | aụkwọ | akwụkwọ | akwụkwọ/ | aakwọ | akwụkwọ | abụba | abụbā | akwụkwọ | akwọ | akwọ | mkpalà |


|  |  |  |  | aụkwọ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | aakwọ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | root | mgbọlọgwụ | mgbọlọgw | mgbọlọgn <br> u | mgbọlọgw u | mlp ọlọgwụ | mp ọlọgwụ | mlp ọlọgwụ | mgbọlọgwụ | mgbọlọgwụ | mlp ọlọgwụ | mgbọlọgwụ |
| 26 | housefly | ijīji | ijīji | ijījī | iijìijiī | ijījī | ijīji |  | ijīji | ijīī | ìjī | ìijī |
| 27 | skin | aụkpọ | akpụkpo ārị | akpụkpọ <br> ā rụ | aakpọ ārụ | akpụkpọ ārụ | akpụkpọ ārụ | aụkpọ | akpụkpọ àrị | akpọ àzị | akpọ àrị | aakpọ àrị |
| 28 | meat | anụ | anụ | anụ | anụ | anụ | anụ | anụ | anụ | anụ | anụ | anụ |
| 29 | blood | mmee ${ }^{-}$ | mmee ${ }^{-}$ | mmee ${ }^{-}$ | mmee ${ }^{-}$ | mmee ${ }^{-}$ | mmee ${ }^{-}$ | mmee ${ }^{-}$ | mmee ${ }^{-}$ | mmee ${ }^{-}$ | mmèe- | mmee ${ }^{-}$ |
| 30 | bone | oụkpụ | ọkpụkpụ | ọkpụkpu | oọkpụ | ôkpụkpụ | ọkpụkpụ | ọkpụkpụ/ ọukpụ | ọkpụkpụ | ọkpụ | ôkpụ | ọọkụ |
| 31 | fat | àbụk̀ | àbụ̂à | àbụ̂à | àbà | àbụà | àbụ̂à | àbựà /àụk | àbụk̀ | àbà | àbà | ààbà |
| 32 | egg | àkwa | àkwa | àkwa | àkwa | àkwa | àkwa | àkwa | àkwa | àkwa | àkwa | àkwa |
| 33 | horn | ọdụ | ọdụ | ọdụ/ mp̀ | ọdụ/ mip | ọdụ/ mip | ọdụ/ mp̀ | ọdụ | ọdụ | ọdụ̀ | ọdụ | mp̀ |
| 34 | tail | ọdụdụ/ ọ̣ ḍ̣ | ọdụdụ anụ | ọdụdụ | ọdụdụ̀ | ọdụdụ | ọdụdụ | ọdụdụ/ọ̣ dị | ọdụdụ | ọḍ | ọạ | ọọdụ |
| 35 | feather | ugbènè | ugbènè | ugbènè | ugbènè | ugbènè | ugbènè | ugbènè | ugbè | ugbò | àabà | àabà |
| 36 | hair | ajị/agịgịị isī | ajị/agịgàlà isī | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ajij/ ntùtù } \\ & \text { isí } \end{aligned}$ | àgilị̣ga isī | ajị/ntùtù | aji | ajị/ntùtù isī | aji | ajị/ajàlà isī | ajàlà ishī | ajàlà isì |
| 37 | head | isi | isi | isi | isi | isi | isi | isi | isi | isi | ishi | ishi |
| 38 | ear | ntị | ntị | ntị | ntị | ntị | ntị | ntị | ntị | ntị | ntị | ntị |
| 39 | eye | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya | anya |
| 40 | nose | imi | imi | imi | imi | imi | imi | imi | imi | imi | imi | imi |
| 41 | grave | inì | inì | inì | inì | inì | inì | inì | inì | inì | inì | inì |
| 42 | mouth | ọnụ | ọnụ | ọnụ | ọnụ | ọnụ | ọnụ | ọnụ | onu | ọnụ | ọnụ | ọnụ |
| 43 | tooth | eze- | eze ${ }^{-}$ | eze- | eze- | eze- | ezè | eze- | ere- | ezē | ere- | erė |
| 44 | tongue | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile |
| 45 | nail | mbọ | mbọ | mbọ | mbọ | mbọ | mbọ | mbọ | mbọ | mvọ | mbọ | mbọ |
| 46 | leg | ọkpà | okpà | opkpà | opkpà | opkpà | opkpà | ọkpà/ụkwụ | opkpà | ọkpà | ọkpà | ọkpà |
| 47 | knee | ikpùlù ukwū | ikpùlù ukwū | ikpùlù ukwū | ikpèlè ụkwụ | ikpùlù ụkwụ | ikpùlù ụkwप̣̂ | ikpèlè ụkwụ | ịkpụlụ ụkwụ | ikpìlì ụkwụ | ịkpụlụ ụkwụ | îkpụlụ ụkwụ̂ |
| 48 | hand | aka | aka | aka | aka | aka | aka | aka | aka | aka | aka | aka |
| 49 | belly | afọ | avọ | avọ | avọ | avọ | avọ | afọ | avọ | ahọ | avọ | avọ |
| 50 | neck | onu | onu | onu | onu | onu | onu | onu | unu | onu | onu | onu |
| 51 | breast | ala | ala | ala | ala | ala | ala | ala | ala ${ }^{-}$ | alā | ala ${ }^{-}$ | alā |
| 52 | heart | obù | obù | obì | obì | obì | obì | obì | ubù | obù | obù | obì |
| 53 | swallow | ònino | ònino | ònino | òono | ònino | ònino | ònino/òino | ònino | òno | òono | òono |


| 54 | drink | nwụo | nwụo | làa | nwụo | nwụa | nwụa | ṇ a | nwụo | nwụọ | nwụo | nwụo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | eat | lìe | lìe nlī | lìe nlī | lìe | lìe nlī | lìe | lìe | lìe nī̄ | lìe | lìe ivē | lie |
| 56 | bite | tàa | tàa ive ${ }^{-}$ | tàa ive- | tàa | tàa ive- | tàa | tàa | tàa ivè | tàa | tàa ìveè | tàa |
| 57 | see | nèkaà/ nèenị |  | nèe anyā | nèe | nèkene/ nèe | nèe/nèkaà | nèkene/ nèenu | nèkene | nèeke | nèkeè | vụgodu |
| 58 | know | màlị ivē | imā ive | imā ive | imā ive | màlụ ịvē | màlị ivè | màa ịfē | ịmā ịve | ọma iye | ima ive | màlị |
| 59 | sleep | ula/ làva ụlā | ula | ula | ula | ula | ula | ụla/làlụ | ụla | ula | ula | ula |
| 60 | die | nwụrụ | nwụrụ | ọnwụ | nwụo | nwụo | nwụrụ | nwụa | nwụo | nwụrụ | nwụlụ | nwụo |
| 61 | kill | gbùo | gbùo | gbùe | gbùo | gbùo | gbùe | gbùe | gbùo | gbùe | gbùo | gbùo |
| 62 | bathe | wụo | wụo ārị | wụa ārụ | wụo | wụo | wụa | wụa | wụo àrị | wụ̣o āzị | wụa àrị | wụo |
| 63 | jump | mànie/ wụọ ǹtù | wùnyie enü | mànie/ wùnie enū | wùnyie enū | wụny ie/ mànyie | wùnyie enū | wụi e | wuvèlị | kpọo | mànyie | mànyie |
| 64 | walk/go | jève/pụva /pụ ru |  | jève | jève | jève | jève/jèe | jèbe/pụk | jève | jèbe | рụva | jève |
| 65 | come | bịa | bịa | bịa | bịa | jàa | bịa | bịa | bịa | bịa | bịa | bịa nọọña |
| 66 | lie down | diē ēdiè | diē ēdiè | dinē ànị | dine ànị | diē ēdiè | diē ēdiè | dine à àị | diī 1 diè | dịàlị àdịà | diēdiè | jeè dinè |
| 67 | blow | vụo | vụo | vụa | vụo | vụa | vụa | fụa | vụo | hụo | vụ̣ | vụo |
| 68 | give | nyie ${ }^{-}$ | nyie ${ }^{-}$ | nye yā | nye/ nyie- | nye/nyie ${ }^{-}$ | nye/ nyie- | nye | nyie ${ }^{-}$ | nye | nyie ${ }^{-1}$ | nyèe |
| 69 | say | kwùo | kwùo | kwùe | kwùo | kwùe | kwùe | kwùe | kwùo | kwùo | kwùbe | kwùo |
| 70 | sun | anwụ | anwụ ōkike | anwụ | anwụ | anwụ | anwụ | anwụ | anwụ | anwụ | anwụ | anwụ |
| 71 | moon | onwa | ọnwa | onwa | onye ìvè | onwa | ọnwa | onwa | ọñ | ọnwa | ọna | ọñ |
| 72 | star | mmụu onwa | kpakpaǹdò | kpakpaǹdò | kpakpaǹdò | kpakpaǹdò | kekeèrì | mmụ ọnwa | kpakpaǹdò | kpakpaǹzè | kpakpaǹdò | kpakpaǹdò |
| 73 | water | mmilī | mmilī | mmilī | mmilī | mmilī | mmilī | mmilī | mmilī | mmilī | mmilī | mmilī |
| 74 | steal | orī/ aka ntự ụ | orī | orī | orī | orī | orī | orī/zùe | urī | ozī | ụlu | ụlu |
| 75 | stone | mkpumè | mkpumè | mkpumè | mkpumè | òkwutē/ mkpumè | òkwutē/ mkpumè | òkwutē/ mkpumè | mkpumè | mkpumè <br> (large), <br> ìkpolo <br> (small) | mkpumè | mkpumè |
| 76 | sand | ajā | ajā | ajā | ajā | ajā | ajā | ajā | ajā | ajā | ajā | ajā |
| 77 | ground | Ȧnà | ànà | ànị | ànị | ànà | ànà | ànị | ànà | ànị | ànà | ànà |
| 78 | rope | elili | elili | elili | elili | elili | elili | elili | elili/ ụద̣ | eli/ụف̣ | eli | eeli |
| 79 | smoke | anwụ ụ | ànwụ ụ | ànwụ ụ | anw⿹̣̣lụ | anwụ ụ | anwụ ụ | anwụ ụ | anwụ ụ | anwụ ụ | anwụ ụ | anwụ ụ |
| 80 | fire | ọku | ọkụ | ọkụ | ọku | ọku | ọkụ | ọku | ọkụ | ọkụ | ọkụ | ọkụ |


| 81 | ashes | ntụ | ntụ | ntụ | ntụ | ntụ | ntụ | ntụ | ntụ | ntụ | ntụ | ntụ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | saliva | ọnụ mmīli | onu mmīli | ọnụ mmīli | ọnụ mmīli | onu mmīli | ọnụ mmīli | ọnụ mmīlī | ọnụ mmīli | ọnụ milī | ọnụ mmīlī | ọnụ mmīli |
| 83 | road | Ezi | ezi | ezi | uzò | ezi | ezi | ezi | iri | ezi | eri ọrọ | eri |
| 84 | hill/ <br> mountain | ugwu | ugwu | ugwu | ugwu | ugwu | ugwu | ugwu | ugwu | ugwu | ugwu | ugwu |
| 85 | red | mmee mmee | inmee <br> mmee | mmee mmee | mmee ${ }^{-}$ | mmee mmee | mmee-mº mee | mmee mmee | mmee ${ }^{-}$ | mme | mmē mme | mmee m me |
| 86 | give birth | mựọ nnwā | ọmụmụ nnwa | mụa nnwā | mưọ nnwā | mụa nnwā | mụa nnwā | mụa nwā | mụọ nṅā | mựọ nwā | mụọ | mụọ nṅā |
| 87 | bury | nìe | nìe | nìe | nìe | nìe | nìe | nìe | nìe | nìe | nìe | nìe |
| 88 | white | ocha | ôcha | ọcha | opcha | ọcha | opcha | ọcha | opcha | ôcha | ọcha | ọcha |
| 89 | black | Nji | ǹji | òji | òji | òji | òji | òji | ǹjì | òji | ojī | òji |
| 90 | night | añà sị | añ̀ sị | ùchichì | àasì | ànyasì | ànasì | anyàsịi/ ùchichì | àñasì | uùchì/aàsị | añà sị | anàsì |
| 91 | hot | ọkụ | ọkụ | ọkụ | ọkụ | ọkụ | ọkụ | ọkụ | ọkụ | ọkụ | Ọọrụ ọkụ | ọkụ |
| 92 | cold | oyī | oyī | oyī | oyī | oyī | oyī | oyī | oyī | oyī | oyī | oyī |
| 93 | full | òjuju/ òuju | òjuju | òjuju | òoju | òjuju | òjuju | òjuju/òuju | òjuju | òju | òoju | òoju |
| 94 | new | ọvụụ | ọvụụ | ọvụụ | ọvụụ | ọvụụ | ọvụụ | ọfụụ | ọvụ | ọhụ̂ | ọvụ | ọvụụ |
| 95 | good | mmā | mmā | mmā | mmā | mmā | mmā | mmā | mmā | mmā | ive ọma | mmā |
| 96 | fowl | ọkụkụ | ọkụkụ | ọkụkụ | ọḍạ | ọkụkụ | ọkụkụ | ọụụ | ọkụkụ | ọdụ | ọdụ | ọọkụ |
| 97 | dry(clothe) | jkpọ nkụ | ikppọ nkụ | ikpọ̀ nkụ | jikpọ̀ nkụ | ọkikọ | ọkịkọ | ikọ akọ | îkpọ nkụ | ikọ̄ akọ | ọopo | ơọkpọ nkụ |
| 98 | name | Avà | avà | avà | avà | avà | avà | afà | avà | ahà | avà | avà |
| 99 | market | avịa | avịa | avịa | avịa | avịa | avịa | afịa | avịa | ahịa | avịa | avịa |
| 100 | plate | Avele | evele | avele | àvele | evele | evele ${ }^{-}$ | àfele | evele | àhele | aveleje | avele |

## Appendix III

Reaercher-adapted 138 word list

|  |  | SC 1 | SC 2 | SC 3 | SC 5 | SC 7 | SC 8 | SC 9 | SC 10 | SC 11 | SC 12 | SC 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S/n | Gloss | Àgụlèrı̀ | İkem | Ṅsugbè | Ànam | Ṅtèjè | Awkuzu (Òkuzụ) | Ogbunike | Anàkụ | İfite Ogwârị | Ụmụmbō | Ụmụb um̄ |
| 1 | small | Mıe | mp | mp | ipeè/mp | ipe/mp | ipeiomp | ipe/mp | mp | ipe- | mp | ipe- |
| 2 | to cry | ikwā akwa | ikwa akwa | ikwā akwa | ikwā akwa | ikwā akwa | ibe ${ }^{-}$ | ibē akwa | ịkwā | ịkwā | ijkwā | ịkwā |
| 3 | flog | pịa | tìe | tiè | pịa | pịa | pịa | pịa | tìe | tìe | tìe | tìe |
| 4 | come | bịa | bịa | bịa | bịa | jàa | bịa | bịa | bịa | bịa | bịa | bịa |


| 5 | chewed | tàlị | tàlị | tàlị | tàlị | tàlị | tàlị | tàlụ | tàlị | tàlị | tàlụ | tàlụ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | fell | dàlị | dàlị | dàlị | dàlị | dàlị | dàlị | dàlụ | dàlị | dàlị | dàlụ | dàlụ |
| 7 | to chew | itā | itā ive | itā | itā | itā | itā | itā | itā | itā | itā | ọọtā |
| 8 | to warm (eg. Food) | Ndàkwa | isī kwe nli | idākwa | idā | idākwa | idākwa | ìdākwa | ịdākwa | ịdākwa | ịdā | ọodā ńlí |
| 9 | god | Chi | chi | chi | chi/Chiòkè | chi | chi | chi | iyī | shi | chi | chi |
| 10 | yam | Ji | ji | ji | ji | Ji | ji | ji | ji | ji | Ji | ji |
| 11 | to wait | iche ${ }^{-}$ | iche ${ }^{-}$ | iche ${ }^{-}$ | iche ${ }^{-}$ | iche ${ }^{-}$ | iche ${ }^{-}$ | iche ${ }^{-}$ | icheº | iche ${ }^{-}$ | iche- | iche ${ }^{-}$ |
| 12 | to go | ije- | ije- | ije- | ije- | ije- | ije- | ije(be) | ije ${ }^{-}$ | ije- | ije- | òoje |
| 13 | say | kwùo | kwùo | kwùe | kwùo | kwùe | kwùe | kwùe | kwùo | kwùo | kwùe | kwùo |
| 14 | dig | gwùo | gwùo | gwùe | gwùo | gwùe | gwùe | gwùe | gwùo | gwùo | gwùbe | gwùo |
| 15 | to say | ikwū | ikwū | ikwū | ikwū | ikwū | ikwū | ikwū | ikwū | ikwū | ikwū | òokwū |
| 16 | to dig (hole) | igwū | igwū | igwū | igwū | igwū | igwū | igwū | igwū | igwū | igwū | òogwū |
| 17 | bag | àkpà | àkpà | àkpà | àkpà | àkpà | àkpà | àkpà | àkpà | àkpà | àkpà | àkpà |
| 18 | jaw | àgbà | àgbà | àgbà | àgbà | àgbà | àgbà | àgbà | àgbà | àgbà | akpo- | àgbà |
| 19 | dribble | kpàa | gbèe | kpàa | kpàa | kpàa | kpàa | kpàa | kpàa | kpàa | kpàa | kpàa |
| 20 | run (race) | gbàa | gbàva | gbàa | gbàava | gbàa | gbàa | gbàa | gbàa | gbàa | gbàva | gbàa |
| 21 | know/slap | màa | màa | màa | màa | màa | màa | màa | màa | màa | màa | màa |
| 22 | go | jèe | jève | jève/nàva | jève/nàva/jèe | jève/nàva | jève/nàva | jèe/ jèbe/ <br> nàba | jève /nàva | jèe/nàa | jève /nàva | jève /nàva |
| 23 | to know | ìmā | imālị | imā | imālị/ịmā | imā | imā | ịmālụụ | ịmā | ịmālị | ịmā | ọọmā |
| 24 | to return | ināa | ināta | inā | innāta/ịnā | ināta | inā | inātaà | innāta | ināta | ināta | nnata |
| 25 | die | nwụrụ | nwụọ | nwụrụnụ | nwụọ/ nwụrụ | nwụa | nwụa | nwụa | nwụo | nwụọ | nwụo | nwụọ |
| 26 | defecate | nyụo | nyụo | nyụa | nyụọ | nyụa | nyụa | nyụa | nyụo | nyụọ | nyụo | nyụo |
| 27 | moon | onwa | onwa | onwa | onye ìvè | onwa | onna | onwa | ọña | ọnwa | ọña | ọña |
| 28 | wound | onya | ọnya | onya | onya | onya | onya | onya | ọnyịnya | ọnya | ọnya | ọnya |
| 29 | bee | anwụ | anwū | anwụ | anwụ/ mbìanwụ | anwụ | anwū | anwụ | anwū | anwū | anwu | anwu |
| 30 | lion | aḡ̣ | agụ | agụ | òdùmòdù | agụ. | agụ | ọdị m | agụ | agụ | agụ | agụ̂/ ọdị m |
| 31 | drink | nwụo | nwụo | nwụo | nwụo | nwụa | nwụa | nị a | nwưo | nwụọ/ nwụrụ | ¢̣̣̣ | nwụọ |
| 32 | read | gụ̣ọ | gụọ | gụa | gụọ | gụa | gụa | gụa | gụọ | gụọ | gụa | gụọ |
| 33 | penny | avụ | avụ | avụ | avụ | avọ | avọ | afụ | avọ | ahụ | avụ | avụ |
| 34 | armpit | abụ | abụ | abụ | abụ | abụ | abụ | abụ | abụ | avụ | abụ | abụ |
| 35 | wash | sàa/sụa | sàa/chụọ | sàa/sụa | sàa/sụọ | sàa/sụa | sàa/sụa | sàa/sụa | sàa/chụọ | sàa/chụ̂ọ | sàa/ | sàa/sàchaa |
| 36 | sweep | zàchaa | zàa | zàa | zàa | zàa | zàa | zàa | ràchaa | zàa | ràchaa | ràchaa |
| 37 | to draw | isè | isè ive | isè | isè | isè | isè | isè | isè | isè | isè | ọọsè |


| 38 | to avoid | izè | izè ive | izè | izè | izè | izè | izè | irè | izèeli | irè | òòrè ive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | weaver bird | arà | arà | arà | ègwùlò | arà | arà | arà | arịà | ahịà | arịà | arịà |
| 40 | name | avà | avà | avà | avà | avà | avà | afà | avà | ahà | avà | avà |
| 41 | bury | nìe | nìe | nìe | nìe | nie | nìe | nìe | nìe | nìe | nìe | nìe |
| 42 | eat | lìe | lìe nlī | lìe | lìe | lìe | lìe | lìe | lìe | lìe | lìe | lìe |
| 43 | to look | inē anya | ine ${ }^{-}$anya | ine | ine ${ }^{-}$ | ine ${ }^{-}$ | ine anya | inē anya | ine ${ }^{-}$ | ine ${ }^{-}$ | ọọne | ine/ọọne |
| 44 | to sell | ile ${ }^{-}$avịa | ile ive | ile ${ }^{-}$ | ile ${ }^{-}$ | ile ${ }^{-}$ | ile avịa | ile ife ifafịa | ile ${ }^{-}$ | ile ${ }^{-}$ | òole | òole |
| 45 | fry | yèe | ghèe | yèe | vèe | yèe | ghèe | yèe | yèe | yèe | yèe | yèe |
| 46 | avoid | zèe | zèelị | zèe | zèe/zèli | zèeli | zèe | zèe/zèelụ | rèe | zèeli | rèelị | rèe |
| 47 | disperse (seeds) | ighā | ighā | ịghā | iyā | jiyā/ịḹ̣ | ịghā | jyā | ịyā | ìyā | iyā | ọọyā/ọtà |
| 48 | to answer/swell | izā | ìzā | ịzā | ịzā/ ịzā òkù | izzā/ịzā òkù | izā | ịzā/ịzā òkù | ịrā | ịzā | ịrā | ịrā/ ọọra |
| 49 | skin peel | àwọlọ | àwọlọ | àwọlọ | àwọlọ | àwọlọ | àwọlọ | àwọlọ | àwọlọ | àwụlụ | ùwòlò | àwọlọ |
| 50 | form of money (old) | àyọlọ | àyọ | àyọlọ | anyaka/ego àyọlọ | àyọlọ | àyọlọ | àyọlọ | ego àyọ | ego ìsì | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ego o- kpu } \\ & \text { ime }^{-} \end{aligned}$ | àyọlọ |
| 51 | pluck/be <br> cunny | wọọ/wọta | wọo | wọta | wọọ/wọlụ/ nlavù | wọta | wọọ/wọta | wọọ/wọta | wọta | wọo | ghọta | wọta |
| 52 | plead | yọo | yọo | yọo | yọo | yọo | yọo | yọo | yọo | lịo | lịọ | yọo |
| 53 | tie (with rope) | kèe | kèe | kèe | kèe | kèe | kèe | kèe | kèe | kèe | kèdo | kèe |
| 54 | listen | gèe | gèe | gèe | gèe | gèe | gèe | ṅàa/gèe ntị | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { gèdo/gèe } \\ \text { ntị } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | gèe | gèe ntị | gèe ntị |
| 55 | be ripe | chàa | chàa | chàa | chàa | chàa | chàa | chàa | chàa | chàa | chàa | chàa |
| 56 | to cultivate | ilọ | ilọ | ilọ | igbūgwò | ikọ' | ikọ | ikộ ife | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ịlụộ ke / ịlọ̀ } \\ & \text { ugbō } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ilọ | ọọlụ ọlu | ộkọ ọlụ/ ìvèagụ |
| 57 | to become inlaw | igọog ọ | igọ | igọ | igọgg ọ | igọ | jgọ | igọg ọ | igọg | igọ | igọg o | ọgọg ọ |
| 58 | fall | dàa | dàa | dàa/dàgodi | dàa | dàa | dàa | dàa | dàa | dàa | dàa | dàa |
| 59 | give chance | pụva /pụrụ | pụta | pụa | pụọ/nyè evè/nye òvèlè | pụa/nyè evè | pụa | pụa/pụta/ nyè efè | pụo | fụọ | pụrụ n'eri | pụlụ nộvụ/ pụta |
| 60 | skin (of body) | aụkpọ ārụ | akpụkpọ ā rụ | akpụkpọ ā rụ | anụ ārụ/akpọ ārụ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { akpụkpọ } \\ & \text { à rụ } \end{aligned}$ | akpụkpọ ā rụ | akpụkpọ ārụ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { akpụkpo } \\ & \text { ā rụ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | akpọ āzị | akpọ àrị | akpọ àrị |
| 61 | inlaw | og 0 | g O | Og 0 | Og 0 | g o | Og 0 | og ó | g ọ | g 0 | g 0 | g 0 |
| 62 | hole | ọghēle | ọghēle | ọghēle | ovėle | oyèle | oghéle | oyēle | ntapu | oyēle | ntapu | oye ${ }^{\text {ldmpa }}$ |


| 63 | witch | amōōsu | amōōsu | amōōsu | $\mathrm{amo}^{-1} \mathrm{su}$ | amōōsu | amōōsū | amụn̄su | amōōsu | amōōsu | amụıs u | amōōsu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64 | friend | oyị | oyị/ ọkw ụ | oxị / ọkv ụ | ọkw ụ | ọỵ̂/ọkw ụ | ọyi/ọkw ụ | oyi | ọyi/opkw ụ | ọkw ụ | ọkv ụ | ọyí/ọkv ụ |
| 65 | intimate girl friend | ọkv ụ | ọkv ụ | agirị | agirị | agirị | oyị | oyi | ọyị/agịdị | agịdzị | ṅâbe | ṅaàbe |
| 66 | shit | ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹsị | ǹsị | nsi | ǹshị | nsị |
| 67 | shirt | àvè | èvè | àvè | àvè | èvè | èvè | èfè | èvè | àwùle | èvè | akụ |
| 68 | cloth/clothe | akwà | akwà | akwà | akwà | akwà | akwà | akwà | akụ | akụ | àbàda | akụ |
| 69 | to fry | ighe- | ighe- | ighe- | ivē | iye- | ighe- | iye- | iye ${ }^{-}$ | iyė | iye- | ọoye ${ }^{-}$ |
| 70 | war | agha | agha | agha | ogụ | aya | *aya | aya | aya/ ọgụ | aya | aya | aya |
| 71 | fly | vepụ/vèe | vèe | vèlụ | vèe ū ve | vèe | vèe | fèe | vèe | hèrị | vèlị | vèe |
| 72 | burrying | ònìnì | ònìnì | ini ive | òònì | ònìnì | ònìnì | ònìnì | inì | ònì | òònì | ònì |
| 73 | take/receive | wèlị/nàtịā | wèlụ | wèlị | wèlụ | wèlị | wèlị | wèlụ/nàlụ | wèlụ | wòlu | ǹ̀gaā | wòlu |
| 74 | carry | bùlu | bùlu | bùlu | bùlu | bùlu | bùlu | bùlu | bùlu | pàlị | pàlị | pàlị |
| 75 | pay | kwùo | kwụọ | kwụa | kwụọ | kwụa | kwụa | kwụa | kwụọ | kwụọ | kwụọ | kwụọ |
| 76 | sing | kwèe | kwèe | kwèe | kwèe | kwèe | kwèe | kwèe | kwèe | kwèe | kwèbe | kwèe/bụọ |
| 77 | enter | bàga | bàga | bànye | bàa | bàa | bàa | bàa/bànye | kpùlu | bàa | bàba | bài/bàba |
| 78 | go in | bàga | bànye | bàga | bàa n'ime | bàga | bànye | bànye | kpùlu | bàị | bàba | bàị |
| 79 | come in | bàta | bàta | bàta | bàta | bàta | bàta | bàta | kpùlu ụnọ | bàta | bàtaba | bàta |
| 80 | wrapper | ukwù akwà | ọkà là | àbàda | ọkà là/akwà | àbàda | ukwù akwà | àbàda/ukwù akwà | akụ | àbàra | àbàda | àbàda/obù akụ |
| 81 | to cut | ibébì | ibe- | ibe cha | ibè | ibè | ibe ${ }^{-}$ | ibè | ịbā/ ịbācha | ibè | ibè | òbè ive |
| 82 | lie | ǹ̀tu | ǹ̀tu | onye n̄tụ | ǹtụ | ǹtụ | ǹtụ ọṇ̄̄ | ǹ̀tu | ǹtụyalị | ụkā ǹṭ̣ | ǹtụyalị | mgle le |
| 83 | wear (clothe/dress | yìli | yìli | yìli | yìli | tụlụ | yìli | yìli | yìli | tụlụ | tụlụ èvè | yìli akụ |
| 84 | life | ndụ | ndụ | ndụ | ndụ | ndụ | ndụ | ndụ | ndụ | ndụ | ndụ | ndụ |
| 85 | leaves | aụkwọ | mbechele akwụkwọ | mkpalàmụ aụkwọ | mkpalịmụ aụkwọ | mkpalà akwụkwọ | abụba | abụba akwụkwọ | mkpalà aụkwọ | mkpalà aakwọ | mkpalà akwọ | mkpalà aakwo |
| 86 | death | ọnwụ | ọnwụ | ọnwụ | ọnwụ | ọnwụ | ọnwụ | ọnwụ | ọnwụ | ọnwụ | ọnwụ | ọnwụ |
| 87 | divination | ava | igbā ava | igbā ava | igbā ava | igbā ava | igbā ava | igbā afa | ịgbā ava | ọgbụgba aha | ọgbụgba ava | ọgba ava |
| 88 | development | mmeghe | mmeghe | mmeghe | mmeve | mmeghe | mmeghe | mmepe | mmepe | mmeye | mmeye | mmeye |
| 89 | joy | aṇ̀ lị | anwụlị | aṇ̂̀ lị | anwụlị | anwụlị | anwụlị | aṇ̣ lị | anwụlị | anwụlị | anwụlị | anwụlị |
| 90 | drinking | ọnwụnwụ | ọnwụnwụ | ọlịla/ịlā | ọnwụnwụ | ọnwụnwụ | ọnwụnwụ | ọnụñụ | ịnwụ | ọọnwụ | ọọnwụ | ọọnwụ |
| 91 | who | ònye | ònye | ònye | ònye | ònye | ònye | ònye | ònye | ònye | ọ bụe bụ ivenụ | ònye |


| 92 | scar | àpà | àpà | àpà | àpà | àpà | àpà | àpà | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { àpà/ọnyịny } \\ \text { a } \end{array}\right\| \end{aligned}$ | àpà | àpà | àpà |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93 | bush | ikpọ | ọvia | opvịa | ọvịa | ọvia | ọvia | offịa | ime ègbè | ọhịa | ọvịa | ọvịa |
| 94 | cow | evi | evi | evi | evi | evi | evi | efi | evi | ehi | evi | evi |
| 95 | tongue | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile | ile |
| 96 | dream | nlọ | nlo | nlo | nlo | nlọ | nlọ | nlo | ula | nlo | nlo | ula |
| 97 | crayfish | ira | ira | ira | ira | ira | ira | ifa | ira | ịhịa | +1ra | ịịa/kàràfishì |
| 98 | sheep | atụ प̣̣ | atụ ̣̣̣ | atụ प̣̣ | atụ ̣̣̣ | atụ | atụ | atụ ̣̣̣ | atụ प̣̂ | atụ ̣̣̣ | atụ ụ | atụ |
| 99 | chewing stik | atụ | atụ | atụ | atụ | atụ | atụ | atụ | osisi atụ | oshi | àkpụlà | atụ |
| 100 | comb | ǹra | ǹra | ǹra | ǹra | ǹra | ǹra | ìra | ǹracha | mvo | ǹracha | mbo |
| 101 | split | kèwaa | kèwee | kèwee | kèwee | kèwee | kèwaa | kèwaa | kèe | kèwee/tụjie | kèwaa | kèe |
| 102 | pleading | ayịyọ | ayịyo | ayịyo | aàyọ | ayịyo | ayịyo | ayịyọ | ayịyọ | aàlị̣ | aàrị̣ | ooprọo |
| 103 | sieve | nyyo | ǹyọ | nyyo | ǹyọ | ǹyọ | ǹyọ | nyyo | ǹyọ | nyyo | ǹyọ | ǹyọ |
| 104 | pig | ezì | ezì | ezì | ezì | ezì | ezì | ezì | erì | ezì | erì | erì |
|  | pursue | ichụ̂ọ̊ sọ | chụo | chụa | ọchụ | ọchụchụ | ichệọ sọ | ichụ̂ọ̊ sọ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ịchū } \\ & \text { mmadụu } \end{aligned}$ | ọ̣ ọ hụ | ọochụ | ọọchụ |
| 106 | again | ọzọ | ọẒ | ọzọ | ọzọ | ọọ | ozọ | ọzọ | orọ | oroo | ọd | Ọob |
| 107 | patience | ṅdididi | ṅdidid | ǹdidid | ǹdidid | ǹdidid | ǹdidì | ṅdidid | ǹdidì | ǹdzìdzì | ǹdidid | ǹdididi |
| 108 | python | eke ọgbà | eke | eke | eke | eke | eke ọgbà | eke ọgbà | eke ọgbà | eke | eke | eke |
| 109 | fly out | vepụ | vepụ | vèlị | vepụ | vepụ | vepụ | fepụ | ùve | hefụ | vepụ | vepụ |
| 110 | to worship | ivè òvùvè/ òvè | òvùvè nrù | òvùvè nrụ | òvìvè chukwu | òvìvè nrụ | òvùvè nrụ | ifè ò ôù̀̀̀/ òfùfè nrụ | ivè chukwu | òhè chukwu | òvè chukwu | òvè chukwu |
| 111 | suffering | avụvụ | itā āvụvụ | avụụ | avụvụ | avụvu | avụvu | afụ̧̣̂/aụfụ | itā avụvụ | aahụ | ọọta avụ | aavụ |
| 112 | yawning | ùghele ${ }^{-}$ | ughele ${ }^{-}$ | ughele ${ }^{-}$ | uvelē | uyele | ughele ${ }^{-1}$ | uyelē | uyele ${ }^{-}$ | uyeleº | uyele ${ }^{-}$ | uyelē |
| 113 | a lie | ǹtụ | onye ǹtụ | onye āsị | onye n̄tur | onye $\mathrm{n}^{-1} \mathrm{tu}$ | onye n̄tụ | ǹtụ | ǹtụ | ụkà/ìtụ | ǹtụyalị | mgle le |
| 114 | lizard | ǹgwèlè | ǹgwèlè | ǹgwèlè | ǹgwèlè | ǹgwèlè | ǹgwèlè | ǹgwèlè | ǹgwèlè | ǹgwèlè | ǹgwèlè | ǹgwèlè |
| 115 | afternoon | evìviò/ eìvì̀ | evìvie | eviviè | èeviè | eviviò | eviviò | efifiè/ eifiè | evìviò | eèhì̀ | eèviò | eèviò |
|  | authority symbol | ¢0 | -ọ | ¢00 | ¢00 | ọo | ọo | ọfọ | Ọo | ọ̣ọ | Ọo | ọo |
|  | raffia palm stalk | aọ lọ | aọ lọ | 90̣ 10 | aọ lọ | oọ lọ | oọ lọ | ¢̣ ọlọ | aọ ọo | ọhọlọ | -0̣ | Oọ lọ |
| 118 | blindness | isì | isì | isì | isì | isì | isì | isì | ìsì | ìsì | ìshì | ìsì |
| 119 | hat | òkpu | òkpu | òkpu | òkpu | òkpu | òkpu | òkpu | òkpu | òkpu | òkpu | òkpu |
| 120 | he goat | mkpi | mkpi | mkpi | mkpi | mkpi | mkpi | mkpi | mkpi | mkpi | mkpi | mkpi |


| 121 | do | mèe | mève | mève | mève | mève | mève | mèbe/mèe | mève ivenì | mèbe | mève | mève |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122 | knife | mmà ekwū | mmà ekwū | mmà ekwū | mmà ekwū | mmà ekwū | mmà ekwū | mmà ekwū | mmà ekwư | mmà ekwū | mmà nsìkili | mmà ekwū |
|  | to suck/bear fruit | mịa | ọmịmị | ọmịmị | ọmi | ọmịmị | mịa | mịa | ọmịmị | ịmị | ọmị | ọmị |
| 124 | accept | nàta/ kwèe | kwèe | kwèe | kwèe | kwèe | kwèli/kwèe | kwèlụ/nàlụ | kwèlị | kwèlị | kwèe | kwèe |
| 125 | joy | aṇ̣ lị | aṇ̣ lị | aṇ̣ lị | anwụlị | anwụlị | anwụlị | aṇ̣ lị | anwụlị | anwụlị | anwụlị | anwụlị |
| 126 | garden egg | ikà | ìkpùlu | ikà | jikà | añàlà | añàlà | àfụfā/añàlà | àvụvā/añàl à | àaha/ikà | àavā/añàlà | àavā/añàlà |
| 127 | monkey | ènwè | abịdị | ènwè | ènwè | ènwè | ènwè | ènwè | abịdị | abịdí /ènwè | abịdị | abịdị /èñò |
| 128 | temptation | ọnv ụnv à | ive ọkpịkpa | aọnv ụnv à | Ọnv ụnv à | ọnv ụnv à | ọnv ụnv à | onv ụnv à/ oụnv à | ọụñà | ọọnwà | ive ọọk | ọnwụñà |
| 129 | pepper | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè | osè |
| 130 | flute | ọjà | ọjà | ọjà | ọjà | ọjà | Ọjà | ọjà | Ọjà | Ọjà | ọsụ | Ơjà |
| 131 | another | ǹke ọzọ | ỌZ | ọzo | OZO | ỌẒ | ỌẒ | ọzọ/ǹke ọzọ | orọ | ọọ | ọob | ọob |
| 132 | wilderness | ọzàlà | ọvịa | ugboko ${ }^{-}$ | nnekwu ọvịa a sa | oke ọvịa | nnekwu ọvịa | oke ọfịa | ìvè agụ | okeọhịa | ìvè agụ | oke ọvị̂à |
| 133 | mushroom | elo ${ }^{-}$ | elo ${ }^{-}$ | elo ${ }^{-}$ | elo ${ }^{-}$ | eloº | elo ${ }^{-}$ | elo ${ }^{-}$ | elo ${ }^{-}$ | eloº | ijàjà | eloº |
| 134 | sponge | ògbo/ aịsa | ògbo/ asịsa | ògbo/ asịsa | ògbo/ àasa | ògbo/ asịsa | ògbo/ asịsa | ògbo/aịsa | ògbo/asịsa | ògbo/aasa | ògbo/asa | ògbo/asa |
| 135 | clay | ب̣ | Ụ 0 | प̣ $\overline{0}$ | Ụ 0 | Ụ 0 | Ụ ${ }^{\text {on }}$ | ب̣ $\overline{0}$ | ب̣ $\overline{0}$ | ب̣ $\overline{0}$ | ب̣ ọ | ụ 0 O |
| 136 | toad | awọ | awọ | awọ | awọ | awọ | awọ | awọ | awọ | awọ | awọ | awọ |
| 137 | leopard | ọdì m | òdùmodù | ọdi m | ọdì m | ọdì m | ọdì m | agụ | agụ | agụ ōwulù | agụ | agụ |
| 138 | barren | àgà | àgà | àgà | àgà | àgà | àgà | àgà | àgà | òkpoò | àgà | àgà |

## Appendix IV <br> Researcher-adapted phrase and sentence list

| 1 | Give me water |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Sit down |  |  |
| 3 | Sit down there |  |  |
| 4 | Sit down here |  |  |
| 5 | My mother went to the market |  |  |
| 6 | She went to the farm |  |  |
| 7 | Our farmland is far |  |  |
| 8 | What is your name? |  |  |
| 9 | You are a native of where? |  |  |
| 10 | My mother is cooking |  |  |
| 11 | My teacher will flog me |  |  |
| 12 | My father has bought a car |  |  |
| 13 | Today is our new yam festival |  |  |
| 14 | The king will address the community today |  |  |
| 15 | Come and see us today |  |  |
| 16 | The radio is not good |  |  |
| 17 | Go and off the light |  |  |
| 18 | We shall not go |  |  |
| 19 | Come here |  |  |
| 20 | Stand up |  |  |
| 21 | Take it easy |  |  |
| 22 | I will drink water |  |  |
| 23 | No, I do not agree |  |  |
| 24 | The tree is very long |  |  |
| 25 | Our problem are so many |  |  |
| 26 | My father is old |  |  |
| 27 | He behaves like a mad person |  |  |
| 28 | We are in famine period |  |  |
| 29 | They have killed the snake |  |  |
| 30 | He has washed her school dress |  |  |
| 31 | Go and sleep |  |  |
| 32 | Will you come now? |  |  |
| 33 | Do it now |  |  |
| 34 | Bring it immediately |  |  |
| 35 | Do as I said |  |  |
| 36 | The hunter killed a grass cutter |  |  |
| 37 | He is very cunny |  |  |
| 38 | They will come tomorrow |  |  |
| 39 | They are sweeping the village square |  |  |
| 40 | My uncle takes snuff |  |  |
| 41 | Do you drink alcohol? |  |  |
| 42 | I do not drink alcohol, but I drink mineral |  |  |
| 43 | Jesus Christ is a friend of everybody |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |


| 44 | My father has gone to bed |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 45 | Thank you |  |  |
| 46 | Go and wash the plates |  |  |
| 47 | My wife is sick |  |  |
| 48 | She eats fish |  |  |
| 49 | The child still sucks breast |  |  |
| 50 | Lit the lantern/ lamp |  |  |
| 51 | Her dress is dirty |  |  |
| 52 | Bring the palm fruits for me |  |  |
| 53 | She goes to school everyday |  |  |
| 54 | She has gone to fetch water from the steam |  |  |
| 55 | Our people are mainly farmers |  |  |
| 56 | Today is our big market day |  |  |
| 57 | It rained heavily today |  |  |
| 58 | This year will be good |  |  |
| 59 | The Community is fighting |  |  |
| 60 | The community is mourning |  |  |
| 61 | The corpse has been brought home |  |  |
| 62 | This is a big goat |  |  |
| 63 | He is afraid |  |  |
| 64 | He/ She is going to school |  |  |
| 65 | It is going back |  |  |
| 66 | The baby is crying |  |  |
| 67 | What is your occupation? |  |  |
| 68. | It is good |  |  |

